Rule 7.05 Decorum. Any person making impertinent or slanderous remarks or who becomes boisterous while addressing the commission shall be barred from further appearance before the commission by the presiding officer, unless permission to continue or again address the commission is granted by the majority vote of the commission members present. No clapping, applauding, heckling or verbal outbursts in support or opposition to a speaker or his or her remarks shall be permitted. Signs or placards may be disallowed in the commission chamber by the presiding officer. Persons exiting the commission chambers shall do so quietly.

Any person who received compensation, remuneration or expenses for conducting lobbying activities is required to register as a lobbyist with the Town Clerk prior to engaging in lobbying activities per Town Code Sec. 2-235. "Lobbyist" specifically includes the principal, as defined in this section, as well as any agent, officer or employee of a principal, regardless of whether such lobbying activities fall within the normal scope of employment of such agent, officer or employee. The term "lobbyist" specifically excludes any person who only appears as a representative of a not-for-profit community-based organization for the purpose of requesting a grant without special compensation or reimbursement for the appearance; and any person who only appears as a representative of a neighborhood, homeowners or condominium association without compensation for the appearance, whether direct or indirect or contingent, to express support of or opposition to any item.

Per Miami Dade County Fire Marshal, the Commission Chambers has a maximum capacity of 99 people. Once reached this capacity, people will be asked to watch the meeting from the first floor.

DESIGN REVIEW BOARD

1. Call to Order/Roll Call

2. Approval of Minutes: March 29, 2018

3. Design Review Board Applications:
   A. 8810 Harding Avenue - The applicant is requesting to add a pre-fabricated shed to the rear of the property.
   B. 9217 Emerson Avenue - The applicant is requesting replacing their existing asphalt shingle roof with new asphalt shingles.
   C. 9325 Abbott Avenue - The applicant is requesting to build a 4,007 square foot two-story new home.
D. 9482 Harding Avenue - The applicant is requesting one (1) illuminated wall sign for the Italian Jewelry business.

E. 9499 Collins Avenue - The applicant is requesting two (2) illuminated reverse channel letter signs for the existing Spiaggia Ocean Condominium.

F. 9525 Carlyle Avenue - The applicant is requesting to convert their garage to approximately 260 square feet of additional living space.

G. 8975 Hawthorne Avenue - The applicant is requesting fencing in the secondary front yard. A 4.0-foot-high wood fence is proposed.

4. Quasi-Judicial Application:

Please be advised that the following items on the Agenda are Quasi-Judicial in nature. If you wish to object or comment upon an item, please complete a Public Speaker’s Card indicating the Agenda item number on which you would like to comment. You must be sworn in before addressing the Board and you may be subject to cross-examination. If you refuse to submit to cross-examination, the Board will not consider your comments in its final deliberation. Please also disclose any Ex-Parte communications you may have had with any Board member. Board members must also do the same.

A. 8995 Collins Avenue – Site Plan; Conditional Use for Hotel Pool and Alternative Parking System; Variances for Landscaping and Loading Space Size

5. Adjournment
5. Local Planning Agency Items:

A. Development Approval Procedures

AN ORDINANCE OF THE TOWN OF SURFSIDE, FLORIDA AMENDING DIVISION 3, “SPECIAL EXCEPTIONS, ZONING CHANGES, CONDITIONAL USES AND VARIANCES,” OF ARTICLE II, “ADMINISTRATION AND ENFORCEMENT,” OF CHAPTER 90 “ZONING” OF THE TOWN OF SURFSIDE CODE OF ORDINANCES TO ADDRESS DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION PROCEDURES AND REQUIREMENTS; PROVIDING FOR REPEAL OF CONFLICTING PROVISIONS; PROVIDING FOR SEVERABILITY; PROVIDING FOR INCLUSION IN THE CODE; AND PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

B. Maximum Building Length

AN ORDINANCE OF THE TOWN OF SURFSIDE, FLORIDA AMENDING SECTION 90-51 “MAXIMUM FRONTAGE OF BUILDINGS AND FACADE ARTICULATIONS.” OF “CHAPTER 90 ZONING” OF THE TOWN OF SURFSIDE CODE OF ORDINANCES TO ADDRESS FACADE ARTICULATIONS, MAXIMUM BUILDING LENGTHS AND BUILDING SEPARATIONS IN THE H30C AND H40 ZONING DISTRICTS; PROVIDING FOR REPEAL OF CONFLICTING PROVISIONS; PROVIDING FOR SEVERABILITY; PROVIDING FOR INCLUSION IN THE CODE; AND PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

6. Discussion Items:

A. Construction Fencing for Single Family
B. Walkability – Verbal Update
C. Sustainability Subcommittee Priorities
D. Future Agenda Items

7. Adjournment

THIS MEETING IS OPEN TO THE PUBLIC. IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT OF 1990, ALL PERSONS THAT ARE DISABLED; WHO NEED SPECIAL ACCOMMODATIONS TO PARTICIPATE IN THIS MEETING BECAUSE OF THAT DISABILITY SHOULD CONTACT THE OFFICE OF THE TOWN CLERK AT 305-861-4863 EXT. 226 NO LATER THAN FOUR DAYS PRIOR TO SUCH PROCEEDING.

IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE PROVISIONS OF SECTION 286.0105, FLORIDA STATUTES, ANYONE WISHING TO APPEAL ANY DECISION MADE BY THE TOWN OF SURFSIDE COMMISSION, WITH RESPECT TO ANY MATTER CONSIDERED AT THIS MEETING OR HEARING, WILL NEED A RECORD OF THE PROCEEDINGS AND FOR SUCH PURPOSE, MAY NEED TO ENSURE THAT A VERBATIM RECORD OF THE PROCEEDINGS IS MADE WHICH RECORD SHALL INCLUDE THE TESTIMONY AND EVIDENCE UPON WHICH THE APPEAL IS TO BE BASED.


TWO OR MORE MEMBERS OF TOWN COMMISSION OR OTHER TOWN BOARDS MAY ATTEND AND PARTICIPATE AT THIS MEETING.

THESE MEETINGS MAY BE CONDUCTED BY MEANS OF OR IN CONJUNCTION WITH COMMUNICATIONS MEDIA TECHNOLOGY, SPECIFICALLY, A TELEPHONE CONFERENCE CALL. THE LOCATION 9293 HARDING AVENUE, SURFSIDE, FL 33154, WHICH IS OPEN TO THE PUBLIC, SHALL SERVE AS AN ACCESS POINT FOR SUCH COMMUNICATION.
Town of Surfside
DESIGN REVIEW BOARD/
PLANNING & ZONING BOARD
MINUTES
March 29, 2018 – 7:00 p.m.
Town Hall Commission Chambers
9293 Harding Ave, 2nd Floor, Surfside, FL  33154

DESIGN REVIEW BOARD

1. Call to Order/Roll Call
Chair Lecour called the meeting to order at 7:04 p.m.

Deputy Clerk Riera called the roll with the following members present: Chair Lindsay Lecour, Vice Chair Judith Frankel, Board Member Peter Glynn, Board Member Brian Roller, Board Member William Fleck. Board Member Jorge Garcia and Board Member Jorge Gutierrez were absent.

2. Approval of Minutes: February 22, 2018
Board Member Glynn made a motion to approve the minutes. The motion received a second from Vice Chair Frankel and all voted in favor.

3. Design Review Board Applications:

A. 9001-9111 Collins Avenue - The applicant is requesting four (4) ground-affixed letter signs for the Surf Club properties.

Town Planner Sinatra Gould presented the item. The applicant spoke on the item and gave a power point presentation.

Chair Lecour asked if any member of the public wished to speak on the item and seeing none the public hearing was closed.

Board Member Glynn made a motion to approve as recommended by staff. The motion received a second from Vice Chair Frankel and all voted in favor.

B. 9536-9538 Harding Avenue - The applicant is requesting two window signs for Green & Kahn PL. One sign is proposed in the storefront window and a second sign on the door.

Town Planner Sinatra Gould presented the item. There was some discussion regarding phone numbers on windows in case of emergency. An ordinance will be prepared regarding this issue.

Chair Lecour asked if any member of the public wished to speak on the item and seeing none the public hearing was closed.
Board Member Glynn made a motion to approve with revised condition. The motion received a second from Board Member Fleck and all voted in favor.

4. Adjournment
There being no further business to come before the Design Review Board, Vice Chair Frankel made a motion to adjourn the meeting. The motion received a second from Board Member Roller and all voted in favor. Meeting adjourned at 7:14 p.m.

Accepted this ____ day of ____________________, 2018

____________________
Chair Lindsay Lecour

Attest:

____________________
Sandra Novoa, MMC
Town Clerk
MEMORANDUM

To: Design Review Board
Thru: Guillermo Olmedillo, Town Manager
From: Sarah Sinatra Gould, AICP, Town Planner
CC: Kathy Mehaffey, Town Attorney
Date: April 26, 2018
Re: 8810 Harding Avenue – Accessory Structure

The property is located at 8810 Harding Avenue, within the H30B zoning. The applicant is requesting to add a pre-fabricated shed to the rear of the property.

Staff has reviewed the current application for consideration by the Design Review Board. In this report Staff presents the following:
- Applicable Zoning Code regulations, along with the results of the review
- Staff Recommendation
STANDARDS / RESULTS

Town of Surfside Zoning Code, Applicable Requirements

Sec. 90.54 Accessory Structures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Accessory buildings</th>
<th>Required</th>
<th>Proposed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>90-54.1 Any accessory buildings not connected to the main building, except by a breezeway, may be</td>
<td>(a) Less than 12 feet in height is proposed;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>constructed in a rear yard, subject to the following provisions:</td>
<td>(b) 192 square foot structure is proposed;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(a) Maximum height shall be 12 feet;</td>
<td>(c) 7-foot rear and 6-foot side setbacks are proposed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(b) Maximum aggregate area shall be 500 square feet;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(c) Minimum rear setback shall be 5 feet and shall conform to all other applicable setbacks for the</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>property.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RECOMMENDATION

Staff recommends approval
MEMORANDUM

To: Design Review Board
Thru: Guillermo Olmedillo, Town Manager
From: Sarah Sinatra Gould, AICP, Town Planner
CC: Kathy Mehaffey, Town Attorney
Date: April 26, 2018
Re: 9217 Emerson Avenue

The property located at 9217 Emerson Avenue is within the H30B zoning district. The applicant is requesting to replace their existing asphalt shingle roof with new asphalt shingles. A re-roof permit was issued in 1998 and Miami-Dade County Property Appraiser’s website archive 2005 aerial shows a shingled roof for the structure. The applicant has recently submitted a permit application to re-roof with asphalt shingles.

Subject Property

Staff has reviewed the current application for consideration by the Planning and Zoning Board. In this report Staff presents the following:

- Applicable Zoning Code regulations, along with the results of the review
- Applicable Design Guidelines standards, along with the results of the review
- Results of the review
Town of Surfside Zoning Code, Applicable Requirements

Sec. 90.50 Architecture and roof decks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Roof Material</th>
<th>Required</th>
<th>Proposed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(a) Clay tile; (b) White concrete tile; (c) Solid color cement tile which color is impregnated with the same color intensity throughout, provided said color is granted approval by the Design Review Board; (d) Architecturally embellished metal if granted approval by the Design Review Board; or (e) Other Florida Building Code approved roof material(s) if granted approval by the Design Review Board.</td>
<td>Asphalt shingle, which meets the requirements in Section (e) Other Florida Building Code approved roof material if granted approval by the Design Review Board.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Town of Surfside Design Guidelines, Applicable Requirements

Roof Materials, Types, and Slopes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Required</th>
<th>Proposed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Restricted materials for roofs are predetermined in the Town’s Building Code, which restricts roofing materials to: 1. Clay tile; 2. White concrete tile; 3. Solid color cement tile which color is impregnated with the same color intensity throughout, provided said color is first approved by the planning and zoning board; and 4. Metal.</td>
<td>Asphalt shingle, which is not consistent with the design guidelines.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results

Although shingles are not a permitted roof material under the design guidelines, the zoning code permits an applicant to request approval of a different roof material by the Design Review Board if said material is approved by the Florida Building Code. Therefore, the applicant is requesting consideration by the Design Review Board to install asphalt shingles, which have been the same roof material since at least 1998.
View to the south (9209 Emerson Avenue)

View to the north (9225 Emerson Avenue)
View to the west (9216 Emerson Avenue)
MEMORANDUM

To: Design Review Board
Thru: Guillermo Olmedillo, Town Manager
From: Sarah Sinatra Gould, AICP, Town Planner
CC: Kathy Mehaffey, Town Attorney
Date: April 26, 2018
Re: 9325 Abbott Avenue – New Home

The property is located at 9325 Abbott Avenue, within the H30B zoning. The applicant is requesting to build a 4,007 square foot two-story new home. The plans include new driveway, walkways and rear patio with a BBQ.

Staff has reviewed the current application for consideration by the Design Review Board. In this report Staff presents the following:

- Applicable Zoning Code regulations, along with the results of the review
- Applicable Design Guideline standards, along with the results of the review
- Staff Recommendation
STANDARDS / RESULTS

Town of Surfside Zoning Code, Applicable Requirements

Sec. 90.43 Maximum building heights

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Height</th>
<th>Required Maximum</th>
<th>Proposed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H30B</td>
<td>30 feet</td>
<td>28.55 feet</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sec. 90-45. Setbacks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>H30B UPPER STORY FLOOR AREA IS 65% to 80% OF FIRST STORY FLOOR AREA</th>
<th>Required</th>
<th>Proposed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maximum Lot Coverage</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>40.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FIRST STORY (Up to 15 feet in Height)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primary Frontage</th>
<th>Minimum 20 feet</th>
<th>21.92 feet</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Interior side (lots equal to or less than 50 feet in width)</td>
<td>Minimum 5 feet</td>
<td>5.00 feet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rear</td>
<td>Minimum 20 feet</td>
<td>20.25 feet</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

UPPER STORY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primary frontage</th>
<th>Minimum 20 feet /Average 30 feet</th>
<th>Minimum 29.33 feet / Average 30 feet</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Interior side (Wall length is greater than 20% of the lot depth)</td>
<td>Minimum 5 feet / Average 10 feet</td>
<td>Min. 10 feet /Ave. 10 feet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rear</td>
<td>Minimum 20 feet / Average n/a</td>
<td>20.25 feet</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sec. 90.49 Lot standards

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lot Standards H30B</th>
<th>Required</th>
<th>Proposed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Minimum Lot width</td>
<td>50 feet</td>
<td>50 feet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum lot area</td>
<td>5,600 feet</td>
<td>5,625 square feet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum lot coverage</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>40.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pervious area</td>
<td>35% (minimum)</td>
<td>35.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sec. 90.50 Architecture and roof decks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Required</th>
<th>Proposed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unique Elevation</td>
<td>A unique elevation from the main buildings of the adjacent two (2) homes shall be created through the modulation of at least three (3) of the following architectural features: (a)Length, width and massing of the structure;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
(b) Number of stories; (c) Façade materials; (d) Porches and other similar articulation of the front façade; (e) Number and location of doors and windows; and (f) Roof style and pitch. Entryway articulation are utilized to add uniqueness to the front façade.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wall openings</th>
<th>10% for all elevations</th>
<th>The proposed structure includes windows and doors on each elevation. All elevations are 10% or greater for wall openings.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Roof Material</td>
<td>(a) Clay Tile; (b) White concrete tile; (c) Solid color cement tile which color is impregnated with the same color intensity throughout, provided said color if granted approval by the Design Review Board; (d) Architecturally embellished metal if granted approval by the Design Review Board; or (e) Other Florida Building Code approved roof material(s) if granted approval by the Design Review Board.</td>
<td>(e) A Florida Building Code approved flat roof is proposed which requires approval by the Design Review Board.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Sec. 90.61.1 Paving in front and rear yards in H30 and H40 Districts**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Paving Yards</th>
<th>Required</th>
<th>Proposed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Front setback permeability</td>
<td>50% minimum</td>
<td>&gt; 50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Front yard landscaped</td>
<td>30% minimum</td>
<td>&gt; 30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rear yard landscaped</td>
<td>20% minimum</td>
<td>&gt; 20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Curb Cuts</td>
<td>One minimum</td>
<td>One</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curb Cut side set back</td>
<td>5 feet minimum</td>
<td>6 feet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curb cut width</td>
<td>18 feet maximum</td>
<td>18 feet</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Sec. 90-77 Off-Street Parking Requirements**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Required</th>
<th>Minimum Space Requirements</th>
<th>Proposed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Single-family</td>
<td>2 spaces</td>
<td>2 spaces are provided.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Sec. 90-89.4(6). Street Tree Requirements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Required</th>
<th>Proposed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Street trees shall be required at one shade tree/palm tree per 20 linear feet of street frontage thereof along all public or private street right-of-ways in all zoning districts.</td>
<td>2 trees</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sec. 90-95. Single-family H30A and H30B district landscape requirements.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Required</th>
<th>Proposed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A minimum of five trees of two different species and 25 shrubs shall be planted per lot.</td>
<td>&gt; 5 trees and &gt; 25 shrubs are proposed</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Town of Surfside Adopted Residential Design Guidelines

Building Massing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Required</th>
<th>Proposed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Building forms should be varied enough to avoid monotony and to avoid pyramidal massing and should be compatible with surrounding houses.</td>
<td>Consistent</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Decorative Features

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Required</th>
<th>Proposed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Decorative features should be stylistically consistent throughout the entire building.</td>
<td>Consistent.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Overall Architectural Style

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Required</th>
<th>Proposed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The overall style of each house should be consistent on all sides of the building, as well as among all portions of the roof.</td>
<td>Consistent.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Wall Materials and Finishes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Required</th>
<th>Proposed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The same material should be used on all building elevations unless multiple materials are a legitimate expression of the particular style.</td>
<td>Consistent</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Roof Materials, Types, and Slopes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Required</th>
<th>Proposed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Roof types and slopes should be generally the same over all parts of a single building.</td>
<td>Consistent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Restricted materials for roofs are pre-determined in the Town’s Building Code, which restricts roofing materials to: 1. Clay tile;</td>
<td>A Florida Building Code approved flat roof is proposed which requires approval by the Design Review Board.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2. White concrete tile;
3. Solid color cement tile which color is impregnated with the same color intensity throughout, provided said color is first approved by the planning and zoning board; and
4. Metal.

Windows and Trims

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Required</th>
<th>Proposed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Window styles should always be consistent among all elevations of a building.</td>
<td>Consistent.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frame materials should never vary on a single building.</td>
<td>No variation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Window, door and eave trim should be consistent on all elevations of the house</td>
<td>Consistent.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RECOMMENDATION

Staff recommends approval subject to the following condition:

1. At time of Building Permit, submit a Landscape Plan that meets the requirements of Town Code Section 90-95.

3. Driveway material to be verified at Building Permit.
MEMORANDUM

To: Design Review Board
Thru: Guillermo Olmedillo, Town Manager
From: Sarah Sinatra Gould, AICP, Town Planner
CC: Kathy Mehaffey, Town Attorney
Date: April 26, 2018
Re: 9482 Harding Avenue – Italian Jewelry

The subject property is located at 9482 Harding Avenue and is within the SD-B40 zoning district. The applicant is requesting one (1) illuminated wall sign for the Italian Jewelry business. The applicant is proposing a reverse channel letter sign.

Staff has reviewed the current application for consideration by the Design Review Board. In this report, Staff presents the following:

- Applicable Zoning Code regulations, along with the results of the review
- Staff Recommendation

STANDARDS / RESULTS

Town of Surfside Zoning Code, Applicable Requirements

Sec. 90-73

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Signs</th>
<th>Permitted</th>
<th>Proposed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Area</td>
<td>25 square feet</td>
<td>13 square feet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location</td>
<td>With the exception of theater marquees and V-box signs, no sign shall be erected so that any portion thereof shall project over a dedicated street or sidewalk or so that any portion thereof shall project more than five feet from any main building wall.</td>
<td>Sign does not project over the sidewalk or street.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illumination</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All signage, lettering, logos or trademarks shall be required to be lit</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>with white illumination from dusk to dawn. The illumination may be either</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>internal illumination or external illumination, however, all walls below</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>the sign shall be illuminated with white wall wash LED lighting. It shall</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>be located and directed solely at the sign. The light source shall not be</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>visible from or cast into the right-of-way, or cause glare hazards to</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pedestrians, motorists, or adjacent properties. LED backlite illumination is</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>proposed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**RECOMMENDATION**

Staff recommends approval subject to the following conditions:

1) Proposed sign shall be off-set from the wall a minimum of one quarter inch to a maximum of two inches to permit rain water to flow down the wall face;

2) The wall face shall be reconditioned and painted as necessary;
MEMORANDUM

To: Design Review Board
Thru: Guillermo Olmedillo, Town Manager
From: Sarah Sinatra Gould, AICP, Town Planner
CC: Kathy Mehaffey, Town Attorney
Date: April 26, 2018
Re: 9499 Collins Avenue – Spiaggia Ocean Condo sign

The subject property is located at 9499 Collins Avenue and is within the H120 zoning district. The applicant is requesting two (2) illuminated reverse channel letter signs for the existing Spiaggia Ocean Condominium.

Staff has reviewed the current application for consideration by the Design Review Board. In this report, Staff presents the following:

- Applicable Zoning Code regulations, along with the results of the review
- Staff Recommendation

STANDARDS / RESULTS

Town of Surfside Zoning Code, Applicable Requirements

Sec. 90-73

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Signs</th>
<th>Permitted</th>
<th>Proposed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Area    | 150 square feet | North Sign - 6.0 square feet
          |                 | West Sign – 17 square feet                      |
| Location| With the exception of theater marquees and V-box signs, no sign shall be erected so that any portion thereof shall project over a dedicated street or sidewalk or so that any portion thereof shall project more than five feet from any main building wall. | Sign does not project over the sidewalk or street. |
| Offset  | Signs shall be off-set from the wall a minimum of one quarter inch to a maximum of two inches to permit rain water to flow down the wall face. | Letters are proposed to be offset 1 inch |
Illumination

All signage, lettering, logos or trademarks shall be required to be lit with white illumination from dusk to dawn. The illumination may be either internal illumination or external illumination, however, all walls below the sign shall be illuminated with white wall wash LED lighting. It shall be located and directed solely at the sign. The light source shall not be visible from or cast into the right-of-way, or cause glare hazards to pedestrians, motorists, or adjacent properties.

Illuminated LED reverse channel letters are proposed

RECOMMENDATION

Staff recommends approval.
MEMORANDUM

To: Design Review Board
Thru: Guillermo Olmedillo, Town Manager
From: Sarah Sinatra Gould, AICP, Town Planner
CC: Kathy Mehaffey, Town Attorney
Date: April 26, 2018
Re: 9525 Carlyle Avenue – Garage Conversion

The property is located at 9525 Carlyle Avenue, within the H30B zoning. The applicant is requesting to convert their garage to approximately 260 square feet of additional living space. Other renovations are being proposed in the interior and new windows and doors are proposed on the rear of the residence.

Staff has reviewed the current application for consideration by the Design Review Board. In this report Staff presents the following:

• Applicable Zoning Code regulations, along with the results of the review
• Staff Recommendation
Town of Surfside Zoning Code, Applicable Requirements

Sec. 90-50.1 (5) Garage Facades

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Required</th>
<th>Proposed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 window</td>
<td>1 window is proposed on the front.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Landscaping required along the base</td>
<td>Landscaping will be provided in a new planter.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sec. 90-77 Off-street Parking Requirements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Required</th>
<th>Minimum Space Requirements</th>
<th>Proposed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Single-family</td>
<td>2 spaces</td>
<td>2 spaces are provided in existing driveway</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Windows and Trims

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Required</th>
<th>Proposed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Window styles should always be consistent among all elevations of a building.</td>
<td>Consistent.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frame materials should never vary on a single building.</td>
<td>No variation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Window, door and eave trim should be consistent on all elevations of the house</td>
<td>Consistent.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RECOMMENDATION

Staff recommends approval
MEMORANDUM

To: Design Review Board
Thru: Guillermo Olmedillo, Town Manager
From: Sarah Sinatra Gould, AICP, Town Planner
CC: Kathy Mehaffey, Town Attorney
Date: April 26, 2018
Re: 8975 Hawthorne Avenue - Fence

The property located at 8975 Hawthorne Avenue is within the H30B zoning district. The applicant is requesting fencing in the secondary front yard. A 4.0 foot high wood fence is proposed.

Staff has reviewed the current application for consideration by the Design Review Board. In this report Staff presents the following:

- Applicable Zoning Code regulations, along with the results of the review
- Staff Recommendation
STANDARDS / RESULTS

Town of Surfside Zoning Code, Applicable Requirements

Sec. 90.56 Fences, walls and hedges

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fence</th>
<th>Required</th>
<th>Proposed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fences in the front are only permitted with the Planning and Zoning Board’s approval.</td>
<td>A wood fence is proposed within the secondary front setback.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sec. 90-56.4 Front yard and corner yard fences and ornamental walls—Table.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frontage</th>
<th>Maximum Height (Feet)</th>
<th>Maximum Opacity (Percent)</th>
<th>Proposed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>46.25 feet</td>
<td>4.0 feet</td>
<td>All wall and fence surfaces above two (2) feet measured from grade shall maintain a maximum opacity of fifty (50) percent</td>
<td>4.0 foot wood fence is proposed. The Board to determine if 50% opacity is being met.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Recommendation

Staff recommends approval subject to the following determination:

1. The Board to determine if the proposed fencing meets the 50% opacity requirement.
REQUEST:
The agent, Graham Penn, Esq., for the owner, Surf House Condominium Association, is proposing a site plan to renovate an existing nine story tower by adding three additional stories while renovating both the interior and exterior of the tower, located at 8995 Collins Avenue. The existing building located at 8995 Collins Avenue was constructed in 1966 and is known as the Surf House Condominium. The building was designed by Robert Jerome Filer in the "International Style," an architectural style that was one of the strains of the "MiMo – Miami Modern" movement of architecture. Three sides of the building contain a grid of repetitive window patterns in a structural concrete frame. The fourth (south side) is practically a blank wall that appears to have been designed that way in anticipation of a future adjacent building. The applicant is proposing to renovate the existing nine story building and add three additional stories while renovating both the interior and exterior. The proposed renovation and addition will include 55 condominium hotel units. The existing 36 units will be demolished.

The applicant submitted an application to the Planning and Zoning Board on March 13, 2017 requesting the building to be designated Architecturally Significant. The application was heard on April 27, 2017 and was deemed significant. The applicant then submitted a site plan application on May 19, 2017. Staff confirmed that the package was complete and scheduled a Development
Review Group (DRG) meeting for June 19, 2017. Comments were provided to the applicant at this meeting and the applicant revised the site plan. A second DRG meeting was held on August 24, 2017. Comments were provided to the applicant at that time. The plans were resubmitted and a final DRG was held on September 28, 2017. The application was heard by the Planning and Zoning Board on February 22, 2018. The Board voted to defer the application as it had concerns regarding traffic back up as a result from the triple stacked system and concerns as to how the application was meeting the architectural significant ordinance.

The application was resubmitted on March 29, 2018. The changes include adding a second parking lift, reducing the encroachment into the right-of-way and modifying the architecture.

This application includes three variance applications, a right-of-way encroachment agreement and a conditional use application. The following describe the additional applications.

Variances

The applicant is requesting variances from the following sections of the code:

1. A. Section 90-82. – Off-street loading requirements (Loading Space Size).
   Two spaces are required for a condominium or hotel. Only one full size (12-feet by 30-feet) off-street loading space is provided. A second off-street loading space is provided but is 9-feet by 25-feet which does not meet the space size requirement.

2. B. Section 90-91.2. – Required buffer landscaping adjacent to streets and abutting properties (Landscape Buffer).
   A ten foot buffer is required with three trees every 50 linear feet. On the 90th Street side of the property the required buffer and trees are not able to be completely located within the applicant's property. Several of the required trees and portions of the buffer are provided off-site in the Right-of-Way which the applicant is requesting an encroachment agreement with the Town in order to maintain.

3. C. Section 90.93(1b). - Open Space (Open Space Trees).
   One large tree (35 feet) for buildings over 75 feet in height is required per 25 linear feet of the building per each side for scaling and softening. All of the required large trees are not able to be completely located within the applicant's property. Several of the required large trees are provided off-site in the Right-of-Way which the applicant is requesting an encroachment agreement with the Town in order to maintain.

Encroachment Agreement

The applicant is proposing to include 25 additional feet of Town property within their application to accommodate a vehicular drop off area and landscaping. They are proposing an encroachment agreement as the mechanism to address the encroachment. The applicant states that the result of the granting of the agreement is a loss of 25 four feet in depth, 4,288.69 386 square feet, of public property, however the proposal eliminates the use of a significant portion of 90th Street and staff disagrees with the assessment of the loss. The encroachment usurps the Town's control of a stretch of 90th Street approximately 142'7" long and includes a significantly larger area than the applicant alleges.
Conditional Uses

The project requires conditional use approval for the use of a hotel pool and an alternative parking lift system. The code requires an applicant to request conditional use approval if they are proposing a pool in connection with a hotel use. The proposed parking conditional use relates to the utilization of a triple stacked parking system. The code indicates that a parking lift can be utilized if one space is unencumbered, therefore resulting in a condition were only two vehicles can be stacked. The applicant is requesting that the Town consider an alternative program whereby three vehicles would be stacked.

The Development Impact Committee (DIC) met in an open, advertised, televised session on November 16, 2017 to discuss this application. The applicant proffered improvements to 90th Street, however, staff indicated that the Surf Club has already committed to improvements on 90th Street. Additional proffers have not been extended by the applicant.

The total gross acreage of the site is 1.16 acres, which would permit 116 units. The code requires a 15% reduction in density for aggregated properties, meaning, if a property is split between more than one site and the owner wants the benefit of amalgamating that property, the property will be subject to a 15% overall density reduction. This results in the permitted density of 99 units. The applicant is requesting to provide 55 condominium hotel units while demolishing the existing 36 units.

**STAFF RECOMMENDATION**

**Recommendation:** Staff recommends that the Planning and Zoning Board recommend denial of the site plan application, variances and conditional uses due to the following conclusions:

1. As discussed in the attached staff reports, the applicant has not demonstrated that the requests meet the Town Code requirements for variance or conditional use approval.
2. The site plan, without the significant variances, the parking lift conditional use approval and the use of Town right-of-way, does not meet the requirements of the Code.
3. Providing space for only three vehicles in the drop off area of the driveway is not practical and may result in a spillover of vehicles into the right-of-way creating traffic congestion. This would allow for a site plan that only has space for three vehicles at the pickup and drop off area, while utilizing a triple lift system for parking. This system stacks vehicles three-high, but has only one lift to accommodate the cars. This has caused staff to be concerned about potential encroachment into the right of way for excess vehicles. Staff also has concerns with valet analysis performed which has not demonstrated de minimus impacts.
4. The encroachment agreement is not in the best interest of the public as it solely serves the private property owner and does not create a public benefit. The applicant is utilizing the encroachment area as the justification for the variance, which results in the need for the encroachment area to extend to the roadway.
5. **Staff has outstanding comments relating the traffic engineering and landscape review. See attached comments.**

**Budget Impact:** The applicant has proposed a total of $500,000 in proffers to the Town. These include the following:

1. Enhancements to the 90th Street Beach Access & Promenade by beautifying 90th Street from Harding Avenue to the beach including a sidewalk between Collins and Harding
shower. The amount proffered is $378,824. Staff’s review of the proposal indicates there is a conflict with the proposed improvements already proffered by the Surf Club, which results in duplicative improvements.

2. Two solar powered trashcans. The amount proffered is $30,000.

3. Two diverter dunes at a location to be specified in the future. The amount proffered is $20,000.

4. $71,176 for the encroachment of the right-of-way.

Growth Impact: The project includes 55 condominium hotel units. The existing site has 36 units, resulting in a total of 19 more units than currently exist on site. Also, the existing building is a condominium while the proposed renovations result in the 55 units all being part of a condominium hotel. However, the property has a maximum density permitted of 99 units; therefore, based on the density alone, there are no negative impacts to level of service standards for traffic or public facilities within the Comprehensive Plan. The applicant is required to coordinate with the Miami-Dade School Board relating to School Impact Fees.

Staff Impact: The applicant has funded the review through the cost recovery process and the building permit review will be funded through the building permit fees.

Sarah Sinatra Gould, AICP, Town Planner
Guillermo Olmedillo, Town Manager
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>SITE PLAN INFORMATION:</strong></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Address</strong></td>
<td>8995 Collins Avenue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>General Location</strong></td>
<td>East and west side of Collins Avenue, south of 90th Street</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **Property Size**         | East Parcel: .83 gross acres  
                           | West Parcel: .33 gross acres |
| **Zoning District**       | East Parcel: H120  
                           | West Parcel: H40 |
| **Adjacent Zoning Districts** | East Parcel: H120 to the north and south, H40 to the west  
                           | West Parcel: H40 & H30 to the north, H40 to the south, H30C to the west, and H120 to the east |
| **Future Land Use**       | East Parcel: High Density Residential/Tourist  
                           | West Parcel: Moderate High Density Residential |
| **Density Permitted**     | East Parcel: 109 units per acre = 90 units  
                           | West Parcel: 79 units per acre = 26 units  
                           | Total: 116 X 15% reduction = 99 units permitted |
| **Number of units proposed** | East Parcel: 55 dwelling/hotel units  
                           | West Parcel: 0 dwelling units  
                           | TOTAL: 55 units proposed, with 36 existing units being demolished |
| **Number of parking spaces** | East Parcel: 111 spaces  
                           | West Parcel: 0 spaces  
                           | TOTAL Provided: 111 spaces  
                           | TOTAL Required: 108 spaces  
                           | 100% triple mechanical lift parking proposed through a Conditional Use application. |
### Sec. 90.42

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Minimum Unit Sizes</th>
<th>Minimum Required</th>
<th>Proposed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>One-bedroom</td>
<td>800 square feet</td>
<td>977 square feet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two-bedroom</td>
<td>950 square feet</td>
<td>1,272 square feet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Three-bedroom</td>
<td>1,150 square feet</td>
<td>2,240 square feet</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Sec. 90.43

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Maximum Building Heights</th>
<th>Maximum Required</th>
<th>Proposed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H120</td>
<td>120 feet maximum</td>
<td>120 feet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H40</td>
<td>40 feet maximum</td>
<td>0- lot to be sodded and fenced</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Sec. 90.44

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Modification of Height</th>
<th>Maximum Permitted</th>
<th>Proposed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H120</td>
<td>20 ft</td>
<td>14 feet, 2 inches</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30% of roof area</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>14 feet, 2 inches</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Must be of high architectural quality integral to the design of the building

The mechanical equipment, rooftop decks and parapet walls meet these criteria.

### Sec. 90.45(b)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Setbacks</th>
<th>Minimum Required</th>
<th>Proposed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Front (Collins Avenue)</td>
<td>40 ft</td>
<td>26 ft, 11 inch – Per the project receiving architecturally significant designation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rear (Beach)</td>
<td>30 ft</td>
<td>146 ft, 9 inches</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Setback from platted bulkhead line</td>
<td>20 ft</td>
<td>15 ft – Per the project receiving architecturally significant designation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Street Side</td>
<td>20 ft</td>
<td>10 ft – Per the project receiving architecturally significant designation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Side</td>
<td>10 ft</td>
<td>10 ft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H40</td>
<td>Front (Collins Avenue)</td>
<td>20 ft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Side</td>
<td>10 ft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rear</td>
<td>10 ft</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Sec. 90.47

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yards generally, allowable projections</th>
<th>Required</th>
<th>Proposed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H120 - Projections of balconies features into required yards</td>
<td>Maximum 8 feet for front, secondary and rear and 5 feet for interior side</td>
<td>7 foot front encroachment and 7 foot 1 inch side encroachment – Per the project receiving architecturally significant designation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Sec. 90.49

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lot Standards</th>
<th>Required</th>
<th>Proposed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Minimum Lot width</td>
<td>50 feet</td>
<td>East Parcel: 73 ft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>West Parcel N/A – no development proposed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum Pervious area</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>East Parcel: 20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>West Parcel: 100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Sec. 90.50.1(2)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Architecture</th>
<th>Required</th>
<th>Proposed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All elevations for new structures and multi-story additions (additions greater than fifteen (15) feet in height)</td>
<td>Minimum of 10% wall openings including windows, doors or transitional spaces defined by porches, porticoes or colonnades.</td>
<td>East and west buildings both meet or exceed 10% wall openings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roof materials are limited as follows:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. Clay Tile; or</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. White concrete tile; or</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Solid color cement tile which color is impregnated with the same color intensity throughout, provided said color if granted approval by the Design Review Board;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. Architecturally embellished metal if granted approval by the Design Review Board; or</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e. Other Florida Building Code approved roof material(s) if granted approval by the Design Review Board.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Sec. 90.50.2 (3)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Roof Deck Provisions</th>
<th>Required</th>
<th>Proposed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Roof Decks are limited to</td>
<td>Maximum 70% of the aggregate roof area;</td>
<td>62%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Shall not exceed the maximum roof height required by any abutting property’s zoning designation;</td>
<td>120 feet</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
c. Minimum setback of 10 feet from the roofline on all sides 10 feet

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Decision</th>
<th>Table</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sec. 90.67.2</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Table" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Underground utilities</td>
<td>Required</td>
<td>Proposed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All utilities including telephone, cable, and electrical systems shall be installed underground.</td>
<td>The lines are installed underground.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| **Sec. 90.77(c)** |  | ![Table](image) |
| Off-Street Parking | Minimum Required | Proposed |
| 108 Spaces | East Parcel: 111, If requested variance is granted permitting triple stack parking lifts West Parcel: 0 TOTAL: 111 |

| **Sec. 90.83** |  | ![Table](image) |
| Off-Street Loading | Minimum Required | Proposed |
| Hotel Greater than 100,000 sq ft | 2 | 1 provided. Variance requested. |

| **Sec. 90.91** |  | ![Table](image) |
| Vegetative Provisions | Minimum Required | Proposed |
| Xeriscape in pervious area | 50% | 79% |

| **Sec. 90.91.2** |  | ![Table](image) |
| Buffers | Applicant has requested a variance. |
| Landscape buffer adjacent to streets and abutting properties |

| **Sec. 90.93** |  | ![Table](image) |
| Open Space | Applicant has requested a variance. |
| Landscaping along all buildings and structures, shrubs and trees required in open space |
DEVELOPMENT IMPACT COMMITTEE MEETING

The Development Impact Committee (DIC)* met on November 16, 2017 to discuss the application for the 8995 Collins Avenue (“the Project”). The DIC meeting was attended by the following:

Staff Attendees: Guillermo Olmedillo, Town Manager  
Ross Prieto, Building Official  
Police Chief David Allen  
Kathryn Mehaffey, Town Attorney  
Randy Stokes, Public Works Director  
Duncan Tavares, Assistant Town Manager  
Tim Millan, Parks and Recreation Director  
Bill Tesauro, Landscape Reviewer  
Eric Czerniejewski, Traffic Engineer  
Sarah Sinatra Gould, Town Planner

Applicant Attendees: Achraf El Churafa, Ownership  
Graham Penn, Attorney, Bercow, Radell, Fernandez & Larkin  
Carly Koshal, Attorney, Bercow, Radell, Fernandez & Larkin  
Matt Picard, Architect, Kobi Karp  
George Kousoulas, Architect  
Camilo Tamayo, Architect  
Tom Hall, Traffic Engineering

Citizen Attendees (who signed in): None

*NOTE: The DIC meetings are televised on the Town’s Channel 77 and are well on the Town’s website and posted on Town Hall.

The following were discussed:

1. Concerns with the encroachment into the right of way and the proposed encroachment agreement.
2. Concerns with the triple stacked parking system.
3. Concerns with the amount of space for vehicular and valet stacking of vehicles.
4. Applicant proposed improvements to 90th Street, however, the improvements conflicted with the Surf Club’s proposed improvements to the right-of-way.
5. The following proffers were made:
   a. Enhancements to the 90th Street Beach Access & Promenade by beautifying 90th Street from Harding Avenue to the beach including a sidewalk between Collins and Harding Avenues and landscaping. Also proposed is an enhanced promenade at the beach entry with decorative paving, a planted coral stone gateway with signage, benches and a shower. The amount proffered is $378,824. Staff’s review of the proposal indicates there is a conflict with the proposed improvements already proffered by the Surf Club, which results in duplicative improvements.
   b. Two solar powered trashcans. The amount proffered is $30,000.
c. Two diverter dunes at a location to be specified in the future. The amount proffered is $20,000.
d. $71,176 for the encroachment of the right-of-way.
ARCHITECTURALLY SIGNIFICANT REPORT
The proposed development for the subject property has been reviewed for compliance with Section 90-33(3) of the Town Code. The following review comments are based on the contents of this section within the context of a historically significant structure.

Sec. 90-33. – Alterations or enlargement of non-conforming structures.

(3) Alterations or additions to architecturally significant buildings on H120 zoned lots that are nonconforming as to setbacks may follow existing building lines as long as the alteration or addition maintains the architectural integrity of the existing building. The lesser of the current code-required setback or the existing building line shall be deemed to be the required setback line.

Any redevelopment project undertaken under this subsection must comply with the Town's minimum finished floor elevation requirements for all portions of the building and further must be designed and developed in accordance with Leadership in Energy & Environmental Design (LEED) or Florida Green Building Coalition (FGBC) building design and construction standards.

Redevelopment projects seeking to utilize the setback exception of this subsection shall be limited to a total height of no more than twice the number of existing floors in a building, up to a maximum of 120 feet.

REVIEW COMMENTS FOR 90-33(3):

1. The proposed alterations and/or additions are not within the existing building lines:
   a. The addition of balconies extend the typical floor footprint approximately 5’-6” on the North side, 5.-0” on the South side, 6’-4” on the West side and 8’-0” on the East side. Although the proposed balconies are not within the existing building lines, they maintain the integrity of the existing building and constitute a desirable element that complements the residential use.

2. The proposed alterations and/or additions maintain the architectural integrity of the existing building:
   a. The proposed arrangement for the new balconies emphasizes the verticality of the original structure. The introduction of vertical bands with no balconies break up the horizontal bands of the new balconies thus emphasizing the original structure’s vertical orientation and creating a rhythm similar in proportions to the original fenestration. The clear glass balcony rail allows for the original building’s
vertical structural elements and the tall vertical glazing to be more prominent. This important design element, however, is not continuous from top to bottom. At the uppermost level, the balconies continue across some of the voids, thus breaking the continuity of the vertical bands from top to bottom.

b. The replacement of all glazing and repetitive vertical fenestration at the openings between columns with full glass floor to floor sliders are now part of vertical elements separated by voids and secondary to the main vertical structural elements 9'-0” on center.

c. The proposed alterations maintain two very important and prominent elements that define the style of the existing building:

i. Arches

The proposed alterations maintain the arches. The arches at the top of the building are one of the unique elements that characterize the original design. They culminate and unify the vertical structural elements. The combination of the arches and the horizontal roof line, similar in function to the entablature found in classical architecture above columns, bring together the arches and draw the eye to the top of the structure.

ii. Plinth

In the same manner that the arches are united by a horizontal element at the top of the structure, the plinth at the bottom brings together the base of the structural columns that support the arches and represents a transitional element that anchors the building façade to the ground.

REVIEW COMMENTS FOR 90-33(3)(a) Determination of Architectural Significance:

a. A request for a determination of architectural significance representative of the MiMo/ Miami Modern architectural style has been made and properly submitted.

b. Staff has reviewed the analysis prepared by the property owner and has issued a recommendation stating that the building meets the town’s standards of architectural significance.

c. After a Public Hearing, the Design Review Board has issued a determination of architectural significance.

REVIEW COMMENTS FOR 90-33(3)(b) Alterations to Architecturally Significant Buildings:

a. The revised proposed alteration or addition requires demolition or alteration in a manner that allows the building to remain architecturally significant; and

b. The proposed alteration or addition is designed in a manner that is compatible with the existing building with two exceptions:

i. The continuous balconies at the uppermost level on the North and West elevations do not allow the vertical voids to be continuous.

ii. The addition of a balcony on the South elevation’s uppermost level.
REVIEW COMMENTS FOR 90-33(3)(c) Site Plan Review for Architecturally Significant Buildings:
   a. The revised proposed alteration or addition requires demolition or alteration in a manner that allows the building to remain architecturally significant; and
   b. The proposed alteration or addition is designed in a manner that is compatible with the existing building with two exceptions:
      i. The continuous balconies at the uppermost level on the North and West elevations do not allow the vertical voids to be continuous.
      ii. The addition of a balcony on the South elevation’s uppermost level.

CONCLUSION / RECOMMENDATION

The proposed alterations to the architecturally significant building at 8995 Collins Avenue, Surfside, Florida do not comply with the requirements of Section 90-33(3) of the Code of Ordinances of the Town of Surfside, Florida.

In order for the design to achieve compliance we recommend the following revisions:

1. Continue the vertical voids between the balconies at the uppermost level on the North and West elevations in order to emphasize the verticality.
2. Include in South elevation the doors to the stairs from the balcony at the uppermost level.

Based on this review, approval is recommended if the recommendations are incorporated into the design.

Respectfully,

Manuel Synalovski, AIA, NCARB, LEED AP
Managing Principal
Request
The Applicant is requesting conditional use approval for an automated parking system that is not defined in subsection 90-77(f). The applicant is proposing a vertical parking lift for three vehicles which requires a conditional use. The applicant is also requesting conditional use approval for a pool. Code section 90-41(c) requires a conditional use application to be reviewed for pools associated with hotels.

Conditional Use Criteria
Section 90-23 of the zoning code provides standards of review for Conditional Uses. Conditional Uses are generally compatible with the other land uses permitted in a zoning district but, because of their unique characteristics or potential impacts on the surrounding neighborhood and the Town as a whole, require individual review as to their location, design, configuration, and/or operation for the particular use at the particular location proposed, as well as the imposition of individualized conditions in order to ensure that the use is compatible with the surrounding neighborhoods and appropriate at a particular location.

Town Code section 90-77(f) allows parking lifts that allow for the parking of two passenger vehicles. A parking lift space in a two-car parking lift may be counted as a parking space required by subsection 90-77(c), and shall not be subject to the minimum parking stall size requirements of subsection 90-81.1(1) provided that all of the following conditions are fulfilled:

1. A traffic queuing analysis shall be submitted by the owner of the building for parking areas using parking lifts, for review and approval by the Town Manager, to ensure efficient processing times and queue lengths. The number of parking lifts permitted to be counted as required parking spaces shall be determined by the approved queuing analysis.

The Applicant has submitted a Traffic Analysis (8995 Collins Avenue Traffic Impact Study (Revised). Staff has reviewed the report and has concerns related to the limited vehicular staging area being proposed which only permits three vehicles at a time. The resubmitted application includes an additional lift to assist with vehicles leaving the property, however, Staff continues to have concerns over the fact that only three vehicles may be staged at the drop off.

2. All parking lifts shall be located within a fully enclosed parking garage and shall not be visible from exterior view. No outside parking lifts shall be permitted.

The Applicant is proposing that all lifts will be located in a subterranean garage structure and will not be visible from the exterior.

3. Parking lifts shall be permitted only when operated by an attendant or a licensed and insured valet parking company on a 24-hour/seven-days-a-week basis, to be confirmed by restrictive covenant to be recorded by the owner/applicant prior to establishment of the use.

The Applicant is proposing that all parking for the building will be provided via 24-hour valet service.

4. No resident, guest, patron or customer of the building shall be permitted to operate the parking lift. A physical barrier shall be placed in the parking area to prohibit access to the parking lift area by residents, guests, patrons or customers of the building.

The Applicant has indicated that physical access to the basement will not be available to the general public including residents, guests, patrons or customers.
(5) All parking lifts shall be maintained and kept in good working order.

The Applicant is proposing to enter into a maintenance agreement with the manufacturer of the lifts prior to installation. It is important to note that there is only one lift to and from the parking level where the vehicles are stored. Two lifts are proposed to accommodate ingress and egress.

(6) The parking lift platform must be sealed and of a sufficient width and length to completely cover the bottom of the vehicle on the platform to prevent dripping liquids or debris onto the vehicle below.

The applicant has indicated that the proposed lifts fully comply with this requirement.

(7) All lifts must be designed so that power is required to lift the car, but that no power is required to lower the car, in order to ensure that the lift can be lowered and the top vehicle can be accessed in the event of a power outage.

The applicant has indicated that the proposed lifts fully comply with this requirement.

(8) All parking lifts must be designed to prevent lowering of the lift when a vehicle is parked below the lift.

The applicant has indicated that the proposed lifts fully comply with this requirement.

(9) Ceiling heights of any parking level with parking lifts shall be a minimum of 14 feet 4 inches and sufficient to accommodate all types of passenger vehicles. Such required height shall be proposed in the traffic queuing study and approved by the town manager. There shall be no beams, plumbing, or sprinklers that lower or otherwise interfere with this clearance across the entire span of the parking space.

The height of the parking garage is proposed to be 19 feet which has been determined to be enough height for the parking lifts and associated vehicles. However, Staff has reviewed the Traffic Analysis Report and has concerns related to the limited vehicular staging area being proposed which only permits three vehicles at a time.

(10) Noise and vibration barriers shall be utilized to ensure that surrounding walls decrease sound and vibration emissions outside of the parking garage.

The applicant has indicated that the parking garage with the lifts is below grade thus minimizing noise. They have not indicated if any other noise or vibration barriers will be utilized.

In addition to the standards set forth in this zoning code for the particular use, all proposed Conditional Uses shall meet each of the following standards. The responses to the criteria are in italics below:

(1) The proposed use shall be consistent with the Comprehensive Plan and the Zoning Code;

The proposed use of the property as a hotel with parking lifts and pools is consistent with the Comprehensive Plan and Zoning Code.
(2) The establishment, maintenance or operation of the proposed use shall not be detrimental to or endanger the public health, safety, or general welfare;

All parking for the building will be provided via 24-hour valet service therefore limiting the possibility for public endangerment. The applicant has supplied information on the safe operation and continued maintenance of the proposed lifts. However, the limited stacking and single garage elevator lift continues to create concerns regarding stacking and the potential for spillover into the street. The parking lift conditional use would allow for a site plan that only has space for three vehicles at the pickup and drop off area, while utilizing a triple lift system for parking. This system stacks vehicles three high and is providing one lift for ingress and one for egress, but has only one lift to accommodate the cars. This has caused staff to be concerned about potential encroachment into the right of way for excess vehicles.

A pool is consistent with other properties within the zoning district and is not expected be a detriment to public health, safety or welfare.

(3) The proposed use shall be compatible with the community character of the immediate neighborhood. In addition to compatibility there must be congruity between the subject development and neighboring improvements and surroundings including but not limited to form, spacing, heights, setbacks, materials, color, rhythm and pattern of architectural or aesthetic interest or value as well as with any overlays and other development schemes or legislation.

The proposed building characteristics and pool are compatible with the community character of the immediate neighborhood. However, the site improvements being proposed are not congruent with other surrounding properties since the applicant is proposing to utilize the Town’s right-of-way to meet site development standards for landscaping and access ways.

(4) Adequate provisions shall be included for safe traffic movement, both vehicular and pedestrian, both internal to the use and in the area which will serve the use;

It is staff’s interpretation that there is not adequate area available at the drop off and pick up driveway for the ingress and egress of vehicles on the property. The applicant is proposing one lift for the triple stacked vehicles as well as three spaces for the drop off area. This means that Staff’s concern is if more than three vehicles are either arriving or departing, there would be spillover of cars into the right-of-way. The applicant has also indicated that they will be storing parts for the lifts on site to provide efficient turnaround times to repair any services problems, however with only one lift, any delay would cause vehicles to be overflowed into the right of way, resulting in an unsafe vehicular and pedestrian condition.

(5) Adequate measures exist including landscaping or other buffering measures or shall be taken to mitigate any adverse effects of noise, light or other potential nuisances; and

The application includes two landscape variances. The code requires specific quantities of landscaping to be planted onsite. There is not adequate space from the existing building to the right of way line to plant the required landscaping. The alterations of the building will increase the non-conformity; therefore the project loses its non-conforming status and
will not be vested for the current landscaping. The applicant is proposing to permit off-site landscape improvements, immediately adjacent to the property in the surrounding public right-of-way. The quality and materials of the proposed landscaping would meet the code requirements if they were installed onsite. The parking lifts proposed are located in a subterranean garage structure and will not be visible from the exterior. This will limit noise, light and other potential nuisances. The hotel pool will be adequately landscaped and is not expected to negatively impact neighboring properties.

(6) The establishment of the Conditional Use shall not impede the development of surrounding properties for uses permitted in the zoning district; and

The other surrounding properties are developed or under construction. Therefore, granting the conditional use will not impede the development potential of the neighboring properties.

(7) Any other condition imposed by the Design Review Board and/or the Development Impact Committee.

This is at the discretion of the Board.

Recommendation: Denial
VARIANCE REPORT
Request

The applicant’s request is for three variances which are needed in order to bring the property into compliance with the Town’s Code while retaining the existing building lines of the architectural significant building. The applicant is also requesting approval of a Site Plan, Conditional Use, and an encroachment agreement for the property.

The following is a listing of the variances requested by the applicant:

A. Section 90-82. – Off-street loading requirements (Loading Space Size).
Two spaces are required for a condominium or hotel. Only one full size (12-feet by 30-feet) off-street loading space is provided. A second off-street loading space is provided but is 9-feet by 25-feet which does not meeting the space size requirement.

B. Section 90-91.2. – Required buffer landscaping adjacent to streets and abutting properties (Landscape Buffer).
A ten foot buffer is required with three trees every 50 linear feet. On the 90th Street side of the property the required buffer and trees are not able to be completely located within the applicant’s property. Several of the required trees and portions of the buffer are provided off-site in the Right-of-Way which the applicant is requesting an encroachment agreement with the Town in order to maintain.

C. Section 90.93(1b). - Open Space (Open Space Trees).
One large tree (35 feet) for buildings over 75 feet in height is required per 25 linear feet of the building per each side for scaling and softening. All of the required large trees are not able to be completely located within the applicant’s property. Several of the required large trees are provided off-site in the Right-of-Way which the applicant is requesting an encroachment agreement which would include also require the applicant to maintain the landscaping and trees in the Right-of-Way.

Variance Criteria

(1) Special conditions and circumstances exist which are peculiar to the land, structure, or building involved, and which are not applicable to other lands, structures, or buildings in the same zoning district;

The existing building was constructed in 1966. The code requirements have been modified since that time resulting in a non-conforming structure. The non-conforming code section states that a non-conformity may remain but cannot be enlarged or altered, unless the enlargement or alteration is conforming. The Town’s Design Review Board has approved the existing building as Architecturally Significant under the terms of Town Code Section 90-33(3) which allows for the expansion to existing buildings in the H120 Zoning District based on previously established setbacks for the building. However, the Architecturally Significant designation does not exempt the building and property from other Code requirements such as parking, buffers and landscaping. The applicant is requesting to expand the existing building with three additional floors and increasing the number of units which does not meet the requirements or intent of the non-conforming code section. Pursuant to the requirements of the non-conforming section of the Town Code, alterations of the magnitude proposed by the applicant require that the site be brought into conformance with the Town Code. Thus, the applicant is requesting variances for the three items.
A. Section 90-82. – (Loading Space Size). The applicant is choosing to expand the non-conforming building so therefore the Code requirement for two loading spaces (12’ x 30’) must be met. The site plan includes one space at 12’x30’ and another at (9’x25’) which does not meet the size requirement of the Code. The lack of a second full size loading space could result in on-street loading and unloading. Other properties within the same zoning district would be required to meet the requirement.

B. Section 90-91.2. – (Landscape Buffer). The setback on the 90th Street side of property is 10 feet. The Code requires a 10-foot buffer with three trees every 50 linear feet. However, the applicant is choosing to relocate the entrance to the building and valet parking to the 90th Street side of the property thus resulting in the required buffer and trees not being completely located within the applicant’s property. Several of the required trees and portions of the buffer are provided off-site in the Right-of-Way which the applicant is requesting an encroachment agreement with the Town in order to maintain. However, these areas, landscaped or otherwise, do not count toward the applicant’s Landscape Buffer Code requirement. Other properties within the same zoning district would be required to meet the requirement on their property.

C. Section 90.93(1b). - (Open Space Trees). One large tree (35 feet) for buildings over 75 feet in height is required per 25 linear feet of the building per each side for scaling and softening. However, the applicant is choosing to relocate the entrance to the building and valet parking to the 90th Street side of the property thus resulting in all of the required trees not being able to be completely located within the applicant’s property. Several of the required large trees are provided off-site in the Right-of-Way which the applicant is requesting an encroachment agreement with the Town in order to maintain. However, these areas, trees located in the Right-of-Way, do not count toward the applicant’s required trees. Other properties within the same zoning district would be required to meet the requirement on their property.

(2) The special conditions and circumstances do not result from the actions of the applicant or a prior owner of the property;

The existing structure was developed under a different code, which is not the result of the applicant. However, as discussed under Variance Criteria (1) the applicant is choosing to make additions and alterations to the building which trigger a loss of the building’s non-conforming status and thus the project must meet the requirements of the Town Code.

A. Section 90-82. – (Loading Space Size). The applicant is choosing to expand the non-conforming building so therefore the Code requirement for two loading spaces (12’ x 30’) is required. Therefore, the request is the result of the applicant.

B. Section 90-91.2. – (Landscape Buffer). The setback on the 90th Street side of the property is 10 feet. The Code requires a 10-foot buffer with three trees every 50 linear feet. However, the applicant is choosing to relocate the entrance to the building and valet parking to the 90th Street side of the property thus resulting in the required buffer and trees not being completely located within the applicant’s property. Therefore, the request is the result of the applicant.
C. Section 90.93(1b). - (Open Space Trees). One large tree (35 feet) for buildings over 75 feet in height is required per 25 linear feet of the building per each side for scaling and softening. However, the applicant is choosing to relocate the entrance to the building and valet parking to the 90th Street side of the property thus resulting in all of the required trees not being able to be completely located within the applicant’s property. Therefore, the request is the result of the applicant.

(3) **Literal interpretation of the provisions of the Town Code deprives the applicant of rights commonly enjoyed by other properties in the same zoning district under the terms of the Town Code and results in unnecessary and undue hardship on the applicant;**

The existing structure does not meet current Code requirements for setbacks. The building was found to be Architecturally Significant by the Design Review Board allowing expansion of the building with historic setbacks but not exempting the property from other Code requirements.

(4) **The hardship has not been deliberately or knowingly created or suffered to establish a use or structure which is not otherwise consistent with the Town of Surfside Comprehensive Plan or the Town Code;**

The original structure was built in 1966. It was not deliberately developed to be inconsistent with the Town. It was developed prior to the current Town Code requirements. The proposed project is to add three stories to the existing structure while maintaining the existing setbacks. The hardship has not been deliberately or knowingly created to establish an inconsistent project.

(5) **An applicant's desire or ability to achieve greater financial return or maximum financial return from his property does not constitute hardship;**

The applicant is requesting to add three stories to the existing structure. This will allow renovation as well as additional units. This will result in greater financial return.

(6) **Granting the variance application conveys the same treatment to the applicant as to the owner of other lands, buildings, or structures in the same zoning district;**

The original structure was built in 1966 under different Code provisions which allow for a greater floor area then is permitted by the current Code. Granting of the variances would provide the Applicant with special treatment then other owners of lands, buildings, or structures in the same zoning district.

(7) **The requested variance is the minimum variance that makes possible the reasonable use of the land, building, or structure; and**

The requested variances are not excessive and appear to be the minimum variance needed to accommodate the proposed site plan; however the property can be utilized as is and therefore the variances are a result of the proposed addition.

(8) **The requested variance is in harmony with the general intent and purpose of the Town of Surfside Comprehensive Plan and the Town Code, is not injurious to the neighborhood or otherwise detrimental to the public safety and welfare, is compatible with the neighborhood, and will not substantially diminish or impair property values within the neighborhood.**
The requested variances are generally in harmony with the intent and purpose of the Town of Surfside Comprehensive Plan and the Town Code, however the requests do not meet the Town Code requirements for approval and the variances would be injurious to the neighborhood and potentially detrimental to the public safety and welfare.

**Recommendation:** Denial
**TOWN OF SURFSIDE**  
**MULTI-FAMILY AND NON-RESIDENTIAL SITE-PLAN APPLICATION**

A complete submittal includes all items on the "Multifamily and Non-Residential Site-Plan Application Submission Checklist" document as well as completing this application in full. The owner and agent must sign the application with the appropriate supplemental documentation attached. Please print legibly in ink or type on this application form.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PROJECT INFORMATION</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OWNER'S NAME</td>
<td>Surf House Condominium Association, Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHONE / FAX</td>
<td>see agent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AGENT'S NAME</td>
<td>Graham Penn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADDRESS</td>
<td>200 S. Biscayne Blvd., Suite 850 Miami FL 33131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHONE / FAX</td>
<td>305 377 6229</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROPERTY ADDRESS</td>
<td>8995 Collins Avenue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZONING CATEGORY</td>
<td>H-120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED WORK</td>
<td>Site plan approval for expansion to existing multi-family building.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ZONING STANDARDS</th>
<th>Required</th>
<th>Provided</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Plot Size</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Setbacks (F/R/S)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lot Coverage</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pervious Area</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**INTERNAL USE ONLY**

Date Submitted  
Report Completed  
Fee Paid  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Number</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Signature of Owner</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5/10/17</td>
<td>Vice President, Surf House Condominium Association, Inc.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Signature of Agent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5/19/17</td>
<td>Town of Surfside – Multi-Family and Non-Residential Site Plan Application</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Affidavit of Ownership

I, Jason Halpern, am over the age of 21 and otherwise am sui juris, and being duly sworn, allege and state:

1. I am the Vice President of the Surf House Condominium Association, Inc.

2. Surf House Condominium Association, Inc. (the "Owner") owns the Common Areas of the "Surf House" site identified by Miami Dade County Folio Reference Number 14-2235-022-0001 (the "Property").

3. The Property is located at the northeast and southeast corners of the intersection of 90 Street and Collins Avenue within the Town of Surfside, specifically identified by the address 8995 Collins Avenue.

4. The proposed redevelopment includes an expansion to the existing building and the creation of a new parking structure.

FURTHER AFFIANT SAYETH NAUGHT.

Jason Halpern, Vice President of Surf House Condominium Association, Inc.

STATE OF NEW YORK

COUNTY OF KINGS: Suffolk

The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me by Jason Halpern, Vice President of Surf House Condominium Association, Inc., who is personally known to me or has produced Driver License as identification.

My commission expires 8/14/18

Notary Public, State of New York

BRIAN G. BROWN
Notary Public, State of New York
No. 01BR6151227
Qualified in Suffolk County
Commission Expires August 14, 2018
January 8, 2018

VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL AND HAND DELIVERY

Sarah Sinatra, AICP  
Town Planner  
Town of Surfside  
9293 Harding Avenue  
Surfside, FL 33154  

Re: Site Plan, Conditional Use Approval for Automated Parking, Variances, and Vehicular and Pedestrian Access Agreement for 8995 Collins Avenue.

Dear Ms. Sinatra:

Our firm represents Surf House Condominium Association (the “Applicant”) in connection with the redevelopment of 8995 Collins Avenue (the “Property”). As you know, the Property is currently developed with the Miami Modern-designed Surf House condominium. The Applicant proposes to expand the building under the terms of the newly adopted “architectural significance” criteria for existing buildings in H-120 zone. Please consider this letter the Applicant’s letter of intent in support of its application seeking site plan approval, conditional use approval, variance approvals, and Town approval of an access and improvement agreement to permit right of way improvements in 90th Street. Attached hereto is our Appendix, which includes back up materials to this letter.

The Property. The building was constructed in 1966 and was designed as the Surf House condominium by Robert Jerome Filer Architect in the “International Style,” an architectural style that was one of the strains of the “MiMo” - Miami Modern movement. Three sides of the building contain a grid of repetitive window patterns in a structural concrete frame which is expressed on the exterior. The fourth or south facade is practically a blank wall. It appears to have been constructed to anticipate a future adjacent building height of at least the same height and width as 8995 Collins Avenue. Parking for the building has been
located in the basement and across the street on a surface lot. The surface lot is not a part of the instant application.

Recently, the Town’s Design Review Board approved the building as the Town’s first recognized “architecturally significant” building under the terms of Section 90-33(3). This section of the Town Code allows for expansions to existing buildings in the H-120 zone that rely on historic setbacks. The code does not, however, exempt architecturally significant buildings from landscaping requirements. Because of the constraints of the existing site, including narrow setback areas, full compliance with the Town’s landscaping requirements is not possible.

The building has been used as a residential condominium since its original construction. Vehicular access to the building has historically either been from drop off in the travel lane of 90th Street (where the main pedestrian entrance to the building is located) or through Collins Avenue (where the entrance to the underground parking area is located). The building has become surrounded by newer development in recent years – including the larger Surf Club project to the north and a new residential tower under construction at 8955 Collins Avenue to the south.

**Proposed Development Plan.** The Applicant proposes to develop a condominium hotel development of 55 units on the Property. The plan has been designed to highlight the architecture of the existing building. Tab E attached hereto includes an analysis of the development’s consistency with the requirements of Section 90-33(3) of the City’s regulations.

**Parking/Access.** The building will provide a dedicated parking entrance and drop off area along 90th Street, limiting vehicular impacts on Collins Avenue. The 90th Street drop off area will also provide loading space for daily delivery vehicles. Because of site constraints, the main loading area will need to be retained on Collins Avenue, but has been redesigned to limit its impacts by using turfblock and installing extensive landscaping. Because vehicles will be in the loading areas only sporadically, we believe that the proposed design is consistent with the goal of improving the Collins Avenue frontage while still providing the needed loading capacity. The existing curb cut along Collins Avenue is 19'7” in width, which is more than sufficient to accommodate the limited use expected of the loading area but below the Town Code’s current requirements of twenty-two (22) feet.

Parking for the site will be provided underneath the building. Parking will be exclusively through a 24-hour valet service. The Applicant is proposing to access the subterranean parking through a car elevator system and provide the
parking using “triple stacker” vehicle lifts. The lifts will be completely subterranean and therefore will create no noise of vibration audible outside of the building.

As noted in the submitted traffic analysis, the parking system will allow for the efficient functioning of the operation and will not result in external impacts.

Parking Conditional Use Approval. As provided by Section 90-77(f), parking lifts are permitted in the Town subject to multiple operational conditions. The Code permits tradition two-vehicle tandem lifts “as of right,” but requires all other parking systems to obtain conditional use approval. The Applicant is proposing to use a stacker system that allows for vertical stacking of three vehicles. Other than accommodating three vehicles, the proposed lifts have the identical function to traditional tandem lifts. The lifts also comply with all of the Town’s codified requirements. The various standards are as follows:

(1) A traffic queuing analysis shall be submitted by the owner of the building for parking areas using parking lifts, for review and approval by the Town Manager, to ensure efficient processing times and queue lengths. The number of parking lifts permitted to be counted as required parking spaces shall be determined by the approved queuing analysis; and

The Applicant has submitted the required traffic analysis.

(2) All parking lifts shall be located within a fully enclosed parking garage and shall not be visible from exterior view. No outside parking lifts shall be permitted; and

All lifts will be located in a subterranean garage structure and will not be visible from the exterior.

(3) Parking lifts shall be permitted only when operated by an attendant or a licensed and insured valet parking company on a 24-hour/seven-days-a-week basis, to be confirmed by restrictive covenant to be recorded by the owner/applicant prior to establishment of the use; and

All parking for the building will be provided via 24-hour valet service.

(4) No resident, guest, patron or customer of the building shall be permitted to operate the parking lift. A physical barrier shall be placed in the parking
area to prohibit access to the parking lift area by residents, guests, patrons or customers of the building; and

No physical access to the basement will be available to residents, guests, or patrons.

(5) All parking lifts shall be maintained and kept in good working order; and

The Applicant will be entering into a maintenance agreement with the manufacturer of the lifts prior to installation.

(6) The parking lift platform must be sealed and of a sufficient width and length to completely cover the bottom of the vehicle on the platform to prevent dripping liquids or debris onto the vehicle below; and

The proposed lifts fully comply with this requirement.

(6) All lifts must be designed so that power is required to lift the car, but that no power is required to lower the car, in order to ensure that the lift can be lowered and the top vehicle can be accessed in the event of a power outage; and

The proposed lifts fully comply with this requirement.

(7) All parking lifts must be designed to prevent lowering of the lift when a vehicle is parked below the lift; and

The proposed lifts fully comply with this requirement.

(8) Ceiling heights of any parking level with parking lifts shall be a minimum of 14 feet 4 inches and sufficient to accommodate all types of passenger vehicles. Such required height shall be proposed in the traffic queuing study and approved by the town manager. There shall be no beams, plumbing, or sprinklers that lower or otherwise interfere with this clearance across the entire span of the parking space; and

The height of the parking level meets and exceeds this requirement.

(10) Noise and vibration barriers shall be utilized to ensure that surrounding walls decrease sound and vibration emissions outside of the parking garage.

Noise from the system will be minimized as it will be completely
In sum, the proposed lifts meet all of the Town’s requirements for a parking lift system. The location of the lifts below ground will render them invisible and inaudible from neighboring properties and the public right of way. The proposed system will allow for the provision of adequate parking within the constraints of the Property.

Conditional Use Criteria. In addition to the specific requirements for mechanical parking systems, the proposed lifts are consistent with the standard conditional use criteria of Section 90-23.2 as follows:

1. The proposed use shall be consistent with the Comprehensive Plan and the Zoning Code;

   The proposed parking lifts will support a use permitted by both the Town’s Comprehensive Plan and Zoning Code.

2. The establishment, maintenance or operation of the proposed use shall not be detrimental to or endanger the public health, safety, or general welfare;

   The proposed lifts will allow for the efficient and safe parking of the building in a manner that will reduce risk to the public by limiting all parking activity to the Property.

3. The proposed use shall be compatible with the community character of the immediate neighborhood. In addition to compatibility there must be congruity between the subject development and neighboring improvements and surroundings including but not limited to form, spacing, heights, setbacks, materials, color, rhythm and pattern of architectural or aesthetic interest or value as well as with any overlays and other development schemes or legislation.

   The proposed lifts, located within the building, will be invisible to other properties or the public.

4. Adequate provisions shall be included for parking and safe traffic movement, both vehicular and pedestrian, both internal to the use and in the area which will serve the use;

   As noted above, the lift and elevator system has been designed to safely and efficiently move vehicles in and out of the building.
(5) Adequate measures exist including landscaping or other buffering measures or shall be taken to mitigate any adverse effects of noise, light or other potential nuisances; and

The impact of the lifts has been mitigated in the best way possible, by locating them underground.

(6) The establishment of the conditional use shall not impede the development of surrounding properties for uses permitted in the zoning district.

The use of parking lifts will in no way limit the development of surrounding properties.

Vehicular and Pedestrian Access Agreement. As noted above, the building has historically had limited vehicular access. Cars were obligated to enter and exit the site from Collins Avenue. That access may have been workable in the mid-1960s but current conditions (and the Town’s standards) demand that improved access, including a drop off area and loading space, be provided.

The Applicant has designed a revised vehicular entrance and stacking area along 90th Street. That design should result in a reduction in traffic issues along Collins Avenue and improve pedestrian safety. Because the building was designed with a very limited setback along 90th Street and the Applicant intends to keep that existing building line, designing the drop off area has been complicated. 90th Street may be a low-traffic “dead end” road, but the Applicant understands that queuing of vehicles in the street is unacceptable to the Town. The Applicant therefore proposes that the Town accept a Vehicular and Pedestrian Access Agreement permitting the use of a small (1,288 square foot) sliver of right of way for purposes of vehicle queuing and loading. A draft of the agreement is included in Tab A of the attached Appendix.

Included in Tab A are drawings explaining the proposed agreement. The drawing labeled “Vehicular Access Area” depicts the portion of right of way that the Applicant proposes to utilize, on a non-exclusive basis, for driveways and vehicular stacking. The larger area, depicted on the “Improvement Area” drawing, is the portion of the 90th Street right of way that the Applicant proposes to improve and maintain under the agreement. These improvements include the installation and maintenance of landscaping and pedestrian improvements. Note that the improvements under the agreement are different in scope than the other, off-site, pedestrian improvements discussed below.
We understand that the proposed agreement is a new concept in the Town. Similar agreements are used throughout South Florida. In our experience, these agreements are especially useful in situations such as the instant application, where existing building setbacks do not permit sufficient room to accommodate more modern access standards.

**Variances.** As noted above, retaining the existing building lines of the architecturally significant building has come at some cost to the flexibility of design for the Property. In fact, it has resulted in the need for several technical variances of the Town Code. As shown on the “Variance Summary” (Tab C), the existing building line of the architecturally significant building is simply too close to the northern property line to accommodate the modern loading and landscaping requirements of the Town on the site.

The Applicant has attempted to mitigate the impact of each variance, including by planting trees that cannot “count” for zoning purposes and providing a loading area for daily delivery vehicles that, while effective, does not meet the Town’s technical size requirements. All of the required trees are being provided, with trees located both within the Property and in the Improvement Area of the 90th Street right of way.

The Applicant has recognized the following variances:

1. **Sec. 90-82. - Off-street loading requirements.**

Two spaces are required for a condo/hotel. One full size space is provided; a second provided space does not meet the Town’s size requirements.

As noted above, the Applicant has included one very large space that will allow for “move in” and garbage pick-up, and one daily delivery space. The daily delivery space is sized at 9’ by 25’ and does not meet the Town’s size requirements. That space is further partially located within the Vehicular Access Area, hence the need for the requested variance.

2. **90-91.2 Required buffer landscaping adjacent to streets and abutting properties.**

Three trees are required for each 50 linear feet under the terms of Section 90-91.2. Because of the narrowness of the setback of the building footprint, there is simply not enough planting room for all of the required trees along 90th Street within the Property. The Applicant is proposing to provide all three of the required trees, using both the Property and portions of the
Improvement Area. Therefore, the benefits of the required tree planting to the public will still be provided.

A minimum ten-foot-wide landscape strip is also required, not including overhands or awnings around all the buildings. There is simply not enough room to fit the full ten-foot-wide strip along the 90th Street property line while still providing for access to the building. The Applicant will still be providing more than sufficient open space in the design and the Improvement Area is proposed to be developed in a manner to include the full buffer.

3. Sec. 90-93. - Open Space

One large (35’ foot ) tree per 25 linear feet of each building on all sides for scaling and softening.

There is simply not enough room to fit all of these large required trees on the Property in a manner that will allow the trees to reach their natural size. The Applicant is proposing to provide all eight of the required trees, using both the Property and the Improvement Area.

Variance Standards. Section 90-36(8) of the Town Code provides that variances may be approved based on a showing that:

a. Special conditions and circumstances exist which are peculiar to the land, structure, or building involved, and which are not applicable to other lands, structures, or buildings in the same zoning district;

All of the requested variances are created by the need to accommodate a modern development on a narrow site while still complying with the spirit of Section 90-33. Development of the Property under both the architecturally significant building regulations and the Town’s modern zoning requirements is essentially impossible without the modest variances requested herein.

b. The special conditions and circumstances do not result from the actions of the applicant or a prior owner of the property;

The special conditions and circumstances presented here are due to the confluence of several factors – the size of the Property, the existing development on the site, the terms of Section 90-33 as applied to architecturally significant buildings, and the Town’s current
c. Literal interpretation of the provisions of the zoning code deprives the applicant of rights commonly enjoyed by other properties in the same zoning district under the terms of the zoning code and results in unnecessary and undue hardship on the applicant; 

See below for full discussion of the hardship issue.

d. The hardship has not been deliberately or knowingly created or suffered to establish a use or structure which is not otherwise consistent with the town comprehensive plan or the zoning code; 

As noted above, the hardship at issue here was not created by the Applicant; it was further not knowingly created by the Town. The proposed use of the Property will be consistent with the comprehensive plan and all other requirements of the zoning code.

e. An applicant's desire or ability to achieve greater financial return or maximum financial return from his property does not constitute hardship; 

The hardship created in the instant application is not economic.

f. Granting the variance application conveys the same treatment to the applicant as to the owner of other lands, buildings, or structures in the same zoning district; 

The approval of the requested variances will allow the Property to be developed in the same manner as similarly-situated parcels in the H-120 zone. The Applicant is not obtaining a special benefit.

g. The requested variance is the minimum variance that makes possible the reasonable use of the land, building, or structure; and 

Development of the Property under the terms of Section 90-33 would simply be impossible without the requested variances.

h. The requested variance is in harmony with the general intent and purpose of the town comprehensive plan and the zoning code, is not injurious to the neighborhood or otherwise detrimental to the public safety and welfare, is compatible with the neighborhood, and will not substantially diminish or
impair property values within the neighborhood.

As explained above, the proposed variances would not lead to the “real world” reduction in open space, loading capacity, or landscaping. The requested variances will therefore create no negative impact on the public interest and will allow for development that is in harmony with the surrounding neighborhood.

Undue Hardship. When reviewing the hardship issue in this case, it is important to remember that this site is in a unique circumstance. Not only is the site unusually narrow, it is developed with a building that the Town has deemed to be architecturally significant. Under the terms of Section 90-33 of the Town’s regulations, the Applicant may retain the existing building lines of the structure. Unfortunately, Section 90-33 does not exempt the Applicant from the Town’s modern landscaping or loading requirements, both of which are very different from the standards in place when the existing building was designed and constructed. As explained above, there is simply not enough room between the existing northern building line of the structure and the northern property line to fully accommodate all of the trees and a second full size loading space.

The conclusion that this site and application represent a hardship that can support the requested minor variances is consistent with other major examples in variance law. Courts have also concluded that the unique limitations on parcels imposed by historic preservation regulations can support a finding of undue hardship. In the seminal case of United Unions Inc. v. District of Columbia Board of Zoning Adjustment, the District of Columbia Court of Appeals concluded that the historic nature of a property and/or the structures on the property may alone create a hardship upon which a variance may properly be supported. 554 A.2d 313 (D.C. Appeals 1989).

The United Unions case centered on a development application filed for an expansion to the Corcoran Gallery of Art, a designated historical landmark in the District of Columbia. In order to augment the Gallery’s revenues, the Trustees of the Corcoran filed an application to develop a new seven-story office addition to the building on adjacent vacant land. The development of the new addition necessitated the approval of variances. In reviewing a challenge to the variance approval filed by adjacent property owners, the United Unions court held that the fact that the Corcoran Gallery was a historic structure created special conditions that supported the finding that unnecessary hardship would be created by the failure to grant the variances.
The instant application presents an analogous situation to the example cited above. The requested variances have been necessitated by the Town’s determination that the existing building lines should be maintained in order to encourage the adaptive redevelopment of a building that has architectural value to the Town. Development within the existing building lines simply does not leave sufficient room for the trees and loading space on the Property. In order for Section 90-33 to have any reasonable application on the Property, these minor variances will be needed.

**Green Building.** As contemplated by Section 90-33 of the City’s regulations, the proposed redevelopment is being designed to meet the requirements of the “Florida Green High-Rise Residential Building Standard.” The Applicant’s worksheet is attached as Tab D.

**Additional Off-Site Improvements.** The Applicant has been in active discussions with the Town administration regarding a package of potential off-site improvements. As you know, 90th Street has the potential to provide a superior pedestrian access way to and from the beach. The Applicant is committing to assist by installing additional public amenities and pedestrian improvements from Harding Avenue east to the beach. The current plan for these improvements is included in Tab B. Note that these improvements are not duplicative of the landscaping and pedestrian improvements proposed for the Improvement Area defined in the Vehicular and Pedestrian Access Agreement.

**Conclusion.** We look forward to your review. If you have any questions or concerns regarding this letter, please do not hesitate to phone my direct line at (305) 377-6229 or send me an email at gpenn@brzoninglaw.com.

Sincerely,

Graham Penn
1. The building owner or condominium association must maintain a service contract with the manufacturer or manufacturer-approved service company at all times to ensure continued operation of the lifts and car elevator. Proof of the service contract must be provided to the Town annually.

2. The parking system must be staffed by the number of personnel of a licensed and insured valet parking company adequate to accommodate demand at all times. Proof of the valet service contract must be provided to the Town annually.

3. Maintenance on the car elevator or lifts shall take not place between 7:00 AM and 7:00 PM on weekdays and between 9:00 AM and 7:00 PM on Saturdays and Sundays.

4. The Applicant shall store replacement mechanical parts for the elevator system on the Property and shall retain a contract with an elevator repair company ensuring 24/7 service. Proof of the elevator service contract must be provided to the Town annually.

5. Within 90 days of the sale and/or lease of all of the units in the renovated building, the applicant shall provide the Manager with a report on the functioning of the parking system. If the report determines that the system is causing unacceptable negative impact on the safety of pedestrians and/or the reasonable flow of traffic on 90th Street because of the queuing of vehicles entering or exiting the system, the applicant shall be required to undertake modifications to the system or staffing to resolve the issue. These modifications may include the utilization of the existing parking lot at the NW corner of Collins Avenue and 90th Street for additional vehicular queuing. If the Town Manager determines, after reviewing the report, that no excessive vehicular queuing is occurring at the time of the report, no further reports will be required.

6. All mechanical parking lifts and/or the car elevator must be maintained and kept in good working order and must be inspected by a licensed mechanical engineer at least once annually.
March 27, 2018

VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL AND HAND DELIVERY

Sarah Sinatra, AICP
Town Planner
Town of Surfside
9293 Harding Avenue
Surfside, FL 33154


Dear Ms. Sinatra:

Our firm represents Surf House Condominium Association (the “Applicant”) in connection with the redevelopment of 8995 Collins Avenue (the “Property”). As you know, the Property is currently developed with the Miami Modern-designed Surf House condominium. The Applicant proposes to expand the building under the terms of the newly adopted “architectural significance” criteria for existing buildings in H-120 zone. Please consider this letter the Applicant’s amended letter of intent in support of its application seeking site plan approval, conditional use approval, variance approvals, and Town approval of a vehicular access agreement. Attached hereto is our Appendix, which includes back up materials to this letter.

The Property. The building was constructed in 1966 and was designed as the Surf House condominium by Robert Jerome Filer Architect in the “International Style,” an architectural style that was one of the strains of the “MiMo” - Miami Modern movement. Three sides of the building contain a grid of repetitive window patterns in a structural concrete frame which is expressed on the exterior. The fourth or south facade is practically a blank wall. It appears to have been constructed to anticipate a future adjacent building height of at least the same height and width as 8995 Collins Avenue. Parking for the building has been located in the basement and across the street on a surface lot. The surface lot is not
Recently, the Town’s Design Review Board approved the building as the Town’s first recognized “architecturally significant” building under the terms of Section 90-33(3). This section of the Town Code allows for expansions to existing buildings in the H-120 zone that rely on historic setbacks. The code does not, however, exempt architecturally significant buildings from landscaping requirements. Because of the constraints of the existing site, including narrow setback areas, full compliance with the Town’s landscaping requirements is not possible.

The building has been used as a residential condominium since its original construction. Vehicular access to the building has historically either been from drop off in the travel lane of 90th Street (where the main pedestrian entrance to the building is located) or through Collins Avenue (where the entrance to the underground parking area is located). The building has become surrounded by newer development in recent years – including the larger Surf Club project to the north and a new residential tower under construction at 8955 Collins Avenue to the south.

Amended Development Plan. The Applicant proposes to develop a condominium hotel development of 55 units on the Property. The building is not proposed to include food or beverage uses at this time, so it will remain a low-impact use, generating minimal traffic or noise.

In response to comments from the Town’s architectural consultant and the Design Review Board, the Applicant has made several changes to the design and operation of the development plan. Specifically, the Applicant has: (1) adjusted the proposed new balconies and made other architectural revisions to better reflect and emphasize the hallmark elements of the building’s design; (2) modified the vehicular access plan to reduce the amount of 90th Street impacted by the proposed driveways; (3) added a second vehicle elevator to provide access to the basement parking proposed for the building; and (4) proposed an expanded and improved public pedestrian corridor along 90th Street, designed to provide access to the beach from Harding Avenue to the beachwalk. Tab E attached hereto includes an analysis of the development’s consistency with the requirements of Section 90-33(3) of the Town’s regulations.

Amendments to the Architecture. In response to comments by the Design Review Board, the Applicant has revised the design in two major ways. In areas where the earlier presentation may have not fully conveyed the positive
attributes of the existing building's design, the team has provided additional enhancements to bring those elements to the fore. In response to the concerns of the Design Review Board regarding the original cornice of the building, we have redesigned elements of the façade to even more closely align with the observations of the Town's architectural consultant. Balconies and their respective gaps have been aligned to stress the verticality of the building and its columns, leading the eye to the powerful arches and vaults of the cornice. The balconies themselves have been deemphasized thought the use of a low-iron glass balustrade free of metal supports (the ability of this ultra-clear glass, used in this manner, to recede from view can be seen on a recently completed building in Sunny Isles).

(2) Amended Parking/Access. As with the previous design, the building will provide a dedicated parking entrance and drop off area along 90th Street, limiting vehicular impacts on Collins Avenue. The 90th Street drop off area will also provide loading space for daily delivery vehicles. Because of site constraints, the main loading area will need to be retained on Collins Avenue, but has been redesigned to limit its impacts by using turfblock and installing extensive landscaping. Because vehicles will be in the loading areas only sporadically, we believe that the proposed design is consistent with the goal of improving the Collins Avenue frontage while still providing the needed loading capacity.

Parking for the site will be provided underneath the building. Parking will be exclusively through a 24-hour valet service. The Applicant is proposing to access the subterranean parking through a car elevator system and provide the parking using “triple stacker” vehicle lifts. The lifts will be completely subterranean and therefore will create no noise of vibration auditable outside of the building.

The introduction of a second vehicle elevator (See No. 3, below) has permitted a more coherent flow of inbound and outbound cars. The proposed elevators will separated from each other and aligned with their respective curb cuts. The design creates efficient loading and unloading of the elevators and ensures that the vehicular movements related to one do not interfere with those of the other. As noted below, the Applicant has managed to make these changes while significantly reducing the impact on the public right of way, improving the pedestrian experience and enhancing safety.

(3) Second Elevator for Improved Functionality and Safety. As noted in the submitted traffic analysis, the parking system will allow for the efficient functioning of the operation and will not result in external impacts. The Applicant has revised the development plan so that two car elevators will serve the
subterranean parking system.

This change has three major benefits: (1) it will provide additional capacity for the system in instances of high demand; (2) it will allow for redundancy in the event of a mechanical issue with one of the elevators; and (3) most important, it will recue the potential impact on the public. In order to create a superior pedestrian experience, it is important to reduce the number of potential vehicular or pedestrian interactions as much as possible. The amended parking system for the site will meet that goal.

(4) Expanded Proposed Pedestrian Corridor. As before, the Applicant is proposing improvements to the beachwalk (the area from the street-end to the hardpack) and the 90th Street Right of Way. The latter is amended here to include small but important improvements to the section east of Collins and more substantial improvements to the section between Harding and Collins Avenues. The eastern leg sees the addition of landscaping on both sides of the sidewalk with trees planted along the street. The western leg takes the recent one-way test of this block and makes it a new streetscaped feature of the Town. Both legs will provide a substantial improvement to pedestrian access along 90th Street.

Parking Conditional Use Approval. As provided by Section 90-77(f), parking lifts are permitted in the Town subject to multiple operational conditions. The Code permits tradition two-vehicle tandem lifts "as of right," but requires all other parking systems to obtain conditional use approval. The Applicant is proposing to use a stacker system that allows for vertical stacking of three vehicles. Other than accommodating three vehicles, the proposed lifts have the identical function to traditional tandem lifts. The lifts also comply with all of the Town's codified requirements. The various standards are as follows:

(1) A traffic queuing analysis shall be submitted by the owner of the building for parking areas using parking lifts, for review and approval by the Town Manager, to ensure efficient processing times and queue lengths. The number of parking lifts permitted to be counted as required parking spaces shall be determined by the approved queuing analysis; and

The Applicant has submitted the required traffic analysis.

(2) All parking lifts shall be located within a fully enclosed parking garage and shall not be visible from exterior view. No outside parking lifts shall be permitted; and
All lifts will be located in a subterranean garage structure and will not be visible from the exterior.

(3) *Parking lifts shall be permitted only when operated by an attendant or a licensed and insured valet parking company on a 24-hour/seven-days-a-week basis, to be confirmed by restrictive covenant to be recorded by the owner/applicant prior to establishment of the use; and*

All parking for the building will be provided via 24-hour valet service.

(4) *No resident, guest, patron or customer of the building shall be permitted to operate the parking lift. A physical barrier shall be placed in the parking area to prohibit access to the parking lift area by residents, guests, patrons or customers of the building; and*

No physical access to the basement will be available to residents, guests, or patrons.

(5) *All parking lifts shall be maintained and kept in good working order; and*

The Applicant will be entering into a maintenance agreement with the manufacturer of the lifts prior to installation.

(6) *The parking lift platform must be sealed and of a sufficient width and length to completely cover the bottom of the vehicle on the platform to prevent dripping liquids or debris onto the vehicle below; and*

The proposed lifts fully comply with this requirement.

(6) *All lifts must be designed so that power is required to lift the car, but that no power is required to lower the car, in order to ensure that the lift can be lowered and the top vehicle can be accessed in the event of a power outage; and*

The proposed lifts fully comply with this requirement.

(7) *All parking lifts must be designed to prevent lowering of the lift when a vehicle is parked below the lift; and*

The proposed lifts fully comply with this requirement.
(8) Ceiling heights of any parking level with parking lifts shall be a minimum of 14 feet 4 inches and sufficient to accommodate all types of passenger vehicles. Such required height shall be proposed in the traffic queuing study and approved by the town manager. There shall be no beams, plumbing, or sprinklers that lower or otherwise interfere with this clearance across the entire span of the parking space; and

The height of the parking level meets and exceeds this requirement.

(10) Noise and vibration barriers shall be utilized to ensure that surrounding walls decrease sound and vibration emissions outside of the parking garage.

Noise from the system will be minimized as it will be completely subterranean.

In sum, the proposed lifts meet all of the Town’s requirements for a parking lift system. The location of the lifts below ground will render them invisible and inaudible from neighboring properties and the public right of way. The proposed system will allow for the provision of adequate parking within the constraints of the Property.

Conditional Use Criteria. In addition to the specific requirements for mechanical parking systems, the proposed lifts are consistent with the standard conditional use criteria of Section 90-23.2 as follows:

(1) The proposed use shall be consistent with the Comprehensive Plan and the Zoning Code;

The proposed parking lifts will support a use permitted by both the Town’s Comprehensive Plan and Zoning Code.

(2) The establishment, maintenance or operation of the proposed use shall not be detrimental to or endanger the public health, safety, or general welfare;

The proposed lifts will allow for the efficient and safe parking of the building in a manner that will reduce risk to the public by limiting all parking activity to the Property. The amended system goes farther in reducing these impacts through the addition of a second car elevator.

(3) The proposed use shall be compatible with the community character of the immediate neighborhood. In addition to compatibility there must be congruity
between the subject development and neighboring improvements and surroundings including but not limited to form, spacing, heights, setbacks, materials, color, rhythm and pattern of architectural or aesthetic interest or value as well as with any overlays and other development schemes or legislation.

The proposed lifts, located within the building, will be invisible to other properties or the public.

(4) Adequate provisions shall be included for parking and safe traffic movement, both vehicular and pedestrian, both internal to the use and in the area which will serve the use;

As noted above, the lift and elevator system has been designed to safely and efficiently move vehicles in and out of the building. The amended plan represents a significant improvement in pedestrian safety.

(5) Adequate measures exist including landscaping or other buffering measures or shall be taken to mitigate any adverse effects of noise, light or other potential nuisances; and

The impact of the lifts has been mitigated in the best way possible, by locating them underground.

(6) The establishment of the conditional use shall not impede the development of surrounding properties for uses permitted in the zoning district.

The use of parking lifts will in no way limit the development of surrounding properties.

Voluntary Additional Conditions Related to Parking System. The Applicant has agreed to the following additional conditions to be imposed on the operation of the parking system:

(1) The building owner or condominium association must maintain a service contract with the manufacturer or manufacturer-approved service company at all times to ensure continued operation of the lifts and car elevator. Proof of the service contract must be provided to the Town annually.
(2) The parking system must be staffed by the number of personnel of a licensed and insured valet parking company adequate to accommodate demand at all times. Proof of the valet service contract must be provided to the Town annually.

(3) Maintenance on the car elevators or lifts shall take not place between 7:00 AM and 7:00 PM on weekdays and between 9:00 AM and 7:00 PM on Saturdays and Sundays.

(4) The Applicant shall store replacement mechanical parts for the elevator system on the Property and shall retain a contract with an elevator repair company ensuring 24/7 service. Proof of the elevator service contract must be provided to the Town annually.

(5) Within 120 days of the sale and/or lease of all of the units in the renovated building, the applicant shall provide the Manager with a report on the functioning of the parking system. If the report determines that the system is causing unacceptable negative impact on the safety of pedestrians and/or the reasonable flow of traffic on 90th Street because of the queuing of vehicles entering or exiting the system, the applicant shall be required to undertake modifications to the system or staffing to resolve the issue. These modifications may include the utilization of the existing parking lot at the NW corner of Collins Avenue and 90th Street for additional vehicular queuing. If the Town Manager determines, after reviewing the report, that no excessive vehicular queuing is occurring at the time of the report, no further reports will be required.

(6) All mechanical parking lifts and/or the car elevators must be maintained and kept in good working order and must be inspected by a licensed mechanical engineer at least once annually.

Vehicular Access Encroachment Agreement. As noted above, the building has historically had limited vehicular access. Cars were obligated to enter and exit the site from Collins Avenue. That access may have been workable in the mid-1960s but current conditions (and the Town's standards) demand that improved access, including a drop off area and loading space, be provided.

The Applicant has designed a revised vehicular entrance and stacking area along 90th Street. That design should result in a reduction in traffic issues along Collins Avenue and improve pedestrian safety. Because the building was designed
with a very limited setback along 90th Street and the Applicant intends to keep that existing building line, designing the drop off area has been complicated. 90th Street may be a low-traffic “dead end” road, but the Applicant understands that queuing of vehicles in the street is unacceptable to the Town.

The Applicant had proposed that the Town accept an agreement permitting the use of a small sliver of right of way *1,288 square feet) for purposes of providing additional room for vehicle queuing and loading. Since the first hearing on the development, the Applicant has been able to significantly reduce the amount of 90th Street right of way impacted by the development to just 378 square feet.

Included in Tab A are drawings explaining the proposed agreement. The drawing labeled “Vehicular-Access Vehicular Access Encroachment Area” depicts the portion of right of way that the Applicant proposes to utilize to accommodate a portion of the project’s vehicular stacking and loading. This thin strip of land is the minimum necessary to allow for a code compliant vehicular drive aisle in front of the building given its historical setbacks. The driveway access for the Property would simply not function without the use of the proposed strip.

We understand that the proposed agreement is a new concept in the Town. Similar agreements are used throughout South Florida. In our experience, these agreements are especially useful in situations such as the instant application, where existing building setbacks do not permit sufficient room to accommodate more modern access standards.

**New Pedestrian Corridor.** As noted above, the Applicant is proposing a series of off-site improvements, focused on creating a superior pedestrian experience for Town residents accessing the beach. The Applicant is proposing the creation of a pedestrian corridor from Harding Avenue to the beach that will include widened sidewalks, more parking, and new landscaping along the 90th Street roadway. The proposed design is attached as Tab B.

The south side of 90th Street between Collins and the street end has been redesigned to favor the Town’s pedestrians, with an improved sidewalk that will be buffered on both sides by landscaping. The ultimate goal of the design is to provide Town residents with an attractive and safe pedestrian accessway to and from the beach.

As with similar beach-facing street ends in the Town (96th, 95th, 94th Streets), the revised design of 90th Street would reduce the roadway to 24 feet to
allow for additional room for pedestrians and landscaping. The Town has historically recognized that re-focusing these street ends from cars to pedestrians benefits the public and has used redevelopment of adjacent H-120 sites as a mechanism to reach this end. The proposed modifications to 90th Street takes that effort one step further, providing a pedestrian-focused experience from Harding to the hardpack.

As you will note, the proposed pedestrian corridor design assumes that 90th Street will be reduced to a “one way” road between Harding and Collins Avenues. That change will have several significant benefits to the public: (1) it will allow for the creation of a generous landscape strip on the south side of the road (which now cannot be accommodated); (2) it will provide for the introduction of a sidewalk on the north side of the road (where none exists); (3) it will establish sufficient room for public parallel parking on both sides of the road, providing spaces for both immediately adjacent residents and for beachgoers; and (4) it will provide a significant public safety benefit (by both protecting pedestrians and encouraging vehicles to slow down).

If approved by the Town and following the issuance of all necessary governmental approvals, the Applicant will construct the new pedestrian corridor improvements. The Applicant has further agreed to perpetually fund the maintenance of the newly installed improvements within the right of way east of Collins Avenue and the landscape improvements within the right of way west of Collins Avenue. The Applicant’s commitment to fund the maintenance of the newly installed landscaping between Hardings and Collins will relieve the adjacent property owners of that responsibility and ensure that the entire pedestrian corridor is well landscaped in perpetuity.

Variance. Retaining the existing building lines of the architectural significant building has come at some cost to the flexibility of design for the Property. In fact, it has resulted in the need for several technical variances of the Town Code. As shown on the “Variance Summary” (Tab C), the existing building line of the architecturally significant building is simply too close to the northern property line to accommodate the modern loading and landscaping requirements of the Town on the site.

The Applicant has attempted to mitigate the impact of each variance, including by planting trees that cannot “count” for zoning purposes and providing a loading area for daily delivery vehicles that, while effective, does not meet the Town’s technical size requirements. All of the required trees are being provided, with trees located both within the Property and in the 90th Street right
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of way.

The Applicant has recognized the following variances:

(1) Sec. 90-82. - Off-street loading requirements.

Two spaces are required for a condo/hotel. One full size space is provided; a second provided space does not meet the Town’s size requirements.

As noted above, the Applicant has included one very large space that will allow for “move in” and garbage pick-up, and one daily delivery space. The daily delivery space is sized at 9’ by 25’ and does not meet the Town’s size requirements. That space is further partially located within the Vehicular Access Encroachment Area, hence the need for the requested variance.

(2) 90-91.2 Required buffer landscaping adjacent to streets and abutting properties.

Three trees are required for each 50 linear feet under the terms of Section 90-91.2. Because of the narrowness of the setback of the building footprint, there is simply not enough planting room for all of the required trees along 90th Street within the Property. The Applicant is proposing to provide all three of the required trees, using both the Property and portions of the right of way. Therefore, the benefits of the required tree planting to the public will still be provided.

A minimum ten-foot-wide landscape strip is also required, not including overhands or awnings around all the buildings. There is simply not enough room to fit the full ten-foot-wide strip along the 90th Street property line while still providing for access to the building. The Applicant will still be providing more than sufficient open space in the design and adjacent parcels will still be buffered thanks to the pedestrian corridor landscaping.

(3) Sec. 90-93. - Open Space

One large (35’ foot) tree per 25 linear feet of each building on all sides for scaling and softening.

There is simply not enough room to fit all of these large required trees on the Property in a manner that will allow the trees to reach their natural size. The Applicant is proposing to provide all eight of the required trees, using both the Property and the trees proposed for the 90th Street pedestrian corridor.
Variance Standards. Section 90-36(8) of the Town Code provides that variances may be approved based on a showing that:

a. Special conditions and circumstances exist which are peculiar to the land, structure, or building involved, and which are not applicable to other lands, structures, or buildings in the same zoning district;

All of the requested variances are created by the need to accommodate a modern development on a narrow site while still complying with the spirit of Section 90-33. Development of the Property under both the architecturally significant building regulations and the Town’s modern zoning requirements is essentially impossible without the modest variances requested herein.

b. The special conditions and circumstances do not result from the actions of the applicant or a prior owner of the property;

The special conditions and circumstances presented here are due to the confluence of several factors – the size of the Property, the existing development on the site, the terms of Section 90-33 as applied to architecturally significant buildings, and the Town’s current regulations.

c. Literal interpretation of the provisions of the zoning code deprives the applicant of rights commonly enjoyed by other properties in the same zoning district under the terms of the zoning code and results in unnecessary and undue hardship on the applicant;

See below for full discussion of the hardship issue.

d. The hardship has not been deliberately or knowingly created or suffered to establish a use or structure which is not otherwise consistent with the town comprehensive plan or the zoning code;

As noted above, the hardship at issue here was not created by the Applicant; it was further not knowingly created by the Town. The proposed use of the Property will be consistent with the comprehensive plan and all other requirements of the zoning code.

e. An applicant's desire or ability to achieve greater financial return or
maximum financial return from his property does not constitute hardship;

The hardship created in the instant application is not economic.

f. Granting the variance application conveys the same treatment to the applicant as to the owner of other lands, buildings, or structures in the same zoning district;

The approval of the requested variances will allow the Property to be developed in the same manner as similarly-situated parcels in the H-120 zone. The Applicant is not obtaining a special benefit.

g. The requested variance is the minimum variance that makes possible the reasonable use of the land, building, or structure; and

Development of the Property under the terms of Section 90-33 would simply be impossible without the requested variances.

h. The requested variance is in harmony with the general intent and purpose of the town comprehensive plan and the zoning code, is not injurious to the neighborhood or otherwise detrimental to the public safety and welfare, is compatible with the neighborhood, and will not substantially diminish or impair property values within the neighborhood.

As explained above, the proposed variances would not lead to the "real world" reduction in open space, loading capacity, or landscaping. The requested variances will therefore create no negative impact on the public interest and will allow for development that is in harmony with the surrounding neighborhood.

Undue Hardship. When reviewing the hardship issue in this case, it is important to remember that this site is in a unique circumstance. Not only is the site unusually narrow, it is developed with a building that the Town has deemed to be architecturally significant. Under the terms of Section 90-33 of the Town's regulations, the Applicant may retain the existing building lines of the structure. Unfortunately, Section 90-33 does not exempt the Applicant from the Town's modern landscaping or loading requirements, both of which are very different from the standards in place when the existing building was designed and constructed. As explained above, there is simply not enough room between the
existing northern building line of the structure and the northern property line to fully accommodate all of the trees and a second full size loading space.

The conclusion that this site and application represent a hardship that can support the requested minor variances is consistent with other major examples in variance law. Courts have also concluded that the unique limitations on parcels imposed by historic preservation regulations can support a finding of undue hardship. In the seminal case of United Unions Inc. v. District of Columbia Board of Zoning Adjustment, the District of Columbia Court of Appeals concluded that the historic nature of a property and/or the structures on the property may alone create a hardship upon which a variance may properly be supported. 554 A.2d 313 (D.C. Appeals 1989).

The United Unions case centered on a development application filed for an expansion to the Corcoran Gallery of Art, a designated historical landmark in the District of Columbia. In order to augment the Gallery’s revenues, the Trustees of the Corcoran filed an application to develop a new seven-story office addition to the building on adjacent vacant land. The development of the new addition necessitated the approval of variances. In reviewing a challenge to the variance approval filed by adjacent property owners, the United Unions court held that the fact that the Corcoran Gallery was a historic structure created special conditions that supported the finding that unnecessary hardship would be created by the failure to grant the variances.

The instant application presents an analogous situation to the example cited above. The requested variances have been necessitated by the Town’s determination that the existing building lines should be maintained in order to encourage the adaptive redevelopment of a building that has architectural value to the Town. Development within the existing building lines simply does not leave sufficient room for the trees and loading space on the Property. In order for Section 90-33 to have any reasonable application on the Property, these minor variances will be needed.

Green Building. As contemplated by Section 90-33 of the Town’s regulations, the proposed redevelopment is being designed to meet the requirements of the “Florida Green High-Rise Residential Building Standard.” The Applicant’s worksheet is attached as Tab D.

Additional Off-Site Improvements. The Applicant has been in active discussions with the Town administration regarding a package of potential off-site improvements beyond the 90th Street pedestrian corridor. We expect those
discussions to continue. At minimum, however, the Applicant has already committed to a value of improvements and direct financial mitigation to the Town in the amount of $500,000. We expect that the mitigation will be increased following the completion of appraisals related to the Vehicular Access Encroachment Agreement.

Conclusion. We look forward to your review. If you have any questions or concerns regarding this letter, please do not hesitate to phone my direct line at (305) 377-6229 or send me an email at gpen7@brzoninglaw.com.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Graham Penn
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VEHICULAR ACCESS ENCROACHMENT AGREEMENT

THIS AGREEMENT, made on this ___ day of ______________, 2018, between the Town of Surfside (the “Town”) and the Surf House Condominium Association, Inc. (the “Owner”).

WITNESSETH

WHEREAS, the Owner is the condominium association for the Surf House Condominium residential property (the “Property”) located at 8995 Collins Avenue, Surfside, Florida, which is legally described in Exhibit “A” attached hereto and abuts the 90 Street right of way; and

WHEREAS, the Owner has proposed to develop vehicular access improvements within a small portion of the right of way of 90th Street (the “Vehicular Access Encroachment Area” or “Access Area”) depicted in Exhibit “B” hereto; and

WHEREAS, at its regular meeting on _____________, 2018, the Mayor and Town Commission approved Resolution No. 2018-XXXX granting a Revocable Permit to Owner to retain the aforesaid improvements on the Town property; said Resolution attached and incorporated as Exhibit “C” hereto; and

WHEREAS, the Owner and the Town desire to memorialize the Owner’s commitments to install and maintain the improvements within the Vehicular Access Encroachment Area; and

WHEREAS, the Owner and the Town desire to memorialize the terms under which the improvements within the Access Area will be required to be removed; and

WHEREAS, the Town, for and in consideration of the restrictions and covenants herein
NOW THEREFORE, Town and Owner, in consideration of the mutual covenants and agreements herein contained, agree as follows:

ARTICLE I
USE OF VEHICULAR ACCESS EN croachment AREA BY OWNER/ IMPROVEMENTS

Subject to the issuance of the appropriate approvals from all responsible government agencies, the Owner shall use the Vehicular Access Encroachment Area for the installation, maintenance, and construction of vehicular drop-off areas, drives, and a portion of a single loading space as depicted on Exhibit “C.” These improvements shall serve the Property.

No other improvements(s) of any kind shall be made to the Vehicular Access Encroachment Area without the prior written consent of the Town. Parking for the Property shall be served by valet at all times. At no time will vehicles be permitted to block public pedestrian access. No vehicles from the Property will be permitted to park on any portion of the Town’s right of way outside of the Access Area. The vehicular drop off areas and drive installed by the Owner shall be removed from the Access Area at the expiration or termination of this Agreement. Removal by the Town of the improvements serving the Property made by the Owner or portions thereof shall be at the sole expense of the Owner and governed by Article IX hereunder.

ARTICLE II
CONDITION OF PREMISES AND MAINTENANCE

The Owner, at its own expense, shall cause the improvements within the Vehicular Access Encroachment Area to be in a state of good condition from the date of the installation of the improvements. The Owner shall maintain and keep the improvements and the Access Area in a safe, clean condition, free of refuse and debris. Determination of the condition of the
improvements and of the Access Area shall be made by the Town.

ARTICLE III

INDEMNIFICATION

Owner agrees that it will indemnify, hold and save the Town, their officers, agents, contractors and employees whole and harmless and at Town's option defend same, from and against all claims, demands, actions, damages, loss, cost, liabilities, expenses and judgments of any nature recovered from or asserted against Town on account of injury or damage to person or property to the extent that any such damage or injury may be incident to, arise out of, or be caused, either proximately or remotely, wholly or in part, by any act, omission, negligence or misconduct on the part of Owner or any of its agents, servants, employees, contractors, guests, licensees or invitees or of any other person entering upon the Vehicular Access Encroachment Area used hereunder with the express or implied invitation or permission of Owner, or when any such injury or damage is the result, proximate or remote, of the violation by Owner or any of its agents, servants, employees, contractors, guests, licensees or invitees of any law, ordinance or governmental order of any kind, or when any such injury or damage may in any other way arise from or out of the use by Owner, its agents, servants, employees, contractors, patrons, guests, licensees or invitees of the Access Area used hereunder, or arises out of any action challenging the granting or legality of the Town's Revocable Permit. Owner covenants and agrees that in case Town shall be made party to any litigation against Owner, or in any litigation commenced by party against any party other than Owner, it shall and will pay all costs and expenses, including reasonable attorney's fees and court costs, incurred by or imposed upon Town by virtue of any such litigation, including appeals.

ARTICLE IV

NO LIABILITY FOR PERSONAL PROPERTY

All personal property placed or moved on the Vehicular Access Encroachment Area shall
be at the risk of the Owner or the owner thereof. The Town shall not be liable to the Owner or owner for any damage to said personal property.

ARTICLE V
TOWN'S RIGHT OF ENTRY

The Town or any of its agents, shall have the right to enter upon the Vehicular Access Encroachment Area at any time for the purpose of inspecting the Access Area. Such right of entry shall, likewise, exist for the purpose of removing structures, improvements, alterations or landscaping that do not conform to this Agreement. Any removal of the above, or damage to the allowed improvements made by the Town and necessitated by the Owner's use of said Access Area, shall be at the sole expense of the Owner. Additionally, any expenses incurred by the Town, but not paid by the Owner, in removing such improvements shall become a lien upon the Property, which may be foreclosed within one year of its filing.

ARTICLE VI
NOTICES

All written notices transmitted between Town and Owner shall be addressed to:

To Owner: Surf House Condominium Association, Inc.
Attn: President
8995 Collins Avenue
Surfside, FL 33154

with copies to:

To Town: Town of Surfside
Attn: Town Manager
9293 Harding Avenue
Surfside, FL 33154
(305) 861-4863 - telephone
(305) 861-1302 - facsimile
with copies to:  
Town of Surfside  
Attn: Town Attorney  
9293 Harding Avenue  
Surfside, FL 33154  
(305) 861-4863 - telephone  
(305) 861-1302 - facsimile

All notices mailed to either party shall be deemed to be sufficiently transmitted if sent by certified mail, return receipt requested and shall constitute sufficient notice to the Town to comply with the terms of this Agreement.

ARTICLE VII  
RECORDING AND TERM

This Agreement shall be recorded in the Public Records of Miami-Dade County, Florida, at the cost of the Owner. The Agreement shall remain in full force and effect and shall be binding upon the parties, their successors in interest and assigns for an initial period of thirty (30) years from the date this instrument is recorded in the public records, and shall be automatically extended for successive periods of ten (10) years, unless modified, amended or released prior to the expiration thereof.

ARTICLE VIII  
TERMINATION

The Owner’s use of the Vehicular Access Encroachment Area will terminate upon the earliest of the following:

1. The Town Council determines, after a public hearing, that the Owner’s use of the Access Area is causing an unacceptable negative impact on the safety of pedestrians and/or the reasonable flow of traffic on 90th Street.
2. The Town Council determines, after a public hearing, that the Owner is in breach of the maintenance requirements of Article III of this Agreement.

3. The Owner notifies the Town that, due to changes in the use or development of the Property, that the Access Area is no longer necessary for the appropriate functioning of the Property. The Owner shall provide evidence to the satisfaction of the Town Manager that the Property can be properly and safely accessed without the encroachment.

Prior to setting a public hearing on termination, the Town shall give written notice of any alleged default to the Owner. The Owner shall have a period of thirty (30) days following receipt of such notice in which to remedy the default (or such longer time as may be necessary and reasonable, provided the Owner shall have commenced a cure within said thirty (30) day period and is diligently and continuously prosecuting same to completion).

ARTICLE IX
SURRENDER OF PREMISES

At the termination of this Agreement, the Owner shall, without demand, quietly and peaceably deliver possession of the Vehicular Access Encroachment Area free of any walls, fences or other like fixtures or Improvements. The Owner shall be responsible for the expenses of putting the Access Area in said condition. If said Premises are not in such condition, at the expiration or cancellation of this Agreement, the Owner hereby agrees that the Town shall have the right to restore the Access Area to such condition. The Owner agrees to reimburse the Town for all such expenses within thirty (30) days of mailing of a statement to the Owner at the address indicated in Article VII. If not so paid, the expenses incurred by the Town in so doing shall become a lien upon the Owner’s abutting property and/or leasehold and may be foreclosed within one year from the filing of such a lien, or the Town, at its option, may seek such other remedies as may be allowable by law. Upon the termination of the Agreement and the restoration of the Access Area, the Owner shall have no further obligations under this Agreement, including, but
not limited to, the maintenance of any improvements in the Vehicular Access Encroachment Area.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties have hereunto executed this Agreement for the purposes herein expressed the day and year first above written.

ATTEST: TOWN OF SURFSIDE

__________________________  _____________________________
Sandra Novoa, Town Clerk   Daniel Dietch, Mayor

OWNER

___________________________  _____________________________
Witness     Signature

___________________________  _____________________________
Print Name     Print Name

___________________________
Witness

___________________________
Print Name
EXHIBIT A

Legal Description – Residential Tract

Lots 1 and 2, Block 1-A of the Second Amended Plat of Normandy Beach Subdivision, Plat Book 16, Page 44 of the Official Records of Miami-Dade County, Florida

AND

A Parcel of land lying Easterly of and adjacent to Lots 1 and 2, Block 1-A, SECOND AMENDED PLAT OF NORMANDY BEACH, according to the plat thereof recorded in Plat Book 16, Page 44 of the Public Records of Miami-Dade County, Florida and Westerly of the Erosion Control Line as shown on the “Erosion Control Line” according to the plat thereof as recorded in Plat Book 105 at Page 62 of the Public Records of Miami Dade County, more particularly described as follows:

Begin at Northeast Corner of said Lot 1 and 2, thence run North 86°50'51” East along the Easterly extension of the North Line of said Lots 1 and 2 for a distance of 93.90 feet to a point on the Erosion Control Line as shown on said Plat Book 105 at Page 62; thence run South 05°37'30” East, along said Erosion Control Line, for a distance of 72.83 feet to a point on the Easterly extension of the South line of said Lots 1 and 2; thence run South 86°50'51” West, along the aforesaid Easterly extension of said Lots 1 and 2, for a distance of 93.40 feet to the Southeast corner of said Lots 1 and 2; thence run North 06°00'58” West, along the Easterly line of said Lots 1 and 2, for a distance of 72.85 feet to the Point of Beginning.
IMPROVEMENTS
90TH ST & BEACHWALK CONDO-HOTEL
1569

SCALE: 3/32" = 1'-0"
90TH STREET IMPROVEMENTS

EXISTING CONDITIONS

PROPOSED ELEMENTS

NEW DECORATIVE PAVER SIDEWALK TO REPLACE EXISTING PLANTED AREA

RESURFACED ASPHALT DRIVEWAY

ADDED PLANTING AREA

NEW DECORATIVE PAVER SIDEWALK TO REPLACE EXISTING PLANTED AREA

CURB BUMPOUT

EXISTING DECORATIVE PAVING (BY FORT)

NEW 5' DECORATIVE PAVER SIDEWALK TO REPLACE EXISTING PLANTED AREA

REPAVED CROSSWALK TO MATCH OPPOSITE SIDE OF STREET

EDGE OF EXISTING SIDEWALK

HARDING AVENUE

COLLINS AVENUE

PROPERTY LINE

EXISTING CONDITIONS

PROPOSED ELEMENTS

90TH STREET IMPROVEMENTS

LARGE NATIVE PARADISE TREES TO REPLACE EXISTING STREET TREES

ENHANCED SIDEWALK AND CROSSWALKS WITH DECORATIVE PAVING TO MATCH 90TH STREET EAST OF COLLINS

NEW DECORATIVE PAVER SIDEWALK TO REPLACE EXISTING PLANTED AREA

CURB BUMPOUTS IN HARDING AND 90TH ST INTERSECTION TO IMPROVE PEDESTRIAN SAFETY AND INCREASE GREEN SPACE

VIEW FROM HARDING AVE, LOOKING EAST TOWARDS COLLINS

VIEW FROM COLLINS, LOOKING WEST TOWARDS HARDING

CONTINUOUS 6' WIDE SIDEWALK PLANTER
SUMMARY OF VARIANCES

1. Variance 1: Two (2) 12' x 30' off-street loading spaces required; One (1) provided and one (1) 10' x 30' space provided

2. Variance 2: Landscaping shall include a ten (10) foot buffer and three (3) trees per 50 feet of building frontage; Buffer provided and tree requirement exceeded outside of the property line [90-91.2 (1)]

3. Variance 3: One (1) 35 foot tree per twenty-five (25) lineal feet of building facade; Eight (8) trees required and eight (8) provided with five (5) trees located outside property line [90-83 (1) b]
## Project Information

- **Project Name:**
- **Address:**
- **City & Zip:**
- **County:**
- **Number of Floors:**
- **Size (SF):**
- **Number of Units:**
- **Project Description:**
- **Website:**

## Building Owner Contact Information

- **Name:**
- **Company:**
- **Address:**
- **City / Zip:**
- **Phone:**
- **Fax:**
- **E-mail:**
- **Name:**
- **Company:**
- **Address:**
- **City / Zip:**
- **Phone:**
- **Fax:**
- **E-mail:**

### Project Point Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Your Score</th>
<th>Required Min</th>
<th>Points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Category 1: Project Management</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category 2: Energy</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>75 point max</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category 3: Water</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category 4: Site</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category 5: Health</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category 6: Materials</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category 7: Disaster Mitigation</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Total:** 136
- **Total Needed:** 101

**Certification Level:** Silver

**To Qualify your project must**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level</th>
<th>Points Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bronze</td>
<td>0 - 30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silver</td>
<td>31 - 60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gold</td>
<td>61 - 90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platinum</td>
<td>91+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Refer to "Instructions" tab for Application Fees

- **Total Fee Due:** $5,000
- **Deposit Paid:** $5,000

Balance Due Must Be Submitted with Final Application.

Refer to Standards Documents and Green Commercial Reference Guide for additional information.

Florida Green High-Rise Residential Building Standard

Version 2, Revised 6/7/2016
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PROJECT MANAGEMENT (Required Category Minimum 5)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>CREDITS</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PREREQUISITES</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM P1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM P2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CREDITS</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM 1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM 1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM 1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM 1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM 1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM 4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**ENERGY (Required Category Minimum 15, Allowed Category Maximum 75)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CREDITS</th>
<th>TOTAL AVAILABLE</th>
<th>ACHIEVED</th>
<th>POSSIBLE</th>
<th>NA</th>
<th>Points Below Category Minimum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>120</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**ENERGY REQUIREMENTS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PREREQUISITES</th>
<th>CREDIT</th>
<th>REQUIREMENTS</th>
<th>SUBMITTAL</th>
<th>DESIGNATED PROFESSIONAL COMMENTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>E P1 Required</td>
<td>OPR</td>
<td>Owner designated representative must develop a list of owner project requirements related to each of the categories of the high rise standard. The OPR should indicate minimum goals for each category and any specific credits the Owner wishes to target.</td>
<td>Submit a narrative explaining the OPR for the project.</td>
<td>JMH to generate as per FES’s review.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E P2 Required</td>
<td>BOD</td>
<td>Design team representatives develop and document how the design will achieve the Owner Project Requirements. The Basis of Design should include specifically how the performance desires of the Owner will be achieved by the proposed design.</td>
<td>The design team must submit a narrative that explains how their design decisions support the Owner project requirements.</td>
<td>FES to contribute to the narrative.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Testing and Balancing
Mechanical Electrical Plumbing (MEP) Engineering Firm works with the Architect or design team leader to verify field installed equipment meet OPR, BOD and is installed and operating correctly. Testing and verification must include all commercial and common areas, amenity areas, and a minimum of one of each distinctive residential unit type. The Testing and verification shall include, at a minimum, Heating, Ventilation, Air Conditioning and Refrigeration (HVAC&R) systems & controls, lighting systems and controls, renewable energy systems, hot water system, and flow rate verification.

Copy of the testing and balancing report FES to review T/B reports as created and submitted by other parties.

### CRC Reduction in HVAC Equipment
Requires that all building HVAC&R systems be free of CRC's and Halons

Mechanical engineer will submit a signed letter declaring that the building's HVAC&R systems do not use CRC-based refrigerants and a mechanical schedule showing HVAC equipment.

### CREDITS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>E 1</th>
<th>Performance Improvement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>E 1.1</strong></td>
<td>Energy Performance Improvement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The designed building will receive credit for energy performance that is more efficient than the current Florida Energy Code. Refer to the Florida Energy Code Calculations and their provided summary comparing the baseline and design buildings.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A copy of the Florida Energy Code calculations and input summary</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FES states that level of achievement depends on glass type</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| E 1.2 | Pump Motors |
| 1 | 1 | |
| All three phase pump motors 1 horsepower or larger shall meet or exceed efficiency standards for NEMA Premium ™ 3 motors. Note: Motors that are packaged as an integral component of mechanical equipment, fire pump motors, and booster pump motors are exempt from this requirement |
| Plumbing plans highlighting location of pumps, cut sheets and photos of complying pumps |
| FES will need to consult with fan and heat pump mfg |

| E 1.3 | Lighting Power Density 0.8W/sf |
| E 1.3.1 | For Individual Units |
| 2 | 2 | |
| Design the installed lighting in each unit such that the total Watt per square foot does not exceed 0.8 |
| Electrical plans showing fixture location and type, summary of the units, total Watts and square feet with T/H LED use. Lighting designer to determine based on FES review |

| E 1.3.2 | For Entire Building |
| 3 | 3 | |
| Design the installed lighting for the conditioned spaces of the building, to include conditioned spaces (common areas and private residences) such that the total Watt per square foot does not exceed 0.8 |
| Florida Building Commission approved Energy Code printout, signed by lighting designer or MEP with lighting power densities. |
| T/H LED use. Lighting designer to determine based on FES review |

| E 2 | Prescriptive Energy Features |
| E 2.1 | Energy Star Refrigerator |
| 1 | 1 | |
| Install Energy Star qualified Refrigerators in each unit |
| Copy of the appliance package approved submittal, cut sheet identifying model number and photo of installed appliance |
| T/H |

| E 2.2 | Energy Star Dishwasher |
| 1 | 1 | |
| Install Energy Star qualifying dishwashers in each unit |
| Copy of the appliance package approved submittal, cut sheet identifying model number and photo of installed appliance |
| T/H |

| E 2.3 | Energy Star Clothes Washer |
| 1 | 1 | |
| Install Energy Star qualifying clothes washers in each unit |
| Copy of the appliance package approved submittal, cut sheet identifying model number and photo of installed appliance |
| T/H |

| E 2.4 | Energy Star Ceiling Fans |
| 1 | 1 | |
| Install Energy Star qualified ceiling fans located in the main living area and each bedroom of each unit |
| Copy of the electrical plans showing fan locations and type, appliance package approved submittal, cut sheet |
| T/H |

| E 2.5 | Energy Star Common Area Appliances |
| 1 | 1 | |
| Install all Energy Star appliances in common areas to include: refrigerator, dishwasher, clothes washer, and vending machines. |
| Copy of the approved submittal, cut sheet identifying model number and photo of installed appliance |
| T/H |
### Automated Lighting Controls

- All non-apartment spaces, except those intended for 24-hour operation or where automatic shutoff would endanger the safety of occupants, must have occupancy sensors or automatic bi-level lighting controls.

### Exterior Lighting

- Fixtures must include automatic switching on timers, photocells, or motion sensor controls, or provide > 95 lumens/watt, OR be solar powered - except fixtures intended for 24-hour operation, required for security, or located on apartment balconies.

### Insulate hot water pipes

- Piping carrying liquid with temperatures greater than 105°F must have a minimum of 1” of insulation. Pipes over 1.5” in diameter must have a minimum of 1.5” of insulation. Extent and location to be determined by ASHRAE 90.1-2007 Section 7.4.3 or local code. All pipes over 3/4” in diameter conveying hot water must be insulated.

### Ductwork sealed with mastic

- Seal all duct connections with mastic. This includes rigid and flex duct connections to air handlers and junction boxes.

---

### Performance Verification/Testing

#### E 3.1 Commissioning

- **Basic Commissioning**: Implement or have a contract in place to implement all of the following fundamental best practice commissioning procedures: Commissioning includes verifying installation, functional performance testing, training and documentation for EACH of the commissioned system or components as compared to the design intent, training of owner designated O&M professional and completion of the operation and maintenance manuals.

- **Advanced Commissioning**: In addition to fundamental commissioning, retain a CxA prior to completing the design phase of the project.

#### E 3.2 Midpoint Inspections

- **Thermal Bypass Inspections**: Conduct a thermal bypass inspection of the structure to ensure the integrity of the air and thermal barriers of the building.

---

### Copy of the approved submittal, cut sheet identifying model number and photo of installed appliance

- Copy of the approved submittal, cut sheet identifying model number and photo of installed appliance

### Photos of insulated hot water pipes, plan detail, or approved submittal of selected insulation signed by architect

- Photos of insulated hot water pipes, plan detail, or approved submittal of selected insulation signed by architect.

### Construction detail and photos

- Construction detail and photos

### Copy of signed contract explaining scope of work (contract amount may be excluded) and a letter from the CxA or the building owner stating all CxA duties were completed. Submit a copy of the OPR, BOD, Commissioning Plan and Commissioning Report. The commissioning Plan should include an overview of the commissioning process, a list of systems and features, the commissioning participants and their roles, a communication and management plan, an outline of the scope of commissioning tasks, and schedule.

- Where possible, include copies of the start up checklists. The commissioning report should contain the analysis of whether each commissioned system or component meets the design intent, specifications, was properly installed, passed the functional performance tests, was properly documented in the O&M manuals, and was covered in the operator training.

### Submit all documentation for Basic Commissioning and a copy of the signed commissioning contract and dated plans to verify CxA was contracted prior to Construction Documents. Submit a copy of the Design Document review, architect and owner responses.

### A thermal bypass checklist along with an summary of deficiencies, photos, corrective actions and corrected photos

- A thermal bypass checklist along with an summary of deficiencies, photos, corrective actions and corrected photos
<p>| | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3.2.2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Smoke Test Ducts if in Unconditioned Space</td>
<td>AC Contractor or Smoke Testing personnel administer smoke test, identify leaks, and verify leaks are sealed. Verify leaks are sealed by visual inspection. Smoke identifies leaks visually. Leaks are sealed when there is no more smoke coming from leaks. AC contractor must be present to seal leaks. Smoke testing protocol as follows: 1. All boots are temporarily sealed by either the AC Contractor or Smoke Testing personnel. 2. Portable smoker or duct tester/fogger is connected to the supply and return sections of the duct work. All dampers, if installed, to be verified open by AC Representative. 3. AC Representative is present during Smoke Testing to seal observed leakages with approved materials. 4. Smoke Testing personnel note severity and location of leakages. 5. Smoke Testing personnel verify that all leaks have been sealed at rough-in and supply certificate to client attesting to that fact with date and signature of the Smoke Tester. Photos of duct testing in progress and a summary report of findings and corrections. FES - ok</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.2.3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Duct testing/leakage</td>
<td>Total duct leakage for in-unit systems shall be ≤ 8 CFM25 per 100 s.f. of conditioned floor area. All units must be tested by a RESNET or BPI energy rater following RESNET protocol. Summary report or each unit leakage and corrective action taken if required FES - ok</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E3.3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Blower Door Test Units</td>
<td>3 points for &lt; 7 ACH50 4 points for &lt; 6 ACH50 5 points for &lt; 5 ACH50 Post-construction, multi-point blower door testing of units must be performed by a RESNET or BPI energy rater following RESNET protocol. All units must pass at one of the levels listed in the Credit column. Points are awarded based on worse-case test results. Copy of the test results FES - ok</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E3.4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Complete Testing and Balancing in all residential units</td>
<td>Mechanical Electrical Plumbing (MEP) Engineering firm works with the Architect or design team leader to verify field installed equipment meet OPR, BOD and is installed and operating correctly. In addition to the required prerequisite testing and verification, testing and verification of ALL of the residential units shall be performed by a licensed engineer or a professional certified by the National Environmental Balancing Bureau (NEBB), the Associated Air Balance Council (AABC), or other nationally accredited organization. Copy of the testing and balancing report FES - ok</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Design</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E4.1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>----</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **Washer and dryer out of conditioned space** | Locate washer and dryer outside of conditioned space -- garage, unconditioned utility room, etc. The location must be separated from the main conditioned space of the building. The unconditioned utility room must meet the following requirements:  
- Insulate the walls between the utility room and conditioned space (shared walls).  
- Finish the shared walls and ceiling (if below conditioned space) with drywall.  
- Seal all holes and air leakage pathways through the walls, floor, and ceiling that can connect the utility room to the conditioned space (plumbing, gas lines, wiring, and bottom plate).  
- Install a non-louvered door that is weather-stripped and equipped with a properly adjusted threshold. |

| **Floorplan identifying location of laundry room** |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>E4.2</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Light colored interior finishes**  
1 point: light colored walls/ceiling in main living  
1 point: light colored walls in bedrooms | All bedrooms and all major living spaces in the home have light-colored wall and ceiling surfaces with a reflectance of at least 50% (or Light Reflectance Value (LRV) > 50). Bonus point awarded if all major living spaces and bedrooms have light-colored flooring. If a documented reflectivity is not available, this credit can only be given to "white" or "off white." |

| **Photo of completed project interior, paint selection and LRV** |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>E4.3</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>NA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Compact hot water distribution</strong></td>
<td>Install compact hot water distribution system. For a conventional system, no branch line from the water heater to any fixture may exceed 25 feet. Branch lines from the central header to each fixture must be a maximum of ½-inch diameter. One point is also available for use of a manifold system or a recirculation loop with an on-demand control with a pump shut-off in the kitchen and each full bathroom.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| **Floorplans showing location of hot water heaters/distribution system** |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>E5</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>NA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Renewable Energy</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>E5.1</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>N/A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Renewable Energy Production**  
1 point per 2% of building power provided | Supply a fraction of the building’s total energy use (as expressed as a fraction of annual energy cost) through the use of on-site renewable energy systems. |

| **Plan detail highlighting installed renewable energy system and photos** |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>E5.2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>N/A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Green Power**  
1 point: 50% for 1 year  
2 points: 100% for 1 year  
3 points: 100% for 2 years | Provide a percentage of the building’s electricity from renewable sources by engaging in at least a one-year renewable energy contract to purchase green power. Earn one point by purchasing green power for 50% of the building total annual energy demand from certified green power generator for one year, 2 points are available for purchasing 100% for 1 year and 3 points available for purchasing 100% for 2 years. |

| **Provide an executed copy of the contract for the purchase of renewable energy indicating the types of renewable purchased and the total kWh of energy production capacity.** |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>E5.3</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>FES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Solar Hot Water</strong></td>
<td>Each unit is serviced by a solar hot water system</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| **Plan detail highlighting design, equipment cut sheet and photos of installed equipment.** |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>E5.4</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>JMH</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Solar Pool Heat</strong></td>
<td>Install solar pool heater</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| **Plan detail highlighting design, equipment cut sheet and photos of installed equipment.** |

**WATER (Required Category Minimum 10)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CREDITS</th>
<th>TOTAL AVAILABLE</th>
<th>N/A</th>
<th>POINTS BELOW CATEGORY MINIMUM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>71</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Points Below Category Minimum</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **CREDITS**
  - **TOTAL AVAILABLE**: 71
  - **N/A**: 23
  - **POINTS BELOW CATEGORY MINIMUM**: 10

- **WATER (CREDIT REQUIREMENTS)**
- **SUBMITTAL**
- **DESIGNATED PROFESSIONAL COMMENTS**
| W1.1   | 3 | 2 | 1 | **Plants/trees from drought-tolerant list:**  
1 Point - 60% drought tolerant  
2 Points - 80% drought tolerant  
3 Points - 100% drought tolerant  
**Use of at least 60% of the plants and trees incorporated into the landscape are from a local drought tolerant list:**  
2 points are available if 80% are from such a list; and 3 points are available if 100% of the plants and trees are from such a list. A minimum of 12 total plants must be present in the landscape to qualify for the credit.  
Plants shall be listed high or moderate drought tolerance by Florida Friendly Landscape, WaterWise (water management district) or local drought tolerant list. | **Plant list identifying drought tolerant vegetation, landscape plan, and percentage of drought tolerant vegetation calculation.**  
UR has 95% of specified trees listed on the drought tolerant list (2 points) UR can change 3 of the non-listed plants for 100% (3 points) if needed |  |
| W1.2   | 5 | 5 | **Turf:**  
1 point: Install only drought tolerant turf < 50%  
2 points: Install only drought tolerant turf < 40%  
3 points: Install only drought tolerant turf < 30%  
4 points: Install only drought tolerant turf < 20%  
5 points: Install only drought tolerant turf < 10%  
**If sod is installed, do not install turf in densely shaded areas (<60% shade on June 21) and only use Bahia, Zoysia, or Bermuda grass.** | **Landscape plan, and photos of the completed project.**  
UR |  |
| W1.3   | 1 | 1 | **Non-Cypress mulch:**  
Apply 3-4” of mulch around plants and trees (extending out to drip line) and in landscaped beds avoiding volcano/mulching | **Landscape plans and photos of installed vegetation.**  
UR |  |
| W2.1   | 5 | 5 | **Properly installed irrigation:**  
1. Separate zones for turf and landscape beds - multi-program controller  
2. High-Volume irrigation does not exceed 60% of the landscaped area  
3. Head to head coverage for rotor/spray heads  
4. Correctly install micro-irrigation in landscape beds and narrow areas  
5. Provide facility manager installed irrigation plan, on-site training and written instructions  
**See FGBC guidelines for irrigation as stated in the Reference Guide.** | **Copy of the irrigation design, photos of installed irrigation, and a copy of the instructions.**  
UR suggested that item #5 can be achieved if JMH wants, by collaborating with the irrigation consultant. JMH confirmed to hire a consultant to comply |  |
| W2.2   | 3 | 3 | **Only drip irrigation is used on site:**  
Install only drip irrigation systems to service installed landscape | **Copy of the irrigation design, and photos of installed irrigation.**  
UR can coordinate to comply if needed |  |
| W2.3   | 10 | NA | **No permanent installed irrigation:**  
Landscape contains no permanently installed irrigation system | **Provide a signed letter from the project owner.**  
UR - N/A |  |
| W2.4   | 2 | 2 | **Soil Moisture Sensors:**  
Soil moisture sensors or other weather-based irrigation is installed appropriately to control irrigation at ground level and for outdoor amenities | **Cut sheet of innovative equipment.**  
UR - can include soil sensors if needed |  |
| W3.1   | 1 | NA | **Reclaimed Water for Irrigation:**  
Project is supplied with municipal reclaimed water for irrigation | **Letter from municipality indicating reclaimed water is supplied and used on the project.**  
N/A |  |
| W3.2 | 10 | NA | Rainwater | Install rainwater harvesting collection and storage system. The minimum requirement for this credit is a simple collection system, which for all intents and purposes would be for demonstration. Achieve additional points, per the break down below, as the rainwater collection system increases in functional use to replace both potable and non-potable water.
1. Simple Collection: Used to supplement irrigation and for demonstration purposes.
2. Dedicated use for irrigation: Harvested Rainwater is used to supply irrigation to landscape.
3. Rainwater is collected and used in lieu of potable water for flushing toilets and urinals: Rainwater is collected and fed to dual piping system as greywater to reduce potable water demand inside the building.
4. Collected and treated to potable standards for whole building use: Water is treated to potable standards and supplements whole building water use.

Install rainwater harvesting collection and storage system. The minimum requirement for this credit is a simple collection system, which for all intents and purposes would be for demonstration. Achieve additional points, per the break down below, as the rainwater collection system increases in functional use to replace both potable and non-potable water.
1. Simple Collection: Used to supplement irrigation and for demonstration purposes.
2. Dedicated use for irrigation: Harvested Rainwater is used to supply irrigation to landscape.
3. Rainwater is collected and used in lieu of potable water for flushing toilets and urinals: Rainwater is collected and fed to dual piping system as greywater to reduce potable water demand inside the building.
4. Collected and treated to potable standards for whole building use: Water is treated to potable standards and supplements whole building water use.

| W3.3 | 10 | NA | Greywater | Greywater system is installed to reduce demand on potable water. System must have a specific collection source and a dedicated use. Greywater system is installed to reduce demand on potable water. System must have a specific collection source and a dedicated use.

Greywater system is installed to reduce demand on potable water. System must have a specific collection source and a dedicated use. Greywater system is installed to reduce demand on potable water. System must have a specific collection source and a dedicated use.

All installed toilets must comply with the low-flow criteria AND have a minimum MaP (Maximum Performance) rating of 600 or are WaterSense Certified. For Dual-Flush toilets to receive one point, ONE of the two flush options must be ≤ 1.1 gpf.

All installed lavatory fixtures must comply with the low-flow requirements.

All installed faucets must comply with the low-flow criteria AND have a minimum MaP (Maximum Performance) rating of 600 or are WaterSense Certified. For Dual-Flush toilets to receive one point, ONE of the two flush options must be ≤ 1.1 gpf.

All installed faucets must comply with the low-flow criteria AND have a minimum MaP (Maximum Performance) rating of 600 or are WaterSense Certified. For Dual-Flush toilets to receive one point, ONE of the two flush options must be ≤ 1.1 gpf.

| W4.1 | 4 | 2 | Low Flow Toilets | Water closets in the individual units:
1. point: ≤ 1.28 gpf
2. points: Dual flush
3. points: ≤ 1.0 gpf
1 Bonus point is available if all water closets in the common areas are low flow

All installed toilets must comply with the low-flow criteria AND have a minimum MaP (Maximum Performance) rating of 600 or are WaterSense Certified. For Dual-Flush toilets to receive one point, ONE of the two flush options must be ≤ 1.1 gpf.

Photo of installed low flow fixtures and cut sheets

JH to spec

| W4.2 | 3 | 1 | Low Flow Lavatory Faucets in units:
1. point: ≤ 1.5 gallons per minute (gpm)
2. points: ≤ 1.0 gpm OR Motion Sensor self-closing faucet (0.25 gpm/metering cycle, Max)
1 Bonus point is available if all of the lavatory faucets installed in the common areas are ≤ 1.5 gpm

All installed lavatory fixtures must comply with the low-flow requirements.

Photo of installed low flow fixtures and cut sheets

JH to spec
### Low Flow Kitchen Faucets in units

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>W4.3</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Point:** ≤ 2.0 gallons per minute (gpm)  
2 points: ≤ 1.5 gpm OR WaterSense Certified  
1 Bonus point is available if all of the kitchen faucets installed in the common areas are ≤ 2.0 gpm |
| All installed kitchen fixtures must comply with the low-flow requirements.  
Photo of installed low flow fixtures and cut sheets |
| TJH to spec |

### Low Flow Shower heads in units

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>W4.4</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Point:** ≤ 2.0 gallons per minute (gpm)  
1 Bonus point is available if all of the shower heads installed in the common areas are ≤ 2.0 gpm |
| All installed shower heads must comply with the low-flow requirements.  
A maximum of 1 shower head per 15sf of shower compartment is allowed  
Photo of installed low flow fixtures and cut sheets |
| TJH to spec |

### Appliances and Equipment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>W5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **W5.1:** High Efficiency Water-Saving Clothes Washer  
2 Point for Water Factor ≤ 6  
3 Points for Water Factor ≤ 4  
1 Bonus point is available if all of the clothes washers installed in the common areas have a Water Factor ≤ 6 |
| All installed clothes washers must comply with the stated Water Factor requirement.  
Photo of installed low flow fixtures and cut sheets |
| TJH to spec |

| W5.2 | 2 |
|------|
| **Tankless, boiler, or recirculating hot water heaters**  
Install on demand tankless hot water heaters or hot water recirculation system |
| Photo of installed tankless water heaters and cut sheets or schematics of recirculation system |

### Florida WaterStar℠

| W6.1 | 2 |
|------|
| **Florida WaterStar℠ Certification**  
Meet or exceed Florida WaterStar℠ standards  
Copy of Florida WaterStar℠ Certificate |
| FES not familiar w/ standard |

### SITE (Required Category Minimum 5)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CREDITS</th>
<th>TOTAL AVAILABLE</th>
<th>ACHIEVED</th>
<th>POSSIBLE</th>
<th>NA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SITE</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Points Below Category Minimum</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### PREREQUISITES

| S 1 | REQUIRED |
|-----|
| **Copy of Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) and Florida Department of Environmental Protection (FDEP) Notice of Intent (NOI) onsite**  
Keep copy of SWPPP & FDEP National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Notice of Intent (NOI) onsite for contractor to implement & maintain SWPPP Best Management Practices (BMP) as designed by civil engineer or SWPPP designer.  
Copy of Notice of Intent |
| Ocean Engineering to provide SWPPP & Erosion Control |

| S 2 | REQUIRED |
|-----|
| **Erosion and Sedimentation Control**  
Design a sediment and erosion control plan, specific to the site that conforms to United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Document No. EPA 832/R-92-005 (September 1992), Storm Water Management for Construction Activities, Chapter 3. OR local erosion and sedimentation control standards and codes, whichever is more stringent. The plan shall meet the following objectives:  
• Prevent loss of soil during construction by stormwater runoff and/or wind erosion, including protecting topsoil by stockpiling for reuse.  
• Prevent sedimentation of storm sewer or receiving streams and/or air pollution with dust and particulate matter.  
Copy of erosion control plan, site details and photos |
| Ocean Engineering to provide SWPPP & Erosion Control |

<p>| S 3 | Site Selection |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Credit</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Requirements</th>
<th>Verification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Select Appropriate Site</td>
<td>Do not develop on: Prime farmland, flood prone areas, habitat for threatened species, within 100 feet of wetlands, public parkland</td>
<td>Site survey and Google earth map, KK/Graham to confirm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Within an FGBC Certified Green Local Government</td>
<td>Build within an FGBC certified Green Local Government</td>
<td>Name of local government, ??</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Within an FGBC Certified Green Land Development</td>
<td>Build within an FGBC certified Green Land Development</td>
<td>Name of land development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>High Density</td>
<td>Project has a minimum of 30 dwelling units per acre.</td>
<td>KK - refer to zoning package sheet A1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Greyfield Redevelopment</td>
<td>Locate the building on a site that has existing hardscape or other structure that must be replaced. To achieve this credit, the site must have utility connections available within 1/8 mile boundary.</td>
<td>Copy of a site plan with the existing conditions, Scott to Review</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Brownfield Redevelopment</td>
<td>Development of any EPA or federal/state/local government classified brownfield and provide remediation as required by EPA’s Sustainable Redevelopment of Brownfields Program.</td>
<td>Provide a copy of the Phase II Environmental Site Assessment OR a letter from a local, state or federal regulatory agency confirming that the site is classified as a brownfield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Access to Basic Services (Connectivity)</td>
<td>1 point awarded for each 3 unique services</td>
<td>Aerial context map with building location, and location and type of basic services within 1/2 mile.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Public Transportation Access</td>
<td>Site is located within 1/2 mile of an existing or funded rail node OR within 1/4 of mile safe and walkable access to mass transit of at least 1 active bus stop, trolley or ride share (this can be measured as the crow flies).</td>
<td>Regional/local drawing or transit map highlighting the building location and the fixed rail stations and bus lines, and indicate the distances between them. Include a scale bar for distance measurement.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Site Enhancement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Tree Preservation</td>
<td>Project existing trees during construction of project by employing the following techniques to at least 36 inches of tree caliper measured at chest height (i.e. nine 4-inch trees, three 12-inch trees, etc.) per acre. Refer to FGBC Reference Guide for all credit requirements.</td>
<td>Tree/native plant identification survey and photo or other documentation of each technique. For multi-family projects, tree protection shall be shown on the site plan or on a tree survey with details on the drawings outlining protection strategies, barricades, fencing, and areas of protection.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Minimize Site Disturbance</td>
<td>The maximum square footage of the site that may be disturbed, excluding the building footprint, must be less than or equal to the building footprint.</td>
<td>Copy of project site indicating building footprint, square footage of building footprint and outlining site clearing operation boundaries and staging areas. Provide photos of site demonstrating minimal site disturbance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Site Open Space</td>
<td>Exceed minimum zoning requirements for open space by 20%</td>
<td>Provide a site plan with the building footprint, square footage of building footprint and outlining site clearing open space requirements that shows the designated open space and landscape plan. Also provide a list of trees and their projected canopies after 10 years.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Transportation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Bicycle Storage</td>
<td>Project must provide securing locations for bicycles for 5% of total occupants</td>
<td>Provide site plan identifying bike storage, cut sheet of bike rack, and photo of installed bike storage</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Page 106**
## Alternative Fuel Refueling Stations

Provide preferred parking for 3% of the parking capacity for the use of low-emitting, fuel-efficient and high occupancy vehicles. Preferred parking spaces may also include charging stations for electric vehicles.

Plan identifying location of preferred parking, description of charging apparatus and photos of installed equipment

TJH

### Parking Capacity

Parking provided on site must be equal to or less than required by local jurisdiction. Design team must work with the local jurisdiction to reduce the typically required parking by proposing shared parking or other multimodal transportation methods.

Provide a calculation of the zoning required parking spaces, a letter from the local jurisdiction indicating the project's parking requirements and a site plan with a total parking count.

KK - refer to zoning package A1.00

### Automated Parking

Automated parking System - systems include elevators, lifts, or 100% valet parking.

Detail and description of plan and system

KK - refer to zoning package - lift system

## Heat Islands

### Roof

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Points</th>
<th>Coverage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Use ENERGY STAR roof-compliant, high-reflectance AND high emissivity roofing (for low slope roofs: initial reflectance of at least 0.65 and 3-year-aged reflectance of at least 0.5 when tested in accordance with ASTM E903 and emissivity of at least 0.9 when tested in accordance with ASTM 408; for steep slope roofs: initial reflectance of at least 0.25 and 3-year-aged reflectance of at least 0.15 when tested in accordance with ASTM E903 and emissivity of at least 0.9 when tested in accordance with ASTM 408). Alternatively roof materials may have a LRV ≥ 50; OR Install a "green" (vegetated) roof OR combinations of high albedo and vegetated roof can be used providing they collectively cover the roof area. (Amenity decks and finished roof terraces shall be considered under Credit 4.2: Hardscape).

Provide a roof drawing with area calculations and cut sheets for the materials used.

KK - refer to zoning package Sheet A3.05, A3.07

### Shaded, Covered, or High Albedo Hardscape

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Points</th>
<th>Coverage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Shade, cover or use high albedo hardscape for a minimum of 40% of the site hardscape. For the purpose of this credit site hardscape includes roads, sidewalks, courtyards, amenity decks, and parking lots. Areas square footage that may be included in this calculation are hardscape shading by trees (within 10 years, structures with roof materials with a SRI ≥ 78 or a LRV ≥ 50, structured parking or hardscape with a SRI ≥ 35). The building footprint, i.e., square footage of roof, is NOT considered hardscape unless used as a rooftop terrace amenity. Hardscape shaded by photovoltaic panels or other systems that are generating electricity can be included in the shade square footage calculation and are exempt from meeting the SRI ≥ 78 requirement.

Provide a site plan identifying all the site features and a cut sheet for any reflective materials used to achieve this credit.

UR - we have more than 60% coverage by shade/canopy based on UR. They suggest we can achieve 80% by the selection of the artificial wood finish color to be high albedo

### Under Building Parking

A minimum of 50% of the parking shall be located under the building.

Plan details for project parking

TJH

### Building Exterior

To qualify for this credit, a minimum of 80% of the exterior wall surface area minus the glazing must have a LRV > 60 for stucco and painted finishes, a SRI ≥ 35 for metal and vinyl. Natural and man made stone products must be light in color and comparable to LRV > 60 paint.

Provide a cut sheet of the exterior wall coating/paint and any shading calculations of claimed.

TJH

## Light Pollution Reduction
### Stormwater Management

#### S 6.1 Rate and Quantity

- **Do not exceed the light levels and uniformity ratios recommended by the Illuminating Engineering Society of North America (IESNA) Recommended Practice Manual: Lighting for Exterior Environments (RP-33-99).**
- **Provide specifications, construction detail and lighting cut sheets indicating dark sky compliance.**

#### S 6.2 Treatment

- **Building, amenity deck, and site lighting are dark sky compliant.**

- **Do not exceed the light levels and uniformity ratios recommended by the Illuminating Engineering Society of North America (IESNA) Recommended Practice Manual: Lighting for Exterior Environments (RP-33-99).**

#### S 6.3 Littoral Vegetation

- **Provide on-site treatment of stormwater to remove 80% of TSS Total Suspended Solids and 40% of TP Total Phosphorus.**

- **Use littoral vegetation surrounding stormwater ponds - a minimum of 75% of the shoreline (calculated based on percentage of linear feet of shoreline) shall be vegetated with littoral plants.**

#### S 6.4 Alternative Stormwater Detention: Rain Gardens, Infiltration Trenches, Rainwater Harvesting, and Injection Wells

- **Install pervious hardscape for a minimum of 25% of the hardscape. Site hardscape includes roads, sidewalks, courtyards, and parking lots. Hardscape may be porous pavers (open grid pavers) or permeable pavement (minimum percolation rate of 2 ga/min/3SF and a minimum of 6 inches of open graded base below.)**

- **UR believes this can be achieved by the same regulations for the fish & wildlife for turtle lighting.**

#### S 6.5 Pervious Hardscape

- **Collect and treat stormwater from adjacent properties to assist in controlling both the quantity and quality of stormwater in the community.**

- **Earn 1 point for each additional 25% of the total site stormwater that is collected using LID techniques.**

#### S 6.6 Treat Stormwater from Adjacent Sites

- **UR believes this can be achieved by the same regulations for the fish & wildlife for turtle lighting.**

### Credits Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CREDITS</th>
<th>TOTAL AVAILABLE</th>
<th>ACHIEVED</th>
<th>POSSIBLE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HEALTH</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Code</td>
<td>Title</td>
<td>Details</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H 1.1</td>
<td><strong>Environmental Tobacco Smoke (ETS) Control</strong></td>
<td>No smoking allowed in the common areas of the building and only in outside designated areas that are located 25 feet or more away from all doors, operable windows, HVAC equipment, and fresh air intakes. Site plan indicating designated smoking area. KK - site has no smoking area (fully no smoking zone).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H 2.1</td>
<td><strong>Construction IAQ Management Plan, During Construction</strong></td>
<td>Indoor Environmental Quality shall be protected during construction according to SMACNA guidelines. Provide copy of the specifications indicating use of SMACNA guidelines and letter from the contractor signed by both the project manager and field superintendent indicating they have implemented the SMACNA guidelines.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Design - Systems - Protect, Monitor, Remediate Poor IEQ

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H 1.1.1</td>
<td><strong>Carbon Dioxide (CO2) Monitoring</strong></td>
<td>Systems shall be designed to monitor carbon dioxide (CO2) within the building and activate a system with corrective action plan such that mechanical air conditioning system can introduce treated fresh air as needed. Construction detail of CO2 monitoring system on mechanical plans and cut sheet of equipment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H 1.1.2</td>
<td><strong>All Common Areas</strong></td>
<td>Systems shall be designed to monitor carbon dioxide (CO2) within the building and activate a system with corrective action plan such that mechanical air conditioning system can introduce treated fresh air as needed. Construction detail of CO2 monitoring system on mechanical plans and cut sheet of equipment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H 1.1.3</td>
<td><strong>Individual Units</strong></td>
<td>Systems shall be designed to monitor carbon dioxide (CO2) within the building and activate a system with corrective action plan such that mechanical air conditioning system can introduce treated fresh air as needed. Construction detail of CO2 monitoring system on mechanical plans and cut sheet of equipment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H 1.2</td>
<td><strong>Increased Ventilation Effectiveness</strong></td>
<td>Building systems shall be designed to create an air change effectiveness greater than or equal to 0.9 as determined by ASHRAE 62.1-2004. This credit shall be available for projects installing dehumidification systems. Provide details on mechanical plans and system design.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H 1.3</td>
<td><strong>Building Entrance - Outdoor Pollutants</strong></td>
<td>Project shall employ measures such as permanent walk off grates or mats located at the building main entrances to reduce pollutant contamination of the building entrances. Building entrance must be under cover or mats provided immediately inside the entrance and a maintenance plan must be included to maintain the integrity of the system. Provide cut sheet and construction detail of the system installed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H 1.4</td>
<td><strong>Building Entrance - Covered Entry</strong></td>
<td>Main entrance of the building shall be covered within less than 50 square feet of roof to protect entrance from rain. Provide a copy of the dimensioned plan indicating the covered entrance and the square footage of the entrance cover.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H 1.5</td>
<td><strong>High Efficiency Air Filtration System</strong></td>
<td>Design a mechanical ventilation system to include a minimum MERV 8 air filter. Cut sheet of air filter system. FES - ok.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H 1.6</td>
<td><strong>Common Areas</strong></td>
<td>Design a mechanical ventilation system to include a minimum MERV 8 air filter. Cut sheet of air filter system. FES - ok.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Page 110</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Page 110</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Page 110</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Page 110</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Page 110</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Page 111</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Page 111</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Page 111</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Page 111</td>
<td>2.3.2</td>
<td>Between Units and Common Areas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Page 111</td>
<td>2.3.3</td>
<td>Exterior Wall Assembly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Page 111</td>
<td>2.3.4</td>
<td>Fenestration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Page 111</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### H 2.5 Design Requirements

<p>| | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>21% of Building Units and All Building Common Areas</td>
<td>Designed to Meet ADA Standards</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- A minimum of 21% of the units in the building must comply with the following requirements:
  - Ample clear floor space (5 x 5 foot turning radius) to ensure maneuverability at lavatories, toilets, and tub/showers
  - The bathroom walls must be reinforced for grab bars that are installed at commode, tub, and shower (FGBC recommends following the ADAAG for height and size specifications).
  - 32 inch minimum door width; 36 inches preferred
  - 24 inch space on latch side of doors or automatic door opener
  - Light switches a maximum height of 48" from the floor or the top of the switch
  - Electrical outlets a minimum of 15" from the floor to the bottom of the outlet
  - Lever handles on doors or doors without latches
  - Rocker or touch switches

AND

- Include at least one of the following options:
  - Standard tub with a fold-up seat
  - Tub with a transfer seat
  - Whirlpool tub
  - 3 x 3 foot transfer shower
  - 5 x 5 foot roll-in shower

### H 3 IAQ Management During Construction

<p>| | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Protect Ducts, Range Hood, and Bath Exhaust Fans During Construction
  - All duct register boxes, supply plenums, range hood, the bath exhaust fans (housing or fan) and liner boxes are sealed off with cardboard, rigid duct board, or other suitable method directly following mechanical rough in.
  - The temporary tape used to seal the registers during a smoke test does not comply. Ducts must remain sealed until HVAC system start-up. This step prevents construction dust and pollutants from accumulating in the duct system and being released into the air when the system is turned on. If interior finish work (painting, etc.) continues after HVAC start-up, ducts must be resealed until work is complete

### H 3.1 Minimum MERV 13 Air Filters During Construction

<p>| | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Common Areas
  - During construction install a minimum of a MERV 13 air filter.

- Individual Units
  - During construction install a minimum of a MERV 13 air filter.

### H 3.2 Pre-Occupancy IAQ Testing

<p>| | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Test and remediate building prior to occupancy using procedure consistent with the United States Environmental Protection Agency’s current Protocol for Environmental Requirements, Baseline IAQ and Materials, for the Research Triangle Park Campus, Section 01445.

### H 4 Low-Emitting Materials/Healthy Finishes

<p>| | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Copy of the IAQ testing results indicating that the maximum chemical contaminant concentration requirements are not exceeded.

- Current layouts do not comply with this item based on TJH review.
| H 4.1 | 2 | 2 | Adhesives & Sealants | All adhesives and sealants shall be low Volatile Organic Compound (VOC) and meet the VOC limits below that were established by the South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD) Rule #1168 AND all sealants used as fillers must meet or exceed the requirements of the Bay Area Air Quality Management District Regulation 8, Rule 51. | Contractor shall maintain all Material Safety Data Sheet (MSDS) highlighting the stated VOC emissions for each paint and coating used in the building. | KK - TBD |
| H 4.2 | 2 | 2 | Paint | Interior paints and coatings shall be less than 100 g/l for non-flat paint and less than 50 g/l for flat paint. Exterior paints and coatings shall be less than 200 g/l for non-flat and less than 100 g/l for flat. | Contractor shall maintain all Material Safety Data Sheet (MSDS) highlighting the stated VOC emissions for each adhesive and sealant used in the building. | TJH to spec |
| H 4.3 | 2 | 2 | Carpet | All carpet and carpet products shall meet the Carpet & Rug Institute Green Label Certification Program. | Provide carpet cut sheets or the VOC limits for each carpet product used in the building. | TJH to spec |
| H 4.4 | 2 | 2 | Composite Wood | All composite wood and agrifiber products will contain no added urea-formaldehyde. | Provide a manufacturer's catalog cut sheet for each composite wood or agrifiber product used in the building indicating that the bonding agent used is each product contains no added urea-formaldehyde. | TJH to spec - GC Requirement |
| H 4.5 | 2 | 2 | Insulation | All Insulation products will be free of formaldehyde. | | KK - TBD |
| H 4.6 | Minimize Carpet Use | | | | | |
| H 4.6.1 | 2 | 2 | 100% Hard Flooring Installed in Individual Units | The flooring installed shall be classified as hard or resilient and comply with GreenGuard or similar health related certification. | Cut sheets of flooring selections. | KK - TBD |
| H 4.6.2 | 2 | NA | Carpet Tiles Used in Common Areas | If carpet is installed in common areas, carpet tiles must be used. All carpet and carpet products shall meet the Carpet & Rug Institute Green Label Certification Program. | Provide carpet cut sheets or the VOC limits for each carpet product used in the building. | |
| H 4.7 | 2 | 2 | Green Cleaning - Environmentally Friendly Maintenance - Green Cleaning Products in Common Areas | Owner shall maintain or contract a cleaning service to maintain the property using only non-toxic cleaning supplies in the regular maintenance of the building. A list of approved supplies must be posted in janitor closets and in common areas such as break rooms and restrooms. Non-Toxic is defined as having a zero Health Hazard rating on the product's Material Safety Data Sheet (MSDS) and listed as "non-toxic" for Acute Toxicity under "Section V - Health Information" on the MSDS. Alternatively the products may be approved by the EPA's Design for Environment program or Green Seal. | Provide a list of approved cleaning products for the building. | JMH to contact cleaning company in regards to toxic free supplies |
| H 4.8 | 2 | 2 | Healthy Pool Non-Chlorine System | Install and use a pool sanitation system that reduces the use of chlorine. | Cut sheet or photo of sanitation system | JMH |
| H 5.1 | Management | | | | | |
| H 5.1.1 | Prohibit Smoking | | | | | |
H 5.1.1

Reduce Smoke Exposure and Transfer

1. Prohibit smoking in all common areas of the building. The prohibition must be communicated in building rental/lease agreements or condo/coop association covenants and restrictions, and provisions for enforcement must be included.
2. Locate any exterior designated smoking areas, including balconies where smoking is permitted, at least 25 feet from entries, outdoor air intakes and operable windows opening to common areas.
3. Prohibit on-property smoking within 25 feet of entries, outdoor air intakes and operable windows.

Copy of the covenants and restriction, plan showing designated smoking area, copy of signage

TJM

H 5.1.2

Prohibit Smoking Throughout the Building

1. Prohibit smoking within living units. The prohibition must be communicated in building rental/lease agreements or condo/coop association covenants and restrictions, and provisions for enforcement must be included.
2. Prohibit smoking in all common areas of the building. The prohibition must be communicated in building rental/lease agreements or condo/coop association covenants and restrictions, and provisions for enforcement must be included.
3. Any exterior designated smoking areas must be located at least 25 feet away from all entries, outdoor air intakes, and operable windows.

Copy of the covenants and restriction, plan showing designated smoking area, copy of signage

JMH

H 5.2

Integrated Pest Management

Work with a skilled pest control professional to develop an Integrated Pest Management Plan that addresses the following four items:
• Monitoring and prevention of pest populations.
• Application of pesticides only “as needed” after prevention and physical controls have been implemented.
• Selecting the least hazardous pesticides for control of targeted pests.
• Precision targeting of pesticides to areas not contacted or accessible to the occupants.

Provide a copy of the pest management plan including identification of the pests and monitor process, action thresholds, prevention activities, and control mechanisms.

JMH to hire Pest Control Management company

MATERIALS (Required Category Minimum 5)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MATERIALS</th>
<th>CREDITS</th>
<th>REQUIREMENTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M 1</td>
<td>Waste Management</td>
<td>Rehabilitate existing building. Maintain 50% of the existing shell (exterior skin and framing, excluding window assemblies) and non structural roofing material.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M 1.1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Building Reuse</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

JMH
| M 1.2 | 4 | NA | Recycled Content  | 1 point: > 5% - 10%  
2 points: > 10% - 15%  
3 points: > 15% - 20%  
4 points: > 20% | Incorporate recycled materials based on materials cost. Use materials with recycled content such that post-consumer and/or post-industrial recycled content constitutes a minimum of 5% of the total project cost. Earn one additional point for each additional 5% of recycled content materials. The value of the recycled content portion of a material or furnishing shall be determined by dividing the weight of recycled content in the item by the total weight of all materials in the item, then multiplying the resulting percentage by the total value of the item. Mechanical and electrical elements shall not be included in this calculation. Recycled content materials shall be defined in accordance with the Federal Trade Commission document, Guide for the Use of Environmental Marketing Claims, 15 CFR 260.7(e), available at www.ftc.gov/bcp/gmrule/guides980427.htm. | Submit recycled content calculations. Refer to the "Materials Worksheet" for calculations. | JMH |
| M 1.3 | 1 | NA | Recyclable Materials | Use materials that at the end of their useful lifecycle can be recycled by the manufacturer into the raw materials stream of another product. The value of such products will constitute a minimum of 10% of the total value of the materials in the project | Submit recyclable materials calculations. Refer to the "Materials Worksheet" for calculations. | JMH |
| M 1.4 | 1 | NA | Rapidly Renewable > 3% | Incorporate rapidly renewable (plant to harvest cycle <10 years) for 3% of the total value of all building materials and products used in the project | Submit calculations demonstrating that the project incorporates the required percentage of rapidly renewable products. Refer to the "Materials Worksheet" for calculations. | JMH |
| M 1.5 | 1 | NA | Certified Wood | Wood products are FSC, SFI or CSA certified. Use a minimum of 50% of wood-based materials and products, certified in accordance with the Forest Stewardship Council (FSC) Guidelines, for wood building components, including but not limited to, structural framing and general dimensional framing, flooring, finishes, furnishings, and non-rented temporary construction applications such as bracing, concrete formwork and pedestrian barriers | Submit a copy of the wood certification and the calculations showing 50% (based on cost) of the wood purchased for the project is certified. Refer to the "Materials Worksheet" for calculations. | JMH |
| M 1.6 | 1 | NA | Bio-based > 3% | Earn one point if 3% of the materials, based on cost, are bio-based such as solid wood, engineered wood, bamboo, wool, cotton, cork, agricultural fibers, or other bio-based materials having at least 50% bio-based content | Cut sheets of materials used and the calculations showing 3% (based on cost) of the materials purchased for the project are bio-based. Refer to the "Materials Worksheet" for calculations. | JMH |
| M 1.7 | 2 | NA | Resource Efficient or Panelized Wall Systems | Insall a minimum of 80% of the non-structural exterior walls must be Autoclaved Aerated Concrete (AAC), Insulated Concrete Forms (ICF), or Structural Insulated Panels (SIPs) or a combination thereof | Photo, detailed plans, or material cut sheets. Refer to the "Materials Worksheet" for calculations. | JMH |
| M 1.8 | 2 | NA | Efficient Drywall Installation: T Walls with Drywall Clips, 2 Stud Corners or Ladder Framing | Use 2-stud corners, ladder T-wall framing, and drywall clips in all possible locations. | Construction details on plans and photos | JMH |

**M 2**  
Material Efficiency and Global Responsibility
### Recycling for Residents

1 point: Provide an accessible recycling area
2 points: Install an integrated recycling trash chute

Provide an accessible area that serves all of the building occupants that is dedicated to the collection, separation, and storage of recyclables. Recycling rooms in the buildings shall be a minimum of 0.1% of the total conditioned square footage of the building while recycling areas outside the structure shall accommodate a recycling dumpster equal in size (in CY) to \((8 \text{ of units} \times 0.5 \times 18) / 173.57\) rounded up to the nearest even number OR install an integrated recycling trash shoots that allow the occupants, when disposing of waste, to select either recycling or waste that is serviced by a recycling waste hauler.

Construction detail, cut sheet, and photo

### Construction Waste Management, Divert Waste

2 points: ≥ 50% < 75%
3 points: > 75% < 90%
4 points: > 90%

Develop and implement a waste management plan, quantifying material diversion goals. Recycle and/or salvage a minimum of 50% of construction, demolition and land clearing waste. Calculations can be done by weight or volume but must be consistent throughout. Earn additional points for increased diversion of waste.

Tabulate the total waste material, quantities diverted and the means by which diverted.

JMH / GC requirement

### Resource Reuse ≥ 5%

Use salvaged, refurbished or reused materials, products and furnishings for at least 5% of building materials (based on cost).

Provide a listing of each material or product and the original source of the material used to meet the credit. Refer to the "Materials Worksheet" for calculations.

### Local and Regional Materials

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>M 3.1</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Local/Regional Materials</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Earn one point by using a minimum of 10% local/regional materials (by cost) that are manufactured within a 700-mile radius of the project site based on the total project cost of building materials and products. Earn one additional point for each additional 5% of materials that are manufactured within 700 miles of the project site.

Manufacturing refers to the final assembly of components into the building product that is furnished and installed by the tradesman. For example, if the hardware comes from Dallas, Texas, the lumber from Vancouver, British Columbia and the truss is assembled in Kent, Washington; then the location of the final assembly is Kent, Washington.

Provide calculations demonstrating that the project incorporated the required percentage of regional materials/products. Show their cost, percent of regional components, distance from project to manufacturer, and the total cost of all materials for the project. Refer to the "Materials Worksheet" for calculations.

JMH

### Local/Regional Materials, of the Percentage Claimed Above, 50% Harvested Locally

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>M 3.2</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>NA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Local/Regional Materials, of the Percentage Claimed Above, 50% Harvested Locality</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Of the regionally manufactured materials, use a minimum 5% (by cost) of building materials and products that are extracted, harvested or recovered within the following states: Florida, Georgia, Alabama, Mississippi, South Carolina, North Carolina, or Tennessee.

Provide calculations demonstrating that the project incorporated the required percentage of regional materials/products and show the cost and percent of regional components, distance from project to manufacturer, and the total cost of all materials for the project. Refer to the "Materials Worksheet" for calculations.

JMH

### DISASTER MITIGATION AND DURABILITY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CREDITS</th>
<th>TOTAL AVAILABLE</th>
<th>ACHIEVED</th>
<th>POSSIBLE</th>
<th>NA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DISASTER MITIGATION AND DURABILITY</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Points Below Category Minimum</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**CREDITS**

**TOTAL AVAILABLE**

**ACHIEVED**

**POSSIBLE**

**NA**
| DMD 1.1 | 2 | 2 | Hurricane, Impact Resistance of Openings | All installed glazing is impact resistant. | Provide the manufacturer's cut sheets for the impact resistant products indicating the required approvals and classifications. Provide a door and window schedule listing impact-resistant products used on the project. | TJH |
| DMD 1.2 | 2 | NA | Flood, Slab Elevation | FFE must be 12” above 100-year flood plain or finished grade adjacent to building, whichever is higher. All grades around building must slope away from the foundation a minimum of 6” at 10’-0” distance. The 100-year flood plain is determined by FEMA. | Provide the appropriate drawings illustrating the foundation design, floor elevation and grading requirements. Include a copy of the NFIP Elevation Certificate certified by the surveyor, engineer or architect showing the 100-year flood plain elevation or grade. | no mention of whether this is for FFE for first habitable level or lowest level |
| DMD 1.3 | 2 | 2 | Wildfire, Fire-Resistant Exterior Finishes | Project must utilize fire-resistant exterior wall cladding, roof covering or sub-roof, soffit and vent materials. An exterior cladding other than wood or vinyl must be used on all exterior walls. A roof covering other than asphalt shingles or wood shakes must be used on the entire roof. Credit is also available if the sub-roof (roof deck) is of a fire-resistant material, instead of the covering. Soffit and vent materials must be other than wood or vinyl. When these parts of the building are compromised, embers from nearby fires can enter into the attic. | Provide appropriate drawings and manufacturer's cut sheets illustrating the fire resistance of the exterior finish materials. | TJH |
| DMD 1.4 | 2 | NA | Termite Prevention | Provide a permanent sign, posted near the water heater or electrical panel, identifying the termite treatment provider, the need for re-inspection and treatment contract renewal. A single slab must be poured monolithically or must have area treated for termites before each portion of slab is poured. After the slab has substantially cured, any penetration through the slab such as piping or conduit shall be sealed around its perimeter with an elastomeric sealer. Any foam insulation must terminate above ground such that none of it extends below grade. The exterior cladding of the building must terminate at least 18” above grade. All wood products must be treated with Roarite or ACO. Rain gutters must be installed to collect water from all roof slopes and convey it at least 3 feet away from the building foundation. All HVAC condensate line(s) must discharge at least 3 feet away from the building. All plants and irrigation should be at least 3 feet from building. Florida law requires that a contract be issued whenever a termite treatment is conducted. The warranty shall include the pest control company to restore any property damaged by wooddestroying organisms during a specified period after the treatment. | Provide project photos, copy of warrantee, and appropriate construction details | TJH believes requirements for cladding and planting do not appear to comfort with current design |
| DMD 1.5 | 2 | NA | Termite, Non Toxic Termite Pretreatment | The building uses an alternative to traditional soil poison for termite treatment. Systems may include the use of borate or Alkaline Copper Quaternary (ACQ) treated lumber or termite bait systems. To achieve this credit any and all plants, turf and irrigation lines must be a minimum of 3' from the foundation. Additionally, any foam/insulation must terminate above ground. The exterior cladding of the building must also terminate at least 8” above grade. Rainwater from the roof must also be dispersed a minimum of 3' from the building foundation (by the use of downspouts or scuppers and extensions or splash blocks). All AC condensate lines must also discharge a minimum of 3' from the building. | Provide appropriate drawings and specifications, illustrating compliance to all requirements. | TJH believes requirements for cladding and planting do not appear to conflict with current design |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DMD 2</th>
<th>Durability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DMD 2.1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMD 2.2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMD 2.3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMD 2.4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMD 2.5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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8995 COLLINS AVENUE
SURFSIDE, FLORIDA 33154

The proposed architectural plans and elevations for 8995 Collins Avenue call for a respectful re-interpretation of this Mid-Century Modern building which has stood at this site since 1966. This review is based upon the features of architectural significance as defined by the Town of Surfside Ordinance No. 16-1655 as well as comments on additional issues affecting historic elements.

The re-interpretation of these existing significant architectural features looks toward maintaining the essential architectural character of this historic building. There is a rationale for each of these architectural decisions as they affect the significant architectural elements of the building - as elaborated below.

SIGNIFICANT ARCHITECTURAL DETAILS:

* OVERHANGING ROOF
The overhanging roof is proposed to be raised up to the new roof level of the building above the 12th floor. Currently the building is 8 floors tall. From an architectural viewpoint it seems more appropriate to raise the roof to the new taller scale of the building - rather than to build new above the roof-top in place. This relocated location still serves to celebrate this arched overhanging roof as a detail of architectural significance. This particular overhanging roof in a mid-building location would be an awkward design challenge quite difficult to design around.

* ARCHES @ OVERHANGING ROOF
The existing arches at the overhanging roof are proposed to be raised up to the new roof level of the building. As with the overhanging roof it does seem more appropriate to raise these arches - rather than to build new above the roof-top in place. The arches at the overhanging roof are a significant architectural feature.

* CURVED CONCRETE COLUMN BASES
The curved concrete column bases for the vertical concrete columns running the height of the building are a significant architectural feature. These curved concrete column bases are typical at the beginning of each vertical column. These curved concrete column bases comprise a significant architectural feature.
SIGNIFICANT ARCHITECTURAL DETAILS:

* REPETITIVE MOTIFS
One of the major design elements of this building is the window fenestration pattern. The Architects have re-interpreted the basic building structure as the rationale for fenestration and for re-interpreting this building to work in the 21st century.

The repetitive motif in both the existing and proposed facades provides distinctive elevations. An overall pattern for a building is a typical feature of Mid-Century / MiMo architecture. This repetitive structural grid on the major elevations has became the point of inspiration for the Architects.

The original facades of this building have become hidden over the years with storm shutter frames in place on the elevations. These storm shutter systems provide hurricane protection for approximately 50% of the building's windowed elevations. On one hand there is the original irregular motif pattern with some window bays and some blank walls or on the other hand there is the storm shutter system gridded to the elevations and the de-facto viewed elevation for generations of Surfsiders.

The repetitive motif of the facades - especially with the storm shutters - actually masks different conditions throughout the building. Sometimes there are windows and sometimes there are walls. The storm shutter system has gone a long way to morph the view of the building into a geometric grid.

* EXEMPLIFIES REGIONAL STYLE OF ARCHITECTURE
In the original Architectural Significance Report for 8995 Collins Avenue completed by this author and dated March 3, 2017 - this was one of the qualifying significant architectural features.

There is a common design thread of tall columns topped by arches in mid-century architecture - especially in Surfside with 8801 Collins Avenue completed in 1965 and 8995 Collins Avenue completed in 1966. Also in 1966 this regional style made its debut at Lincoln Center in New York City in 1966. Yet it was the 301 Arthur Godfrey Road office building in Miami Beach by Charles Giller Architect that actually began this regional style in 1963.

This thoughtful re-interpretation for 8995 Collins Avenue respects and reflects the historic significant architectural elements of the building - and celebrates their significance in its proposed re-design. At the same time 8995 Collins Avenue continues to highlight the architecturally significant elements of this regional architectural style.
ADDITIONAL IMPROVEMENTS:

OPENING UP of REAR (SOUTH) FACADE
The provision of new windows into the formerly forbidding 8-story blank walled south elevation - is a great improvement both for the surrounding neighborhood who must look at the building - as well as opening up the interior of the building to daylight.

The proposed south elevation responds to the surrounding neighborhood with a much improved neighborhood-friendly building elevation. This will definitely be a great improvement over the existing overwhelming blank wall. These new window openings also respect the historic repetitive structural motif of the building and tie in with adjacent building elevations. A building is meant to be read from all four elevations.

NEW TERRACES
The addition of new terraces has been achieved within the existing horizontal architectural building lines. The light-well openings between terraces provides a kinetic visual relief from the overall horizontality on the facade.

The glass railings further reinforce the existing lines of the building by essentially disappearing when viewing the building. These new terraces respect the existing structural lines of the building and strive to blend with the existing structural lines.

RELOCATION OF GARAGE ENTRANCE
FROM
COLLINS AVENUE TO 90 STREET
The garage entrance has always been accessed directly from Collins Avenue. With the steady increase in the volume of traffic over the years this garage entrance creates traffic back-ups into oncoming traffic.

Thus the developer has worked with the Town of Surfside to provide an improved solution for garage access from 90th Street.

CONCLUSION
As the author of this report I believe that the proposed plans for 8995 Collins Avenue meet the standards for Architectural Significance as described in the Town of Surfside Ordinance No. 16-1655.
OUTSTANDING STAFF REVIEW
COMMENTS
TOWN OF SURFSIDE
PLANNING COMMENTS
SITE PLAN REVIEW COMMENTS

Discipline: Traffic Engineering
Reviewed by: Eric Czerniejewski, P.E., ENV SP
Date: April 15, 2018
Phone No.: (954) 921-7781
Fax No.: (954) 921-8807

Application No.: 08-1763.33
Project Name: 8995 Collins

Comments Based on Plan Submittal: 1st submittal (revised plan)

☐ No comments
☒ Comments as followed or attached

1. Projects that have direct or immediate access or is within one-half block of Collins Avenue, Harding Avenue or 96th Street shall be subject to the review and approval by FDOT for compliance with FDOT standards. Please provide an approval letter from FDOT as part of your resubmittal. (Town of Surfside Code Section 90-81.11)

2. The pavement marking and signage plan needs to show the existing driveway connection details for the Surf Club on the north side of 90th Street.

3. Please update the pavement marking and signage plan to include the complete linework for the proposed sidewalk infrastructure. There is linework not shown on this plan that is shown in the proposed site plan drawing. Please include the proposed ADA handicap ramps for the north south crosswalk proposed on 90th Avenue just east of Collins Avenue.

4. Please confirm if paver bricks will be reinstalled at the proposed north south crosswalk proposed on 90th Avenue just east of Collins Avenue. The paving, grading and drainage plan calls out for 90th Street to be milled and resurfaced.

5. The location of the proposed stop sign, left turn only and do not enter signs are not shown on the pavement marking and signage plan at the egress only driveway connection on 90th Street. Please show location of the proposed signs that match the labels.
6. The proposed solid white left turn arrow marking should be positioned perpendicular and set back to the proposed stop bar at the egress only driveway connection on 90th Street.

7. Please either label the directional arrows that are shown on the pavement marking and signage plan as “informational only” or remove the symbols from the plans. It is unclear from the design plans if these are to be installed as part of the project.

8. A Fire Staging area is being proposed in both the Collins Avenue and 90th Street public right-of-way. Please confirm that Fire Rescue and FDOT have reviewed and approved these two locations.

9. An additional traffic analysis will be required to evaluate the proposed conversion of 90th Street to a one-way roadway facility between Harding Avenue and Collins Avenue. Additional comments may be issued for these proposed off-site improvements.

10. The valet parking analysis should be updated to address the following traffic operational concerns:
   - Because the vehicles of the residents leaving the building also “arrive” at the valet station their number needs to be added to the hourly arrival rate. The most current study utilizes the 12 PM peak entering trips as the queue arrival rate rather than adding the 8 exiting vehicles and expanding it to 20 vehicles. The queue to analyze is the one that forms at the very head of the line, which is fed both by arriving occupants waiting to turn their vehicle over to the arrivals valet, and departing vehicles emerging from the ascending (east) lift being returned to their owners.
   - Because of this, the worst-case condition is based on the entering plus exiting hourly total, not the entering volume alone.
   - The average service time at the valet station should appropriately reflect the mix of service times (for arriving vs departing residents) and their proportions.
   - One hour is far too long to be an appropriate analysis interval. The result of this type of analysis is sensitive to the choice of analysis “interval” length. For any sufficiently short interval the most likely number of arrivals is zero and there is of course no chance of any queue. In undersaturated conditions like this, given a sufficiently long analysis interval, any queue buildups within the interval due to the variable arrival rate involved are inevitably discharged.
   - The applicant needs to demonstrate that enough interval lengths have been tested to identify the “worst” condition i.e. the interval length that produces the highest likelihood of the queue exceeding three vehicles.
   - When an arriving vehicle has been given to the valet and is headed for the descending lift, the valet approaching the west drive entrance will either:
     1. Proceed straight into the descending lift without delay; or
     2. Stop in the street because the queue has blocked access to the descending lift.
The queue in the 2\textsuperscript{nd} condition won't discharge since the person needed to serve it isn't at the valet station, they're at the back of the queue, blocking street traffic. This geometry and process thus considerably exacerbates the consequence of a queue that exceeds three vehicles from the usual (vehicle \textit{temporarily} in the travel lane) to a complete standstill. The statistical standard chosen for this situation (likelihood should not exceed X) should probably be far stricter than the usual ten percent. Any chosen standard (one percent, one half of one percent) could be tested, but the inputs still need to reflect the blend of arriving and departing vehicles as previously mentioned. This should be addressed in the section narrative.

- Please confirm and define the valet service position. Site plan sheet A3.01 labels this midway of the canopy area which leaves just one queuing space behind the service position. An exhibit needs to be provided in the Appendix that defines this set-up. If this is in fact one, the queuing analysis for the valet needs to be updated accordingly.
- The proposed pedestrian sidewalk path will be affected by the vehicles entering the valet drop off area multiple times. This includes the initial arrival at the ingress, the circulating lap across the egress opening and the crossing of the ingress to enter the proposed intake elevator. This additional number of trips across the entrance is a safety concern.
- The queuing analysis provided in Appendix G should provide justification for the tabled values used in the calculation. Please provide copy of tables in the Appendix.

---

Eric Czerniejewski, P.E., ENV SP
TOWN OF SURFSIDE
DEVELOPMENT REVIEW GROUP COMMENTS

Discipline: Landscape
Reviewed by: Bill Tesauro
Review Date: 04/12/2018
Phone: (954) 921-7781
Fax: (954) 921-8807

Application: Site Plan
Project Name: 8995 Collins Avenue- Surf House
Project Number: 08-1763.33
Comments Based on Submittal: 5th Submittal

No comments
X Comments as follows or attached

Landscape Related Comments:

1. Please be advised the proposed landscaping on Sheet L200-A that is located outside this property (On Town Property) in undersized landscape areas with regards to proper horticultural practices and the proximity to the public concrete pedestrian sidewalk; will result in a very high potential for root damage to the sidewalk; thus creating possible hazardous conditions for the public utilizing it and may cause impending liability to the Town.

2. Please be advised that the deficient 10’ wide landscape buffer and landscape requirements, (including the proposed landscaping outside property) are subject to a variance approval from the Town.

3. Please be advised as a condition of approval please obtain written approval from the Town for the proposed relocation of the existing Florida Thatch #1040 located on Town property.

4. Please be advised as a conditional approval the new deck may require approval from other governmental agencies.
1. Call to Order/Roll Call  
Chair Lecour called the meeting to order at 7:15 p.m.

Deputy Clerk Riera called the roll with the following members present: Chair Lindsay Lecour, Board Member Peter Glynn, Board Member Brian Roller, and Vice Chair Judith Frankel. Board Member Jorge Garcia was absent. Vice Mayor Daniel Gielchinsky attended as liaison.

2. Town Commission Liaison Report – Vice Mayor Daniel Gielchinsky  
Vice Mayor Gielchinsky gave an updated report and said the major issue discussed was maximum building length.

3. Sustainability Subcommittee Liaison Report – Planning and Zoning Board Member  
Vice Chair Judith Frankel gave a report.

4. Approval of Minutes: February 22, 2018  
Vice Chair Frankel made a motion to approve the minutes. The motion received a second from Board Member Roller and all voted in favor.

5. Local Planning Agency Items:  
A. Maximum Building Length

AN ORDINANCE OF THE TOWN OF SURFSIDE, FLORIDA AMENDING SECTION 90-51 “MAXIMUM FRONTAGE OF BUILDINGS AND FAÇADE ARTICULATIONS,” OF “CHAPTER 90 ZONING” OF THE TOWN OF SURFSIDE CODE OF ORDINANCES TO ADDRESS FAÇADE ARTICULATIONS, MAXIMUM BUILDING LENGTHS AND BUILDING SEPARATIONS IN THE H30C AND H40 ZONING DISTRICTS; PROVIDING FOR REPEAL OF CONFLICTING PROVISIONS; PROVIDING FOR SEVERABILITY; PROVIDING FOR INCLUSION IN THE CODE; AND PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

Deputy Clerk Riera the title of the ordinance. Town Planner Sinatra Gould presented the item. Neisen Kasd in representing his client presented three documents to the Board and spoke on the item. He believes there are legal consequences involved if the ordinance is approved and gave an explanation.

Chair Lecour asked if any member of the public wished to speak on the item and seeing none the public hearing was closed.

Chair Lecour gave a brief overview of the item as discussed at previous Board meetings. It was suggested that perhaps some of the public’s concerns were not taken into consideration and that is why the item is being revisited. The Town Attorney addressed the concerns of the Board regarding the potential legal consequences brought about by Mr. Kasdin. Members of the Board gave their views on the item and Mr. Kasdin’s presentation. There was further discussion.

Vice Chair Frankel made a motion to defer the item to the April 26, 2018 meeting at 6:00 p.m. The motion received a second from Board Member Roller and all voted in favor.
6. Discussion Items:

A. Realtor Signs
   Code Compliance Director Graham presented the item and requested guidance from the Board. The Board asked the Code Compliance Director Graham to continue to enforce the code.

B. Aggregation of Single Family Lots
   Town Planner Sinatra Gould presented the item with visuals. After discussion, the 20 ft. side setback will be kept on the agenda as a discussion item.

C. Architecturally Significant Analysis in H30C, H40 & SD-B40
   Town Planner Sinatra Gould provided the Board with information regarding this item and suggested to not pursue the architectural significance in the other districts outside the beach and by consensus the Board agreed.

D. Walkability – Verbal
   Board Member Roller gave an update of the Town Hall Meeting regarding one-way streets and walkability. From that meeting, he believes the public feels there is a better solution than one-way streets. Vice Chair Frankel who also attended that meeting gave her views of the outcome. It was agreed to not drop streetscape, but to work on ideas to make it better. The Board requested that the March 2016 memo regarding streetscape be recirculated to the Board. Drawings created by Chair Lecour will be sent to Town Planner Sinatra Gould. The item will come back as a discussion item next month.

E. Future Agenda Items
   Town Planner Sinatra Gould commented that the deferred items from tonight will be on the April agenda as well as discussion on building lengths and the deferred quasi-judicial item from the February meeting.

7. Adjournment
   There being no further business to come before the Planning and Zoning Board, Board Member Roller made a motion to adjourn the meeting. The motion received a second from Board Member Glynn and all voted in favor. The meeting adjourned at 9:37 p.m.

Accepted this _____ day of ____________________, 2018

__________________________
Chair Lindsay Lecour

Attest:

__________________________
Sandra Novoa, MMC
Town Clerk
BACKUP TO
THIS ITEM IS
UNDER
TAB 4A
Town of Surfside
Planning & Zoning Communication

Agenda Date: April 26, 2018
Subject: Development Approval Procedures
From: Guillermo Olmedillo, Town Manager

Background: When preparing an item for a quasi-judicial hearing, staff has found that applicants often submit supplemental information or request modifications to a proposed resolution after the agenda package has been prepared. This causes confusion, often fails to provide staff time to provide review and input to the Commission and staff is concerned there is a potential for errors with last minute red-lined versions of resolutions being presented at a hearing. Therefore, staff is proposing that all supplemental information, including any changes to the proposed resolution, would be required to be submitted to the Town Manager or designee a minimum of 10 days prior to the scheduled hearing.

In addition, the Code currently requires that Site Plan Amendments will not be processed if there is a pending code enforcement action or outstanding liens against the property. Staff feels this provision should apply universally to all applications. Finally, as we reviewed this section of the Code, we were able to identify some minor revisions which will eliminate duplication and make the code more user-friendly.

Staff Recommendation: The Town Commission approved this ordinance on first reading. Staff is recommending approval.

Sarah Sinatra Gould, AICP, Town Planner
Guillermo Olmedillo, Town Manager
ORDINANCE NO. 18 - ______

AN ORDINANCE OF THE TOWN OF SURFSIDE, FLORIDA
AMENDING DIVISION 3, “SPECIAL EXCEPTIONS, ZONING CHANGES, CONDITIONAL USES AND VARIANCES,” OF ARTICLE II, “ADMINISTRATION AND ENFORCEMENT,” OF CHAPTER 90 “ZONING” OF THE TOWN OF SURFSIDE CODE OF ORDINANCES TO ADDRESS DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION PROCEDURES AND REQUIREMENTS; PROVIDING FOR REPEAL OF CONFLICTING PROVISIONS; PROVIDING FOR SEVERABILITY; PROVIDING FOR INCLUSION IN THE CODE; AND PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

WHEREAS, the Town Commission of the Town of Surfside, Florida, recognizes that changes to the adopted Code of Ordinances are periodically necessary in order to ensure that the Town’s regulations are current and consistent with the Town’s planning and regulatory needs; and

WHEREAS, the Town finds that implementation of supplemental development application deadlines is necessary to ensure adequate notice, review, and scheduling parameters; and

WHEREAS, the Town desires to eliminate duplication and streamline application requirements to make the Code more user friendly; and

WHEREAS, the Town Commission held its first public hearing on these regulations on March 13, 2018; and

WHEREAS, the Planning and Zoning Board, sitting as the Local Planning Agency, has reviewed the revisions to the Code for consistency with the Town’s Comprehensive Plan at a duly noticed hearing on April 26, 2018; and

WHEREAS, the Town Commission has conducted a second duly noticed public hearing on these regulations as required by law on _________________, 2018; and

WHEREAS, the Town Commission hereby finds and declares that adoption of this Ordinance is necessary, appropriate, and advances the public interest.
NOW THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED BY THE TOWN COMMISSION OF THE TOWN OF SURFSIDE, FLORIDA, AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1. Recitals. Each of the above stated recitals is true and correct and the recitals are incorporated herein by this reference.

Section 2. Code Amendment. The Code of Ordinances of the Town of Surfside, Division 3, “Special Exceptions, Zoning Changes, Conditional Uses and Variances,” of Article II, “Administration and Enforcement,” of Chapter 90 “Zoning” is hereby amended as follows:

ARTICLE II. - ADMINISTRATION AND ENFORCEMENT

* * *

DIVISION 3. - SPECIAL EXCEPTIONS, ZONING CHANGES, CONDITIONAL USES AND VARIANCES

Sec. 90-35. - Planning and zoning board; town commission; applications for site plan, amendments to the land use plan, special exceptions, zoning changes, conditional uses and variances; public noticing requirements; rules of procedure.

Rules of procedure. The following rules shall govern procedure on all applications for site plan, amendments to the land use plan, special exception, zoning changes, conditional uses, and/or variances or amendments or modifications thereto:

(1) Application. All applications shall be submitted to the town manager or designee on the prescribed form and accompanied with the prescribed fee. The fees may be adjusted from time to time to defray the costs of processing and reviewing the application and providing requisite notice. (Professional fees will be charged to the applicant in accordance with the cost recovery program of the town.)

(a) The planning and zoning board shall be required to schedule a public hearing not later than 30 days after determination by the town manager or designee that such site plan, amendment to the land use plan, special exception, zoning change, conditional use permit or variance request meets the criteria set forth in the Zoning Code.

(b) The planning and zoning board shall make its views and recommendations known to the commission for the commission's determination. If the board fails to take action within the prescribed time, the commission shall assume its duties.

(c) All materials, including final drawings, plans, material samples and proposed amendments to draft ordinances or resolutions, shall be provided to the Town Manager or designee no less than 10 days prior to a scheduled quasi-judicial hearing before the board or commission. Any new information or amendments

1 Additions to text are shown in underline. Deletions to text are shown in strikethrough.
provided after that time or new materials submitted prior to the deadline which
significantly revise the proposed plans or require significant review will result in
removal of the item from said agenda to the next available meeting date for which
notice may be properly provided in order to ensure adequate review and analysis of
the new materials. Re-notice may be required at the expense of the applicant.

(d) No application will be considered for property that is the subject of pending code
enforcement action by the town or that has an unpaid code enforcement lien unless
the application would resolve the code enforcement issue.

(2) Notice. A planning and zoning board and town commission public hearing shall be
noticed as provided as follows:

(a) The public hearing shall be advertised at least once in a local newspaper of general
circulation or publicly posted in the Town Hall at least ten days prior to the public
hearing. Written courtesy notices shall be sent by first class mail to affected
property owners within a radius of 300 feet. Where practicable, such advertising
shall contain, in addition to a legal description, a street address, together with the
specific intended use in layman's language, i.e., "apartment house" rather than
"multiple dwelling," "meat market" rather than "business zoning."

(b) A notice, 18 inches by 24 inches, shall be placed in a prominent place on the
property by the applicant at his own expense denoting the following:

REQUEST FOR: _____

PLANNING AND ZONING MEETING: DATE AND TIME

TOWN COMMISSION MEETING: DATE AND TIME

TOWN HALL
9293 Harding Avenue
Surfside, FL 33154

COMPLETE INFORMATION REGARDING THE APPLICATION IS
AVAILABLE BY CONTACTING THE TOWN HALL AT ____________.

Such notice to be posted not less than ten days prior to such planning and zoning
board and town commission hearings.

(c) The posted notice, as set forth in subsection (3) of this section, shall contain the
requested use change in layman's language i.e., "apartment house" rather than
"multiple dwelling," "meat market" rather than "business zoning." Posted notice shall
be in standard colors, approved by the town manager or designee before erection.

(35) Rezoning applicant requirement. All applications must be made and presented by the
fee title owner or owners of the property sought to be rezoned or by a tenant or attorney
for the owner with the owner's written approval.
Adoption by Resolution. Applications for site plan, special exceptions, variances and conditional uses shall be adjudicated by resolution.

Adoption Method for land use and zoning map changes. Applications for amendments to the land use map and rezonings shall be adjudicated through the same procedures as required for ordinance adoption as required by law.

Zoning Change Criteria. Application for zoning change review criteria. In order to approve an application for zoning change the town commission must find that the application complies with each of the following criteria. The applicant is required to provide a report at the time the application is filed which includes documentation that the application complies with each of the below criteria:

a. The zoning change is consistent with the comprehensive plan;

b. The proposed change will result in development that is consistent in scale and character with those within 300 feet of the site;

c. The resulting boundaries of the zoning district are logically drawn;

d. The proposed change will not reduce property values in the town;

e. The proposed change will enhance the quality of life in the town; and

f. There are substantial and compelling reasons why the proposed change is in the best interests of the town.

Resolutions for approval of site plan, special exceptions, variances and conditional use shall be sent to each member of the planning and zoning board by the town manager or designee following approval by the commission (except for a rezoning of a parcel which shall be adopted by ordinance as provided by law, and forwarded to the planning and zoning board in the same manner). All resolutions approving site plan, special exceptions, conditional uses and variances granted by the commission shall be kept in a journal maintained for such purpose.

When applicable, the planning and zoning board hearing shall be conducted in accordance with the quasijudicial procedures set forth in this Code.

The following applications are quasijudicial and shall comply with the town's quasijudicial legislation:

a. Site-specific rezoning.

b. Conditional use applications.

c. Special exceptions.

d. Variances, including lot coverage, dimensions of yards, setbacks, other open spaces, building spacing, parking, or loading requirements, but not limited to: trees, signs, setback, distance requirements between buildings or other variances permitted by this chapter.
e. Development of regional impact.

f. Site plan (or site plan amendment).

g. Amendments to the land use plan.

h. Any other development approval deemed to be quasijudicial by the town attorney.

(8) When applicable, the Town Commission shall conduct a public hearing in accordance with the quasijudicial procedures. Upon consideration of the recommendations of any reviewing agencies, staff and boards, the town manager, planning and zoning board or town commission, as the final decision making authority, shall adopt a final development order that denies, approves or approves with modifications or conditions, the application. Any approval may prescribe any appropriate modifications and/or conditions, to ensure compatibility or mitigate the impacts of the proposed application and to ensure safeguards in conformity with all applicable laws. Violation of such conditions and safeguards, when made a part of the terms under which the approval is granted, shall be deemed a violation of this chapter.

(9) Expiration of approval. The approval of a conditional use, special exception, variance or site plan shall be void if the applicant does not obtain a building permit within 24 months after the granting of the approval-unless a different expiration period is provided in the development order. An applicant who has obtained approval of a development order may request an extension of this time period within the original approval period. The town commission may grant one or more extensions for a period of up to a total of six months for good cause shown by the applicant.

Sec. 90-36. - Variances.

90-36.1 General variances.

***

(11) Expiration of approval. The approval of a variance shall be void if the applicant does not obtain a building permit or other development order to implement the variance within 24 months after the granting of the variance. An applicant who has obtained approval of a variance may request an extension of this time period within the original approval period. The town commission may grant one or more extensions for a period of up to a total of six months for good cause shown by the applicant.

(12) Amendments and alterations to approved variances. Any expansion to an approved variance and any addition to or expansion of an existing variance shall require the same application, review, and approval as required under this Section for the original variance.

***
Section 3. Severability. If any section, subsection, clause or provision of this Ordinance is declared invalid or unconstitutional by a court of competent jurisdiction, the remainder shall not be affected by such invalidity.

Section 4. Conflict. All sections or parts of sections of the Town of Surfside Code of Ordinances in conflict herewith are intended to be repealed to the extent of such conflict.

Section 5. Inclusion in the Code of Ordinances. It is the intention of the Town Commission, and it is hereby ordained that the provisions of this Ordinance shall become and made a part of the Town of Surfside Code of Ordinances, that the sections of this Ordinance may be renumbered or re-lettered to accomplish such intentions; and the word “Ordinance” may be changed to “Section” or other appropriate word.

Section 6. Effective Date. This Ordinance shall be effective upon final adoption on second reading.

PASSED on first reading this 13th day of March, 2018.

PASSED and ADOPTED on second reading this _______day of May, 2018.

On Final Reading Moved by: ________________________________

On Final Reading Second by: ________________________________

FINAL VOTE ON ADOPTION

Commissioner Barry Cohen ______
Commissioner Michael Karukin ______
Commissioner Tina Paul ______
Vice Mayor Daniel Gielchinsky ______
Mayor Daniel Dietch ______

Daniel Dietch, Mayor

ATTEST:
Sandra Novoa, MMC, Town Clerk

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND LEGALITY FOR THE USE AND BENEFIT OF THE TOWN OF SURFSIDE ONLY:
Weiss Serota Helfman Cole & Berman, P.L.,
Town Attorney

Page 138
Town of Surfside
Planning & Zoning Board Communication

Agenda Date: April 26, 2018
Subject: Maximum Building Length
From: Sarah Sinatra Gould, AICP, Town Planner

Background: Concern over the lengths of buildings in the Collins to Harding corridor has continued to be of concern to both the Planning & Zoning Board and the Town Commission. Staff is proposing to limit the maximum length of a wall plane in the H40 district to 150 feet with 17 feet separation of buildings on the same lot and 90 feet in the H30 district with 12 feet separation for wall plans for buildings on the same lot. Staff also proposed wall openings and landscaping on each façade in the 17 or 12 foot separation.

The item was heard by the Planning and Zoning Board on March 29, 2018. The Board asked staff to consider allowing connections of no greater than one story and for the ability to combine breaks in the building into aggregated areas of no more than 250 feet in length.

Staff Recommendation: Staff is recommending approval.

Sarah Sinatra Gould, AICP, Town Planner
Guillermo Olmedillo, Town Manager
ORDINANCE NO. 18 - ______

AN ORDINANCE OF THE TOWN OF SURFSIDE, FLORIDA AMENDING SECTION 90-51 “MAXIMUM FRONTAGE OF BUILDINGS AND FACADE ARTICULATIONS.” OF “CHAPTER 90 ZONING” OF THE TOWN OF SURFSIDE CODE OF ORDINANCES TO ADDRESS FAÇADE ARTICULATIONS, MAXIMUM BUILDING LENGTHS AND BUILDING SEPARATIONS IN THE H30C AND H40 ZONING DISTRICTS; PROVIDING FOR REPEAL OF CONFLICTING PROVISIONS; PROVIDING FOR SEVERABILITY; PROVIDING FOR INCLUSION IN THE CODE; AND PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

WHEREAS, the Town Commission of the Town of Surfside, Florida, recognizes that changes to the adopted Code of Ordinances are periodically necessary in order to ensure that the Town’s regulations are current and consistent with the Town’s planning and regulatory needs; and

WHEREAS, in 2015, the Town conducted a Corridor Study of Collins to Harding from 94th Street to 88th Street to evaluate development and aggregation potential with the goal of preparing possible code modifications; and

WHEREAS, on January 12, 2016, as an initial effort to address building mass and views in the H30C and H40 zoning districts, the Town Commission adopted modifications to the maximum wall length code section to require forecourts; and

WHEREAS, the Town finds that the implementation of the forecourt requirements has not achieved the corridor views, building mass, or aesthetic goals providing compatibility with the character and charm of Surfside; and

WHEREAS, the Town Commission therefore desires to limit building lengths and require building separations to ensure the establishment of view corridors and development at a mass and bulk appropriate to the Town; and

WHEREAS, the Town Commission and Planning And Zoning Board held a joint meeting on February 6, 2018 to analyze the building length and building separation issues and take public input; and
WHEREAS, the Town Commission held its first public hearing on these regulations on March 13, 2018; and

WHEREAS, the Planning and Zoning Board, sitting as the Local Planning Agency, has reviewed the revisions to the Code for consistency with the Town’s Comprehensive Plan at a duly noticed hearing on March 29, 2018 and April 26, 2018; and

WHEREAS, the Town Commission has conducted a second duly noticed public hearing on these regulations as required by law on ________________, 2018; and

WHEREAS, the Town Commission hereby finds and declares that adoption of this Ordinance is necessary, appropriate, and advances the public interest.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED BY THE TOWN COMMISSION OF THE TOWN OF SURFSIDE, FLORIDA, AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1. Recitals. Each of the above stated recitals is true and correct and the recitals are incorporated herein by this reference.

Section 2. Code Amendment. The Code of Ordinances of the Town of Surfside, Section 90-51 “Maximum frontage of buildings and facade articulations “ of Chapter 90 “Zoning” is hereby amended as follows:

Sec. 90-51. - Maximum frontage of buildings and facade articulations.

90-51.1 Continuous wall frontages.

(1) Continuous wall frontages in the H120 district shall not exceed 150 feet in length in the H120 district. Continuous wall frontages in the H40 and H30C district shall be articulated as follows:

(1) Forecourt spacing and minimum dimensions:

a. When utilized to meet building articulation requirements, forecourts shall be spaced no more than 150 feet apart from each other.

b. When lot frontages measure less than 100 linear feet, then the minimum dimensions of the forecourt shall be 20 feet wide and 15 feet deep.

---

1 Additions to text are shown in underline. Deletions to text are shown in strikethrough. Additions to the text after first reading are shown in double underline. Deletions to text after first reading are shown in double strikethrough.
e. When lot frontages measure greater than 100 linear feet and less than 200 linear feet, then the minimum dimensions of the forecourt shall be 20 feet wide and 20 feet deep.

d. When lot frontages measure greater than 200 linear feet, then the minimum dimensions of the forecourt shall be 30 feet wide and 20 feet deep.

e. In lieu of providing a forecourt of the specified size and space apart from each other as outlined in subsections (1)a.—d., a building can provide "forecourt equivalency areas" that are spaced throughout the front facade of the building. The total sum area of the forecourt equivalency areas shall not be less than the forecourt area that would be required if a regular sized forecourt were provided. Forecourt equivalency areas shall not be spaced further than 100 feet apart. Forecourt equivalency areas cannot be used uniformly across the front of a building in order to provide a uniform, larger setback.

(2) Continuous wall frontages in the H30C zoning district shall not exceed 90 feet in length, subject to the following:

   a. There shall be a minimum building separation of 12 feet between buildings on the same property.

   b. The building facades facing each side of the separation area shall provide a minimum of 10% wall openings per façade and a minimum two-foot area for plantings along each façade.

   c. Buildings may have a one-story (fifteen feet in height or less) connecting floor or breezeway located on the ground floor between the separated buildings, provided such connection is set back a minimum of 15 feet from the front building line.

(3) Continuous wall frontages in the H40 zoning district shall not exceed 150 feet in length, subject to the following:

   a. There shall be a minimum building separation of 17 feet between buildings on the same property.

   b. The building facades facing each side of the separation area shall provide a minimum of 10% wall openings per façade and a minimum two-foot area for plantings along each façade.

   c. Buildings may have a one-story (fifteen feet in height or less) connecting floor or breezeway between the separated buildings located on the ground floor, provided such connection is set back a minimum of 15 feet from the front building line.

(4) In the event property is developed with lot frontage facing Harding Avenue and Collins Avenue, no wall frontage facing Harding Avenue or Collins Avenue shall exceed 150 feet in length, subject to the following:
a. There shall be a minimum building separation of 17 feet between buildings on the same property and the building separation shall run from Harding Avenue directly through to Collins Avenue.

b. The building facades facing each side of the separation area shall provide a minimum of 10% wall openings per façade and a minimum two-foot area for plantings along each façade.

c. Buildings may have a one-story (fifteen feet in height or less) connecting floor or breezeway between the separated buildings located on the ground floor, provided such connection is set back a minimum of 15 feet from the front building line.

(5) In lieu of providing all building separations required in the H30C and H40 districts, a building may provide a building separation equivalency area as follows:

a. The total sum of the provided building separation equivalency areas shall not be less than the sum of the total building separation areas that would be required if the separations required in subsections (2), (3), or (4) above, as applicable, were instituted.

b. Building separation equivalency areas shall be no more than 250 feet apart.

c. Any building separation for a property that fronts on both Harding Avenue and Collins Avenue shall run from Harding Avenue directly through to Collins Avenue.

d. The building facades facing each side of the separation area shall provide a minimum of 10% wall openings per façade and a minimum four-foot area for plantings along each façade.

e. Buildings may have a one-story (fifteen feet in height or less) connecting floor or breezeway between the separated buildings located on the ground floor, provided such connection is set back a minimum of 15 feet from the front building line.

(2)(6) Structured parking garages: see section 90-49.4.

(3)(7) Buildings within a district designated as a historic district per Miami-Dade County shall be excluded from these requirements.

* * *

Section 3. Severability. If any section, subsection, clause or provision of this Ordinance is declared invalid or unconstitutional by a court of competent jurisdiction, the remainder shall not be affected by such invalidity.

Section 4. Conflict. All sections or parts of sections of the Town of Surfside Code of Ordinances in conflict herewith are intended to be repealed to the extent of such conflict.

Section 5. Inclusion in the Code of Ordinances. It is the intention of the Town Commission, and it is hereby ordained that the provisions of this Ordinance shall become and made a part of the Town of Surfside Code of Ordinances, that the sections of this Ordinance may be
renumbered or re-lettered to accomplish such intentions; and the word “Ordinance” may be changed to “Section” or other appropriate word.

**Section 6. Effective Date.** This Ordinance shall be effective upon final adoption on second reading.

**PASSED** on first reading this 13th day of March, 2018.

**PASSED and ADOPTED** on second reading this _______day of May, 2018.

On Final Reading Moved by: ________________________________

On Final Reading Second by: ________________________________

**FINAL VOTE ON ADOPTION**

Commissioner Daniel Gielchinsky  _____
Commissioner Michael Karukin  _____
Commissioner Tina Paul   _____
Vice Mayor Barry Cohen   _____
Mayor Daniel Dietch    _____

______________________________
Daniel Dietch, Mayor

**ATTEST:**

______________________________
Sandra Novoa, MMC, Town Clerk

**APPROVED AS TO FORM AND LEGALITY FOR THE USE AND BENEFIT OF THE TOWN OF SURFSIDE ONLY:**

______________________________
Weiss Serota Helfman Cole & Bierman, P.L.,
Town Attorney
Town of Surfside
Planning & Zoning Communication

Agenda Date: April 26, 2018

Subject: Construction Fencing for Single Family

From: Guillermo Olmedillo, Town Manager

Background: It has come to staff’s attention that chain-link fencing with windscreens are often eyesores when not maintained at construction sites. Staff is proposing to prohibit chain-link and require wood or metal fencing for construction sites in all zoning districts.

Staff Recommendation: The Town Commission approved this ordinance on first reading. Staff is recommending approval.


Sarah Sinatra Gould, AICP, Town Planner
Guillermo Olmedillo, Town Manager
VERBAL UPDATE
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ITEM</th>
<th>OUTCOME</th>
<th>NEXT STEPS</th>
<th>TENTATIVE SCHEDULE</th>
<th>COMPLETE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Limitation on building length in H40 &amp; H30C</td>
<td>Revisit building limitations as well as green walls to soften the breaks in the building.</td>
<td>PZ Review. Commission heard on first reading, March 13</td>
<td>April PZ</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aggregation of Single Family</td>
<td>Requested by the Town Commission</td>
<td>Discuss limitations on building length relating to single family lots, if aggregated.</td>
<td>May PZ</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Update to sign code</td>
<td>Need to make revisions to the sign code</td>
<td>Staff to prepare</td>
<td>Future PZ</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stepback discussion</td>
<td>Commission has requested the PZ board analyze this requirement</td>
<td>Prepare visual and calculation of volume, how much square footage does this equate to</td>
<td>Future PZ</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sidewalk aesthetics and crossing Collins Avenue</td>
<td>Prepare discussion item to determine if walkability can be improved. Combined with evaluating uses on the west side of Collins.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Future PZ</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impact fee discussion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Proposed in FY19</td>
<td>Budget</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ways to increase pervious area of lots</td>
<td>Place on PZ agenda for discussion. Provide PZ with current standards</td>
<td></td>
<td>Future PZ</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Landscape Plans</td>
<td>Require landscape plans for large scale renovations (renovations affecting more than 50% of the square footage of the house)</td>
<td>Future PZ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fences &amp; Hedges in the front of single family residences</td>
<td>Discussion on hedge height in the front</td>
<td></td>
<td>Future PZ</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FUTURE PZ DISCUSSION ITEMS**

**ON UPCOMING COMMISSION AGENDA**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Circulation pattern</th>
<th>PZ discussion on pedestrian safety and walkability</th>
<th>Pilot project</th>
<th>Ongoing</th>
<th>Ongoing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**ON FUTURE COMMISSION AGENDA**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Commercial waste and recycling container screening</th>
<th>Screening for containers, green screen, vegetation, include pictures from Commissioner Kligman</th>
<th>Draft code amendment</th>
<th>Did not move forward</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Driveway material regulations</td>
<td>Modify code to allow-stamped concrete and concrete slabs with decorative rock or grass in between</td>
<td>Draft code amendment</td>
<td>Did not move forward</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Painting of commercial structures</td>
<td>Town Staff to prepare ordinance</td>
<td>Prepare ordinance for commission</td>
<td>Did not move forward</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**COMPLETED**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>H40, H30 &amp; SDB40 Architecturally Significant ordinance</th>
<th>Review with PZ options for architecturally significant ordinance for other zoning districts.</th>
<th>PZ discussion</th>
<th>March PZ</th>
<th>No-action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Green Roofs</td>
<td>Requested by the Town Commission</td>
<td>February PZ</td>
<td>No-action</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photovoltaic Incentives</td>
<td>Requested by the Town Commission</td>
<td>February PZ</td>
<td>No-action</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Driveway</td>
<td>Prepare code modification that limits a driveway so that it does not exceed the front plane of the home.</td>
<td>January Commission</td>
<td>Complete</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Give a foot, get a foot relating Sea Level Rise</td>
<td>Place on agenda for discussion on referendum</td>
<td>Prepare visuals, timeline and cross section.</td>
<td>February Commission 2nd reading</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Flat Roof vs. Pitch roof</td>
<td>Modify ordinance to include roof pitch above top of the truss as an architectural feature</td>
<td>Provide side by side elevation in current code to the top of the flat roof to</td>
<td>February Commission 2nd reading</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Topic</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Action</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trellis</td>
<td>Review if a trellis attached to the house is considered an accessory structure.</td>
<td>This has not been a reoccurring issue. Provide direction if this is necessary.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average side setback/Massing</td>
<td>Modify ordinance for additional side setbacks on upper floors for single family homes</td>
<td>Direction if this is necessary. The Town has already modified the code to prohibit covered balconies counted towards setbacks.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Satellite dishes</td>
<td>Further review by staff</td>
<td>This issue has not come up as a problem and it is not clear if this is still desired to be regulated.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residential or commercial wind turbine regulations</td>
<td>Prepare ordinance regulating wind turbines including hurricane precautions, noise regulations, insurance considerations</td>
<td>Direction if this is necessary. This issue has not come up as a problem and it is not clear if this is still desired to be regulated.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Topic</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Status</td>
<td>Completed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Setback for parapet above 30 feet on single family homes</td>
<td>Prepare ordinance to require additional setback</td>
<td>Direction if this is still necessary as the code could be modified to encourage pitched roofs.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final Zoning Inspections</td>
<td>Town Manager will analyze</td>
<td>Building performs inspections based on conditions on the plans. Need direction if anything further is necessary</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Requiring noticing for demolition of houses</td>
<td>Research option and place on agenda for discussion</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sign Definitions</td>
<td>Modify sign definitions for monument and sign area</td>
<td>Drafted code amendment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carports</td>
<td>Require improved surface on frame</td>
<td>Addressed in Code</td>
<td>September PZ</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provide summary on construction hours and noise ordinance</td>
<td>Place update on PZ-agenda</td>
<td></td>
<td>September PZ</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workforce housing update</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>September PZ</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Add requirement for licensed architect for DRB submittals</td>
<td>Reviewing entire section relating to DRB</td>
<td>Draft code amendment</td>
<td>May Commission Agenda</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corridor Analysis</td>
<td>Study corridor between Collins &amp;</td>
<td>Prepare code</td>
<td>Work authorization to January Complete</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item</td>
<td>Details</td>
<td>Amendments</td>
<td>Be Approved in</td>
<td>Commission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single Family Paint Colors</td>
<td>Discussion with the Planning &amp; Zoning Board to determine if a color palette is appropriate for single family homes and what colors/criteria should be included. Place on future Planning and Zoning agenda for discussion.</td>
<td>In-contract</td>
<td>Will add to Joint Meeting—-with PZ/Commission.</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parking Trust Fund</td>
<td>Discussion with the Planning &amp; Zoning Board to provide a cap for payment into the fund. Ordinance on July PZ agenda.</td>
<td>In-contract</td>
<td>July Commission for 1st reading, July PZ August Commission for 2nd reading</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turtle Lighting</td>
<td>Town Staff to prepare review. No ordinance necessary. Turtle lighting already required in code.</td>
<td>COMPLETE</td>
<td>Turtle Lighting</td>
<td>Town Staff to prepare review</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Downtown Color Palette</td>
<td>Discussion with the Planning &amp; Zoning Board to determine if a color palette is appropriate and what colors/criteria should be included. Place on future Planning and Zoning agenda for discussion.</td>
<td>In-contract</td>
<td>Replaced with repainting____of structures.</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bay Drive &amp; 96th Street</td>
<td>Open Bay Drive off 96th Street. Staff will research. Police and Building to research.</td>
<td>Police and Building to research.</td>
<td>No change. Police Chief cited safety concerns</td>
<td>COMPLETE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sign/awning Code</td>
<td>Discussed at Joint Meeting. Staff beginning to work on draft. Work Authorization approved.</td>
<td>July Commission August Commission</td>
<td></td>
<td>COMPLETE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>As-built reviews for residential projects</td>
<td>Discuss increasing canopy in town, street trees, what can be planted in ROW. Research and prepare report for discussion and possible code amendment.</td>
<td>In-contract</td>
<td>March PZ</td>
<td>Added a program modification to FY2015 budget</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interpretation of base flood elevation for the H120 district</td>
<td>No change</td>
<td>No further action needed</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>COMPLETE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solar panel regulations</td>
<td>Prepare ordinance regulating solar panels</td>
<td>Draft code amendment</td>
<td>In-contract</td>
<td>March-PZ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Car charging station regulations</td>
<td>Prepare ordinance regulating car charging stations requiring them in new multi family, research what other communities are doing</td>
<td>Draft code amendment</td>
<td>In-contract</td>
<td>December-PZ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyramiding effects of stepbacks in the H120 district</td>
<td>No action necessary since Planning and Zoning Board currently reviewing stepbacks as part of wall frontage modifications</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garage door clarification</td>
<td>Modify code to remove requirement for two separate garage doors</td>
<td>Draft code amendment</td>
<td>In-contract</td>
<td>November-PZ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10% window opening requirement per story</td>
<td>Discussion with the Planning &amp; Zoning Board</td>
<td>Prepare ordinance for commission</td>
<td>In-contract</td>
<td>June-PZ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Landscaping in front of converted garage</td>
<td>Determine if landscaping planter is sufficient versus requiring landscaping.</td>
<td>Reviewed code and determined that planter is only permitted in cases where the driveway would be too short.</td>
<td>In-contract</td>
<td>No further modification necessary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sheds</td>
<td>Modify ordinance to increase square footage, but reduce height and add landscape requirements.</td>
<td>Draft code amendment</td>
<td>In-contract</td>
<td>Discussed at March meeting.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>