Town of Surfside

REQUEST FOR QUALIFICATIONS RFQ NO. 2020-02

DESIGN SERVICES: RECONSTRUCTION OF 96TH STREET PARK

Addendum No. 1

Date Issued: March 2, 2020

To All Proposers:

Proposers for the above-referenced RFQ shall take note of the following changes, additions, deletions, clarifications or questions and answers to RFQ No. 2020-02, which in accordance with the RFQ Documents shall become a part of and have precedence over anything shown or described otherwise in the RFQ.

1. Question: Has the Town of Surfside established a project budget?
   Answer: Yes. $2.5m inclusive of soft costs, design and construction.
2. Question: If yes, has the budget been further sub-divided to reflect an allotment for the building, infrastructural improvements, and site improvements?
   Answer: NO
3. Question: Can you please share the sign-in sheet from the February 13th Pre-Proposal Submission?
   Answer: See Town Web Site https://www.townofsurfsidefl.gov/
4. Question: Is it possible to still submit to the RFQ if someone from the team was unable to attend the pre-proposal submission on February 13th?
   Answer: The TEAM must have been represented by someone at the mandatory pre-bid meeting.
5. Question: Is an existing survey available to the proposers?
   Answer: Attached
6. Question: We understand that it will be the responsibility of the design team to conduct a new site survey once the project begins, please confirm we should include a surveyor on our project team?
   Answer: YES
7. Question: We wanted to confirm that the attachments are not to be counted towards the 25-page limit and are to be placed in the back?
   Answer: Correct
8. Question: Also, would you please reconsider the page limit count of 25. Is it ok if we go over by a bit?
   Answer: No
9. Question: Under 1.4 Scope of Services #2. Plans, Specifications and Engineering Estimates: Can you please clarify what is meant by (c.) Prepare engineering estimates consistent with the specifications and bid documents?
   Answer: *A/E statement of probable construction cost*

10. Question: Is this intended to require an A/E statement of probable construction cost?
    Answer: Yes

11. Question: Please, let us know when we are expected to get responses to the Questions sent on Friday, February 14th.
    Answer: As stated at the Mandatory Pre-Proposal Submission Conference on 2/13/2020 all questions or clarifications must be submitted in writing no later than 5:00pm 2/28/2020 to the Town Clerk, Sandra Novoa. We will then formulate a response to all the questions shortly after this date.

12. Question: If the Architectural Firm is the Prime, the Landscape Architectural firm can be one of the Sub Consultants, and vice versa?
    Answer: Yes

13. Question: Page 8 / All Submittals by Proposers shall contain no more than twenty-five double-sided pages plus data sheets. What do you mean by “data sheets”?
    Answer: *Data sheets are the RFQ Attachments “A”, “B”, “C” and “D”.*

14. Question: In case data sheets are the “Attachments”, only attachments A, B, C and D will be attached at the end of the Proposal, is this correct?
    Answer: Yes

15. Question: Instead of (25) double-sided pages, can we submit (50) single pages?
    Answer: No

16. Question: Page 19 / 2.13 ATTACHMENTS: Attachment “G” – Boundary Topographic Survey. There is no Attachment “G” in this RFQ.
    Answer: Attached.

17. Question: Is there a Budget for this Project?
    Answer: *$2.5m inclusive of soft costs, design and construction.*

18. Question: Is Calvin Giordano precluded from responding to this solicitation as they prepared the design brief for this?
    Answer: CGA is precluded from responding.

19. Question: Who was the architect that worked with CGA on the design brief?
    Answer: CGA LA

20. Question: What is the budget for this project?
    Answer: *$2.5m inclusive of soft costs, design and construction.*

21. Question: What professions are you anticipating will prime this project, i.e. architect, engineer or landscape architect?
    Answer: Any of the above.

22. Question: I am wondering if you have an estimated budget and start date for the RFQ Engineering - Reconstruction of 96th Street Park project (# 2020-02)?
    Answer: *$2.5m inclusive of soft costs, design and construction. Planholder’s list provided by Town from BidSync. Anticipated Strat Date late 2020.*

23. Question: Also, do you release planholder’s lists for your projects and if so, can you send me a copy?
    Answer: No
All other documents, requirements, terms and conditions of the RFQ remain the same. All proposers must acknowledge receipt of this Addendum No. 1 and submit a signed copy of this form with their proposal.

PROPOSER:

NAME: ____________________
TITLE: ____________________
DATE: ____________________