



Town of Surfside

REQUEST FOR QUALIFICATIONS RFQ NO. 2020-02

DESIGN SERVICES: RECONSTRUCTION OF 96TH STREET PARK

Addendum No. 1

Date Issued: March 2, 2020

To All Proposers:

Proposers for the above-referenced RFQ shall take note of the following changes, additions, deletions, clarifications or questions and answers to RFQ No. 2020-02, which in accordance with the RFQ Documents shall become a part of and have precedence over anything shown or described otherwise in the RFQ.

1. Question: Has the Town of Surfside established a project budget?
Answer: **Yes. \$2.5m inclusive of soft costs, design and construction.**
2. Question: If yes, has the budget been further sub-divided to reflect an allotment for the building, infrastructural improvements, and site improvements?
Answer: **NO**
3. Question: Can you please share the sign-in sheet from the February 13th Pre-Proposal Submission?
Answer: **See Town Web Site <https://www.townofsurfsidefl.gov/>**
4. Question: Is it possible to still submit to the RFQ if someone from the team was unable to attend the pre-proposal submission on February 13th?
Answer: **The TEAM must have been represented by someone at the mandatory pre-bid meeting.**
5. Question: Is an existing survey available to the proposers?
Answer: **Attached**
6. Question: We understand that it will be the responsibility of the design team to conduct a new site survey once the project begins, please confirm we should include a surveyor on our project team?
Answer: **YES**
7. Question: We wanted to confirm that the attachments are not to be counted towards the 25-page limit and are to be placed in the back?
Answer: **Correct**
8. Question: Also, would you please reconsider the page limit count of 25. Is it ok if we go over by a bit?
Answer: **No**

9. Question: Under 1.4 Scope of Services #2. Plans, Specifications and Engineering Estimates: Can you please clarify what is meant by (c.) Prepare engineering estimates consistent with the specifications and bid documents?

Answer: **A/E statement of probable construction cost**

10. Question: Is this intended to require an A/E statement of probable construction cost?

Answer: **Yes**

11. Question: Please, let us know when we are expected to get responses to the Questions sent on Friday, February 14th.

Answer: **As stated at the Mandatory Pre-Proposal Submission Conference on 2/13/2020 all questions or clarifications must be submitted in writing no later than 5:00pm 2/28/2020 to the Town Clerk, Sandra Novoa. We will then formulate a response to all the questions shortly after this date.**

12. Question: If the Architectural Firm is the Prime, the Landscape Architectural firm can be one of the Sub Consultants, and vice versa?

Answer: **Yes**

13. Question: Page 8 / All Submittals by Proposers shall contain no more than twenty-five double-sided pages plus data sheets. What do you mean by "data sheets"?

Answer: **Data sheets are the RFQ Attachments "A", "B", "C" and "D".**

14. Question: In case data sheets are the "Attachments", only attachments A, B, C and D will be attached at the end of the Proposal, is this correct?

Answer: **Yes**

15. Question: Instead of (25) double-sided pages, can we submit (50) single pages?

Answer: **No**

16. Question: Page 19 / 2.13 ATTACHMENTS: Attachment "G" – Boundary Topographic Survey. There is no Attachment "G" in this RFQ.

Answer: **Attached.**

17. Question: Is there a Budget for this Project?

Answer: **\$2.5m inclusive of soft costs, design and construction.**

18. Question: Is Calvin Giordano precluded from responding to this solicitation as they prepared the design brief for this?

Answer: **CGA is precluded from responding.**

19. Question: Who was the architect that worked with CGA on the design brief?

Answer: **CGA LA**

20. Question: What is the budget for this project?

Answer: **\$2.5m inclusive of soft costs, design and construction.**

21. Question: What professions are you anticipating will prime this project, i.e. architect, engineer or landscape architect?

Answer: **Any of the above.**

22. Question: I am wondering if you have an estimated budget and start date for the RFQ Engineering - Reconstruction of 96th Street Park project (# 2020-02)?

Answer: **\$2.5m inclusive of soft costs, design and construction. Planholder's list provided by Town from BidSync. Anticipated Strat Date late 2020.**

23. Question: Also, do you release planholder's lists for your projects and if so, can you send me a copy?

Answer: **No**

All other documents, requirements, terms and conditions of the RFQ remain the same. All proposers must acknowledge receipt of this Addendum No. 1 and submit a signed copy of this form with their proposal.

PROPOSER:

NAME: _____

TITLE: _____

DATE: _____