### RESOLUTION NO. 14-Z-227/

A RESOLUTION OF THE TOWN COMMISSION OF THE TOWN SURFSIDE, FLORIDA CONSIDERING THE APPLICATION OF 801-88<sup>th</sup> STREET TO PERMIT A VARIANCE FROM THE REQUIREMENTS OF SECTION 90-54.5 OF THE TOWN OF SURFSIDE CODE OF ORDINANCES; TO CONNECT A DETACHED GARAGE TO THE HOME TO ALLOW THE EXISTING SINGLE FAMILY HOME TO CONVERT THE GARAGE TO ADDITIONAL LIVING SPACE; PROVIDING FOR RECOMMENDATION OF APPROVAL; PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

**WHEREAS,** the property, a single family home is located on 801-88<sup>th</sup> Street within the Residential Single Family H30B Zoning District; and

**WHEREAS**, Section 90-54.5 of the Town of Surfside Code of Ordinances prohibits connecting an accessory structure to the primary structure due to the reduced setbacks afforded an accessory structure in the H30B Zoning District; and

**WHEREAS**, the property currently has a detached garage that is separated by five feet to the home and has an existing condition that provides for a five (5) foot separation between the building, which has proved to be an issue for drainage and maintenance; and

**WHEREAS**, an accessory structure is permitted to have a five (5) foot rear setback, while the primary structure is required to have a twenty (20) foot rear setback; thus, by connecting the accessory structure to the primary structure, the primary structure will result in a reduced overall setback; and

WHEREAS, the applicant is proposing to connect the garage to the home and convert the garage to additional living space; and

**WHEREAS,** Section 90-36 of the Town of Surfside Code of Ordinances provides for variance application and review; and

WHEREAS, the property was constructed in 1951 and the original plans showed the garage attached to the home and later revised plans showed a five (5) foot breezeway separating the garage from the home, which has resulted in water pooling problems due to the location of the two separate roofs converging on the five (5) foot breezeway; and

**WHEREAS**, the breezeway was the result of a previous owner prior to the Town's Code being adopted, and the current owners wish to retain the integrity of the existing property, but provide for a sustainable solution to the drainage and maintenance issues; and

WHEREAS, the literal interpretation of the Town Code would result in a non-sustainable condition for the property where there exist water intrusion concerns due to a poor design of two (2) roofs converging on a five (5) foot area; and

WHEREAS, the hardship is a result of the Town's Code provision intended to avoid the construction of an accessory structure with a reduced setback being modified and connected to the primary structure with the intent of creating a larger home; and

WHEREAS, granting the variance is not intended to assist the applicant in achieving greater financial return, rather the applicant wishes to expand the home for additional living space and avoid water intrusion and maintenance issues; and

WHEREAS, granting the variance is specific to the conditions within the property, meaning the existence of the five (5) foot breezeway between the garage and home which is unusual, and the variance will result in less maintenance issues for the property owner; and

WHEREAS, the requested variance is the minimum needed to connect the garage to the home; and

WHEREAS, the variance is consistent with the intent of the Comprehensive Plan, Town of Surfside Code, compatible with the neighborhood and will not diminish or impair property values within the neighborhood; and

WHEREAS, the Town Staff recommends approval of the variance; and

**WHEREAS,** the Planning and Zoning Board reviewed the Application on October 30, 2014 and unanimously recommended approval of the Application to the Town Commission and the Town Planner recommends approval of the variance.

# NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE TOWN COMMISSION OF THE TOWN OF SURFSIDE, FLORIDA, AS FOLLOWS:

<u>Section 1.</u> <u>Recitals.</u> That the above and foregoing recitals are true and correct and are incorporated herein by reference.

Section 2. Variance. The Town Commission finds the requested variance meets the variance criteria set forth in Section 90-36 of the Town of Surfside Code of Ordinances and recommends a variance from the requirements of Section 90-54.5 of the Town of Surfside Code of Ordinances to allow the existing single family home to convert the garage to additional living space from the prohibition of connecting an accessory structure to the primary structure due to the reduced setbacks. (See Attachment "A" Commission Communication dated November 18, 2014 from Sarah Sinatra Gould, AICP, Town Planner).

### Section 3. Effective Date. This resolution becomes effective upon adoption.

PASSED AND ADOPTED this	18th day of November, 2014.
Motion by Commissioner Second by Commissioner	Kanekin
Second by Commissioner	Dlchy K.
FINAL VOTE ON ADOPTION	N:
Commissioner Barry Cohen Commissioner Michael Karukin Commissioner Marta Olchyk Vice Mayor Eli Tourgeman Mayor Daniel Dietch	yes yes yes Alcont
	Daniel Dietch, Mayor
ATTEST:	
Sandra Novoa, CMC, Town Cler	k
APPROVED AS TO FORM A	ND
LEGAL SUFFICIENCY FOR	

THE TOWN OF SURFSIDE ONLY:

Linda Miller, Town Attorney



# Town of Surfside Communication

Agenda #

Agenda Date:

November 18, 2014

Subject:

801 88th Street -Gato Variance

From:

Sarah Sinatra Gould, AICP, Town Planner

Background: The property owner, Jeanette Gato, is requesting variance from the Town of Surfside Code for the property at 801 88<sup>th</sup> Street. The property currently has a detached garage that is separated by five feet to the home. The owner is proposing to connect the garage to the home and convert the garage to additional living space. Section 90-54.5 of the Town Code prohibits connecting an accessory structure to the primary structure due to the reduced setbacks afforded an accessory structure. An accessory structure is permitted to have a five foot rear setback, while the primary structure is required to have a 20 foot rear setback. By connecting an accessory structure to the primary structure, the primary structure will result in a reduced overall setback. The existing condition provides for a five foot separation between the buildings, which has proved to be an issue for drainage and maintenance.

#### Analysis: Existing Home with location of five foot separation indicated



#### Variance Criteria

(1) Special conditions and circumstances exist which are peculiar to the land, structure, or building involved, and which are not applicable to other lands, structures, or buildings in the same zoning district;

This property was constructed in 1951. The original plans showed the garage attached to the home. Three weeks later, revised plans showed a five foot breezeway separating the garage from the home, which has resulted in water pooling problems due to the location of the two separate roofs converging.

(2) The special conditions and circumstances do not result from the actions of the applicant or a prior owner of the property;

The home has a breezeway which was the result of a previous owner prior to the Town's Code being adopted. The current owner wishes to retain the integrity of the existing home, but provide for a sustainable solution to the drainage issue.

(3) Literal interpretation of the provisions of the Town Code deprives the applicant of rights commonly enjoyed by other properties in the same zoning district under the terms of the Town Code and results in unnecessary and undue hardship on the applicant;

The literal interpretation of the Town Code results in a non-sustainable condition for the property where there are water intrusion concerns due to a poor design of two roofs converging on a five foot area.

(4) The hardship has not been deliberately or knowingly created or suffered to establish a use or structure which is not otherwise consistent with the Town of Surfside Comprehensive Plan or the Town Code;

The hardship is a result of the Town's Code provision intended to avoid the construction of an accessory structure with a reduced setback being modified and connected to the primary structure with the intent of creating a larger home. In this case, the home and accessory structure were constructed in 1951. Now that the new property owner has had the home evaluated, they have discovered the most efficient and sustainable method of retaining the home is to enclose the 5 X 15.5 foot breezeway.

(5) An applicant's desire or ability to achieve greater financial return or maximum financial return from his property does not constitute hardship;

Granting of the variance is not intended to assist the applicant in achieving greater financial return, rather the applicant wishes to expand her home for additional living space and avoid water intrusion issues.

(6) Granting the variance application conveys the same treatment to the applicant as to the owner of other lands, buildings, or structures in the same zoning district;

The granting of the variance is specific to the conditions within this property. The home was developed in 1951 with a five foot breezeway between the garage and home. This is unusual and will result in less maintenance issues for the property owner.

(7) The requested variance is the minimum variance that makes possible the reasonable use of the land, building, or structure; and

The requested variance is the minimum needed to connect the garage to the home. The home has a 20 foot interior side setback, where they only need five feet. The homeowner could have chosen to build more square footage on the property by right without the need for a variance, but have chosen to maintain the smaller scale and appearance of the structure.

(8) The requested variance is in harmony with the general intent and purpose of the Town of Surfside Comprehensive Plan and the Town Code, is not injurious to the neighborhood or otherwise detrimental to the public safety and welfare, is compatible with the neighborhood, and will not substantially diminish or impair property values within the neighborhood.

The proposed addition is generally consistent with the intent of the Comprehensive Plan and the Town of Surfside Code. The existing structure, as well as the proposed addition is compatible with the neighborhood. The proposed aesthetics of the home will not diminish or impair property values within the neighborhood.

**Budget Impact**: Staff review fees are being paid by the applicant through cost recovery.

Growth Impact: N/A

Staff Impact: N/A

**Staff Recommendation:** The Planning and Zoning Board unanimously recommended approval of the variance. Staff recommends the Town Commission approve the variance.

Sarah Sinatra Gould, AICP, Town Planner

Michael Crotty, Town Manager

#### Attachments:

- 1. Pictures
- 2. Application
- 3. Justification Statement



North Elevation



South East

JOYCE GATO RESIDENCE 801 88 STREET SURFSIDE FLORIDA





South - East View



South-West View

### JOYCE GATO RESIDENCE 80188 STREET

SURFSIDE FLORIDA





Area of Requested Variance



Area of Requested Variance

JOYCE GATO RESIDENCE 801 88 STREET SURFSIDE FLORIDA





Courtyard Area



North-East Corner

# JOYCE GATO RESIDENCE

801 88 STREET SURFSIDE FLORIDA





North Side Neighbor



North Side Neighbor

JOYCE GATO RESIDENCE 801 88 STREET SURFSIDE FLORIDA





South-West Neighbor



South - West Neighbor

## JOYCE GATO RESIDENCE

801 88 STREET SURFSIDE ,FLORIDA





### TOWN OF SURFSIDE GENERAL VARIANCE APPLICATION

A complete submittal includes all items on the "Submission Checklist for General Variance Application" document as well as completing this application in full. The owner and agent must sign the application with the appropriate supplemental documentation attached. Please print legibly in ink or type on this application form.

PROJECT INFORMATION

OWNER'S NAME	Jear	nette Ga	to/Joyce Gato		
l .		64 0945	/ /		
		SKLAR AR	WITHERT		
		1 .	BLVD. Hollywoon 3	3020	
	954-925-9292 /954-925-6292				
PROPERTY ADDRESS	801	88th St			
ZONING CATEGORY					
DESCRIPTION OF VARIANCE REQUESTED	CONN	recting Main	House to Existing Detac	MEDGARAGE	
(please use separate sheet)			N PEAR SETBALK.		
INTERNAL USE ONLY					
Date Submitted			Project Number		
Report Completed			Date		
Comments					
ZONING STANDARDS		Required	Provided		
Lot Coverage		40% Mak	SEE PLANS		
Dimension of yards		SEE PLANS	SFEPLANS		
Setbacks (F/R/S)		GEG PLANS	SEE PLANS		
Parking	, in the second	ZCARS	2 CARS		
Loading		NA	Comments		
Pervious Area	-				
Dienetto X	ato	10-7-14	SIGNATURE OF ACTUA	10-9-1	
SIGNATURE OF OWNER		DATE	SIGNATURE OF AGENT	DATE	



$\Box$	writte	n Narrative of request that addresses each of the following standards of review:				
		Special conditions and circumstances exist which are peculiar to the land, structure, or building involved, and which are not applicable to other lands, structures, or buildings in the same zoning district;				
		The special conditions and circumstances do not result from the actions of the applicant or a prior owner of the property;				
		Literal interpretation of the provisions of the Town Code deprives the applicant of rights commonly enjoyed by other properties in the same zoning district under the terms of the Town Code and results in unnecessary and undue hardship on the applicant;				
		The hardship has not been deliberately or knowingly created or suffered to establish a use or structure which is not otherwise consistent with the Town of Surfside Comprehensive Plan or the Town Code;				
		An applicant's desire or ability to achieve greater financial return or maximum financial return from his property does not constitute hardship;				
		Granting the variance application conveys the same treatment to the applicant as to the owner of other lands, buildings, or structures in the same zoning district;				
		The requested variance is the minimum variance that makes possible the reasonable use of the land, building, or structure; and				
		The requested variance is in harmony with the general intent and purpose of the Town of Surfside Comprehensive Plan and the Town Code, is not injurious to the neighborhood or otherwise detrimental to the public safety and welfare, is compatible with the neighborhood, and will not substantially diminish or impair property values within the neighborhood.				
	Such additional data, maps, plans, or statements as the Town may require to fully describe and evaluate the particular proposed plan.					



# TOWN OF SURFSIDE SUBMISSION CHECKLIST GENERAL VARIANCE APPLICATION

	Project Name	GNATO P	E3 10 ENCE-	Project Number			
	Review Date						
su	BMITTAL REQUIF	REMENTS FO	R REVIEW (Pern	nit clerk shall initial if item has been s	submitted):		
	Fee ☐ Residential \$1 ☐ Non-Residenti						
	Completed "General Variance Application" form						
	Statements of ownership and control of the property, executed and sworn to by the owner or owners of one hundred (100) percent of the property described in the application, or by tenant or tenants with the owners written, sworn consent, or by duly authorized agents evidenced by a written power of attorney if the agents not a member of the Florida Bar.						
	The written conse		ties and/or easer	ment holders if the proposed work en	croaches into an		
	of the survey). A s	survey over o	ne (1) year is suff	er's affidavit that no changes have occur ficient as long as the property has not c ges change occurred since the date of the	hanged ownership		
	Recent photograp the street. (to be p			all abutting, diagonal and fronting prop Board Meeting)	perties visible from		
	Fifteen (15) re Board Meeting Please show / pro Tabulations of to Entire parcel(s) Existing and pro Buildings to be to Setbacks Dimensions and including sidewalk All existing and fences, decks and	ted sets of conduced sized sized of conduced sized sized of conduced sized siz	mplete design devicepies of the plans wing (if applicable) totage, lot coverage ons and lot size in gs with square for all existing and prouter and planting improvements, in ways and sidewall coposed trees, veg	pe, setbacks and acreage square feet otage  oposed right-of-ways, easements and statistics strips ocluding, but not limited to, all utilities, recks, signs, parking areas, and erosion cogetation, palms and note tree species	r to Design Review treet frontage, etaining walls,		
	A map indicating t	he general lo	cation of the prope	erty.			

### SKLARchitecture

October 14th, 2014

Sarah Sinatra Gould, Planning Department Director Town of Surfside, Town Hall 9293 Harding Avenue, Surfside, FL 33154

RE:

Criteria Statement & Variance Application for 801 88<sup>th</sup> Street, Surfside FL 33154 Gato Residence

Dear Sarah Sinatra Gould and Planning Board,

Our firm is the architect for the current owner of the property. It is our hope that the City and the Planning Board will support the variance being requested as part of this application. We strongly believe this variance will enhance the subject property and improve this area of 88<sup>th</sup> Street.

The property consists of a single family home on a 6,860sq.ft. corner lot. The existing home is presently 1,795sq.ft. The house was constructed in 1951 with a detached garage of approximately 321sq.ft. We propose to connect the garage to the house as was originally planned in the microfilm drawings. The home has been neglected for many years and has been an eye sore for the neighborhood. Therefore, the following minor variance is being requested by the new owner of the subject property.

1) Variance of the rear setback to allow for the connection of the garage structure to the main house.

#### **VARIANCE CRITERIA**

(1) Special conditions and circumstances exists which are peculiar to the land, structure, or building involved, and which are not applicable to other lands, structures, or buildings in the same zoning district;

This property was developed in 1951 on the corner of Emerson Avenue and 88<sup>th</sup> street with a detached garage creating a narrow and unsightly separation to the main house after being vacant for some time is now ready for renovations which will be an improvement to the neighborhood over its existing condition.

(2) The special conditions and circumstances do not result from the actions of the applicant or a prior owner of the property;

The existing single family home, built around 1951 appears to have setbacks determined by the frontage on 88<sup>th</sup> street, rather than the Emerson Avenue street frontage. It is unclear when the setback requirements may have changed as this code requirement has been in place since 1960, and the home was constructed prior to the original code adoption. The proposed addition is an interior or infill addition that does not extend beyond the existing setback encroachments.

ARCHITECTURE

Commercial
& Residential

Interior Architecture & Design

> Urban Renovation

Architectural
Design of
Children's
Environments

Development Consulting

2310 Hollywood Blvd.

Hollywood

Florida 33020

Tel: 954.925.9292

Fax: 954.925.6292

mail@sklarchitect.com

www.sklarchitect.com

AA 0002849

WEBSITE:

IB 0000894

NCARB CERTIFIED



Ari Sklar, A.I.A. Oscar Sklar, A.I.A.

### SKLARchitecture

(3) Literal interpretation of the provisions of the Town Code deprives the applicant of rights commonly enjoyed by other properties in the same zoning district under the terms of the Town Code and results in unnecessary and undue hardship on the applicant;



The rear setback requirements creates an undue hardship on the property owner. The existing garage area is virtually unusable being separated from the main structure it creates an unsafe area prone to constant maintenance and deterioration. In the case of this corner lot, there is plenty of buildable area on the north side that will be left open to the sky virtually "replacing" the setback area that could be in the rear if the garage did not exist. Requiring the single story addition to be built with a setback based on the rear setback requirement will create an architecturally inferior profile, unusable areas, and a difficult and complicated situation for the home owner. The proposed addition to infill the void space and connect the existing garage, is architecturally consistent and does not increase the existing encroachment.

(4) The hardship has not been deliberately or knowingly created or suffered to establish a use or structure which is not otherwise consistent with the Town of Surfside Comprehensive Plan or the Town Code;

The hardship is a result of the home being constructed in 1951. The structure and its proposed 2'-7"x13'-0" foot addition is within scale for this lot and the block in which it is situated. Many of the lots in the immediate area also have the 5 foot rear setbacks as the construction of these homes predate the current code requirements.

(5) An applicant's desire or ability to achieve greater financial return or maximum financial return from his property does not constitute hardship;

Granting of the variance is not intended to assist the applicant in achieving greater financial return, rather the applicant wishes to expand the home in which they plan to occupy for many years. The granting of the variance will allow the project to move forward with a more attractive and functional appearance while improving the look of the neighborhood, which has seen this house vacant for some time.

(6) Granting the variance application conveys the same treatment to the applicant as to the owner of other lands, buildings, or structures in the same zoning district;

The granting of the variance is specific to the conditions within this lot. This is an odd situation with a small detached garage constructed in 1951 with setbacks based on the width of the lot, rather than the frontage of the lot.

(7) The requested variance is the minimum variance that makes possible the reasonable use of the land, building, or structure; and

The applicant is requesting to construct the infill addition within the existing first floor wall planes to provide structural integrity of the building and for architectural aesthetics.

### **SKLARchitecture**

(8) The requested variance is in harmony with the general intent and purpose of the Town of Surfside Comprehensive Plan and the Town Code, is not injurious to the neighborhood, or otherwise detrimental to the public safety and welfare, is compatible with the neighborhood, and will not substantially diminish or impair property values within the neighborhood.



The proposed addition is generally consistent with the intent of the Comprehensive Plan and the Town of Surfside Code. The existing structure, as well as the proposed addition is compatible with the neighborhood. The proposed aesthetics of the home and the addition including improvements which include a pool, new roof and landscaping will not diminish or impair property values within the neighborhood.

Sincerely,

Ari L. Sklar - AIA, NCARB

President